

October 26, 1994

DISTRIBUTION

See attached sheet

Mr. J. H. Goldberg  
President-Nuclear Division  
Florida Power and Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Dear Mr. Goldberg:

SUBJECT: TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 AND 4 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS RE:  
ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS - AUDIT FREQUENCIES AND IMPLEMENTATION OF  
GENERIC LETTER 93-07 (TAC NOS. M88879 AND M88880)

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 168 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-31 and Amendment No. 162 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-41 for the Turkey Point Plant, Unit Nos. 3 and 4, respectively. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated February 18, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated August 5, 1994, relating to specification of audit frequencies in TS and modification of TS administrative control requirements for emergency and security plans. Changes associated with the Fire Protection Program were not approved as discussed with your staff on September 19, 1994. The enclosed Notice of Partial Denial of Amendment and Opportunity for Hearing has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

A copy of the Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

/s/

Richard P. Croteau, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-250  
and 50-251

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 168 to DPR-31
2. Amendment No. 162 to DPR-41
3. Safety Evaluation
4. Notice of Partial Denial

cc w/enclosures: See next page

*No legal objection with changes - received 10/24/94 by PM RPE*

OFFICE	LA:PDII-2	PM:PDII-2	D:PDII-2	OGC	RPEB
NAME	EDunnington <sup>ETD</sup>	RCroteau <sup>RC</sup>	D:Thadzi McCree	Chidallet	GZech*
DATE	9/27/94	9/27/94	10/25/94	10/13/94	3/23/94
OFFICE	PSGB	PEPB <sup>R26</sup>	OTSB #14-211	SPLB #25/3FA	
NAME	PMcKee	REmch	CGrimes <sup>CG</sup>	CMcCracken	
DATE	9/15/94	9/28/94	9/29/94	9/23/94	

\* see previous concurrence

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY - DOCUMENT NAME: \ G:\TP88879.AMD

*admin control differences will be resolved generically*

9411030133 941026  
PDR ADOCK 05000250  
P PDR

**NRC FEDERAL REGISTER COPY**

*CP1*

*DFO1*

Mr. J. H. Goldberg  
Florida Power and Light Company

Turkey Point Plant

cc:

Harold F. Reis, Esquire  
Newman and Holtzinger, P.C.  
1615 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Joe Myers, Director  
Division of Emergency Preparedness  
Department of Community Affairs  
2740 Centerview Drive  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

Jack Shreve, Public Counsel  
Office of the Public Counsel  
c/o The Florida Legislature  
111 West Madison Avenue, Room 812  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Regional Administrator,  
Region II  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
101 Marietta Street, N.W. Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

John T. Butler, Esquire  
Steel, Hector and Davis  
4000 Southeast Financial Center  
Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Attorney General  
Department of Legal Affairs  
The Capitol  
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Mr. Thomas F. Plunkett, Site  
Vice President  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant  
Florida Power and Light Company  
P.O. Box 029100  
Miami, Florida 33102

Plant Manager  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant  
Florida Power and Light Company  
P.O. Box 029100  
Miami, Florida 33102

Joaquin Avino  
County Manager of Metropolitan  
Dade County  
111 NW 1st Street, 29th Floor  
Miami, Florida 33128

Mr. H. N. Paduano, Manager  
Licensing & Special Programs  
Florida Power and Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Senior Resident Inspector  
Turkey Point Nuclear Generating  
Station  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 1448  
Homestead, Florida 33090

Mr. Edward J. Weinkam  
Licensing Manager  
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant  
P.O. Box 4332  
Princeton, Florida 33032-4332

Mr. Bill Passeti  
Office of Radiation Control  
Department of Health and  
Rehabilitative Services  
1317 Winewood Blvd.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0700

DATED: October 26, 1994

AMENDMENT NO. 168 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-31-TURKEY POINT UNIT 3  
AMENDMENT NO. 162 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-41-TURKEY POINT UNIT 4

Distribution

Docket File

NRC & Local PDRs

PDII-2 Reading

S. Varga, 14/E/4

G. Lainas, 14/H/3

V. McCree

E. Dunnington

R. Croteau

OGC

D. Hagan, 3302 MNBB

G. Hill (2), P-137

C. Grimes, 11/F/23

ACRS (10)

