

Form TI-1 Case Resolution

Case Status	<input type="checkbox"/> Open	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Closed
RCODE: __19186__		
Region: __1__		
OLD - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: AlSCO Anaconda Bridgeport, NJ 08014		
NEW - Complete Name, Address, Contact, Phone Number: None		
Agreement State Licensee? (Double Check)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Moved to an Agreement State?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Describe Follow-up: (Telephone, Internet, Chamber of Commerce, Other) Walt Hufford, ARCO Environmental Remediation (610-640-4992) Through a series of transaction, Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) purchased AlSCO Anaconda in 1977. At some point thereafter, the Bridgeport site was shut down, with ARCO Environmental Remediation involved in the decommissioning. The ARCO Environmental Remediation representative stated that there was no possibility that the gauges are at the site.		
Describe Communication with Registration Vendor: Chris Fitz, NDC Infrared Engineering, Inc. (626-939-3859). The vendor's record showed that, at some point, ARCO Building Products had taken ownership of the AlSCO Anaconda gauge or gauges. The vendor reported that it is impossible that record in the NRC database showing that AlSCO Anaconda purchased 704 gauges from them is correct. The vendor could not find detailed records about the gauges sold to AlSCO Anaconda, which probably means they were returned to the vendor: the vendor does not keep files on gauges that have been properly returned according to the previous owner. The vendor realized, however, that they could not rule out the possibility that the records were lost; thus the vendor cannot definitely say that the gauges were returned.		
Vendor Follow-up Action:		
Final Action Taken:		
Cause of Bad Address/Lesson Learned:		

Form TI-2: Device Information

RCODE: ___ 19186 _____								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity (mCi)	Sold Date	Vendor	Status (A or N)	Comments
1 - 703	102		²⁴¹ Am	25	19804	1933-70 GL	A	See "Follow-up" discussion
704	102		²⁴¹ Am	25000	19844	1933-70 GL	A	See "Follow-up" discussion
For additional GLD's received by the licensee identified during the inspection:								
ID	Model Number	Serial Number	Isotope	Activity	Sold Date	Vendor	How obtained	Comments

Status Codes:

A - Source accounted for

N - Source not accounted for

Form TI-3: General Licensee Inspection Documentation

RCODE: ___19186_____	
General Licensee Information:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check Box if Current Complete Name, Mailing Address, Contact, and Phone Number is Same as Provided by ORNL. If not, include correct information below:
<input type="checkbox"/>	
Results of inspection: (check the appropriate boxes)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is located at the address of record and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however GLD are being used under new ownership at the address of record and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	The general licensee of record is <u>not</u> located at the address of record, however they are using GLD at another location and
<input type="checkbox"/>	all GLD are accounted for
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>not</u> all GLD are accounted for;
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Neither the general licensee of record nor the facility operated by the general licensee are located at the address of record and the site has been abandoned or is being used for an alternate purpose.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)
Gauge Information:	
ID	For each gauge for which status is unaccounted for (see last column of Form TI-2), provide any conclusions about location of the gauge:
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	

The site was decommissioned in an orderly way with oversight from the ARCO environmental staff. It is likely the gauges were disposed of properly.

Inspector: Keith Brown, Health Physicist
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2

Approved By: John D. Kinneman, Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety Branch 2