OPA

OTSB

OC/LFMB

D. Verrelli, R-II

010024



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-250

TURKEY POINT PLANT UNIT NO. 3

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 168  
License No. DPR-31

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) dated February 18, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated August 5, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-31 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(B) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No.168, are hereby incorporated in the license. The Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B is hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Mohan C. Thadani, Acting Director  
Project Directorate II-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: October 26, 1994



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-251

TURKEY POINT PLANT UNIT NO. 4

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 162  
License No. DPR-41

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment by Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) dated February 18, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated August 5, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 3.B of Facility Operating License No. DPR-41 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(B) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 162, are hereby incorporated in the license. The Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B is hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 30 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Mohan C. Thadani, Acting Director  
Project Directorate II-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: October 26, 1994

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT

AMENDMENT NO. 168 FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-31

AMENDMENT NO. 162 FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-41

DOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251

Revise Appendix A as follows:

Remove pages

6-7

6-10

6-13

Insert pages

6-7

6-10

6-13



## ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

### RESPONSIBILITIES (Continued)

- e. Investigation of all violations of the Technical Specifications, including the preparation and forwarding of reports covering evaluation and recommendations to prevent recurrence, to the President-Nuclear Division and to the Chairman of the Company Nuclear Review Board;
- f. Review of all REPORTABLE EVENTS;
- g. Review of reports of significant operating abnormalities or deviations from normal and expected performance of plant equipment or systems that affect nuclear safety;
- h. Performance of special reviews, investigations, or analyses and reports thereon as requested by the Plant General Manager or the Chairman of the Company Nuclear Review Board;
- i. Review of changes to the PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM and the OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL;
- j. Review of any accidental, unplanned, or uncontrolled radioactive release including the preparation of reports covering evaluation, recommendations, and disposition of the corrective action to prevent recurrence and the forwarding of these reports to the President-Nuclear Division and to the Chairman of the Company Nuclear Review Board.
- k. Review of the Fire Protection Program and implementing procedures and the submittal of recommended changes to the Company Nuclear Review Board.

#### 6.5.1.7 The PNSC shall:

- a. Recommend in writing to the Plant General Manager approval or disapproval of items considered under Specification 6.5.1.6a. through d. prior to their implementation and items considered under Specification 6.5.1.6i through k.
- b. Provide written notification within 24 hours to the Plant General Manager, President-Nuclear Division and the Company Nuclear Review Board of disagreement between the PNSC and the Plant General Manager; however, the Plant General Manager shall have responsibility for resolution of such disagreements pursuant to Specification 6.1.1.

## **ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS**

---

### **AUDITS**

**6.5.2.8 Audits of unit activities shall be performed under the cognizance of the CNRB. These audits shall encompass:**

- a. The conformance of facility operation to provisions contained within the Technical Specifications and applicable license conditions;
- b. The performance, training, and qualifications of the entire facility staff;
- c. The results of actions taken to correct deficiencies occurring in facility equipment, structures, systems, or method of operation that affect nuclear safety;
- d. The performance of activities required by the Quality Assurance Program to meet the criteria of Appendix B, 10 CFR Part 50;
- e. The fire protection programmatic controls including the implementing procedures at least once per 24 months by qualified licensee QA personnel;
- f. The fire protection equipment and program implementation at least once per 12 months utilizing either a qualified offsite licensee fire protection engineer or an outside independent fire protection consultant. An outside independent fire protection consultant shall be used at least every third year;
- g. The Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program and the results thereof;
- h. The OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL and implementing procedures;
- i. The PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM and implementing procedures for processing and packaging of radioactive wastes;
- j. The performance of activities required by the Quality Assurance Program for effluent and environmental monitoring; and
- k. Any other area of unit operation considered appropriate by the CNRB or the President-Nuclear Division.

## ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

---

### SAFETY LIMIT VIOLATION (Continued)

- b. A Licensee Event Report shall be prepared in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73.
- c. The License Event Report shall be submitted to the Commission in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, and to the CNRB, and the President-Nuclear Division within 30 days after discovery of the event.
- d. Critical operation of the unit shall not be resumed until authorized by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### 6.8 PROCEDURES AND PROGRAMS

6.8.1 Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained covering the activities referenced below:

- a. The applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978, Sections 5.1 and 5.3 of ANSI N18.7-1972;
- b. The emergency operating procedures required to implement the requirements of NUREG-0737 and Supplement 1 to NUREG-0737 as stated in Generic Letter No. 82-33;
- c. PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM implementation;
- d. OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL implementation;
- e. Quality Control Program for effluent monitoring using the guidance in Regulatory Guide 1.21, Revision 1, June 1974;
- f. Facility Fire Protection Program; and
- g. Quality Control Program for environmental monitoring using the guidance in Regulatory Guide 4.1, Revision 1, April 1975.

6.8.2 Each procedure of Specification 6.8.1 (a through f), and changes thereto, shall be reviewed and approved prior to implementation and reviewed periodically as set forth in Specification 6.5.3 and administrative procedures.

6.8.3 Temporary changes to procedures of Specification 6.8.1 (a through g) may be made provided:

- a. The intent of the original procedure is not altered;



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 168 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-31  
AND AMENDMENT NO. 162 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-41.

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

TURKEY POINT UNIT NOS. 3 AND 4

DOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated February 18, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated August 5, 1994, Florida Power and Light Company (FPL or the licensee) requested changes to the Administrative Controls Section of the Technical Specifications (TS) for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4. The substance of the TS changes are to delete the frequencies from the audits performed under the cognizance of the Company Nuclear Review Board (CNRB) and remove the audit of the emergency and security plans and implementing procedures from TS. The audits would be performed at the frequency specified in the FPL Topical Quality Assurance Report (TQAR). Some audits would be performed less frequently (biennial rather than annual in most cases). Changes associated with the Fire Protection Program were not approved as discussed in a September 19, 1994, discussion with the licensee.

The information provided in the August 5, 1994, letter was within the scope of the action described in the Federal Register (59 FR 14889).

Section 50.36 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations established the regulatory requirements related to the content of TS. The rule requires that TS include items in specific categories, including safety limits, limiting conditions for operation, and surveillance requirements; however, the rule does not specify the particular requirements to be included in a plant's TS. The NRC developed criteria, as described in the "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specifications Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors," (58 FR 39132) to determine which of the design conditions and associated surveillances need to be located in the TS because the requirement is "necessary to obviate the possibility of an abnormal situation or event giving rise to an immediate threat to the public health and safety." Briefly, those criteria are (1) detection of abnormal degradation of the reactor coolant pressure boundary, (2) boundary conditions for design basis accidents and transients, (3) primary success paths to prevent or mitigate design basis accidents and transients, and (4) functions determined to be important to risk or operating experience. The Commission's final policy statement acknowledged that its implementation may result in the relocation of existing TS requirements to licensee-controlled documents and programs.

9411030140 941026  
PDR ADOCK 05000250  
P PDR

## 2.0 DESCRIPTION AND EVALUATION

2.1. TS 6.5.1.6.i: The licensee proposed deleting the following from the TS on Plant Nuclear Safety Committee (PNSC) responsibilities: "Review of the Emergency Plan and implementing procedures and submittal of recommended changes to the Chairman of the Company Nuclear Review Board." The licensee stated that upon approval by the NRC of the proposed amendments, they will revise the Emergency Plan (EP) to include these review requirements. This specific requirement has not yet been added to the EP. In the August 5, 1994 letter, FPL confirmed that the current Turkey Point EP included the requirements of an annual audit and implementing procedures.

This request is in accordance with Generic Letter (GL) 93-07. GL 93-07 permits this line-item improvement, provided the licensee relocates the review requirements to the EP. This area does not need to be controlled by TS as discussed in paragraph 1 above and changes to the EP are adequately controlled.

The staff finds these changes acceptable as these requirements will be in the facilities' EP and they are not required to be controlled by TS. These changes are consistent with GL 93-07.

2.2. TS 6.5.2.8 a., b., c., d., g., h., i., and j.: The licensee proposed deleting from TS the specific audit intervals associated with the audits of unit activities performed under the cognizance of the CNRB. This change relocates the frequency from TS to the licensee's TQAR. The licensee stated that the proposed change would allow the specific audit frequency to be adjusted based upon programmatic performance up to a maximum of 2 years.

The licensee's TQAR states "As a minimum, unless otherwise specified by technical specifications, the Code of Federal Regulations, or other licensing commitments, these audits are performed at a Biennial (2-year) frequency." 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) states that the licensee may make changes to the TQAR provided the change does not reduce the commitments in the program description previously accepted by the NRC. Changes to the TQAR that do not reduce commitments must be submitted to the NRC for review. Changes to the TQAR that do reduce commitments must receive NRC approval prior to implementation.

The QA program's performance will continue to be assessed through self-assessments, management reviews, performance evaluations and audits in related areas, and other trend indicators. The licensee considers that reducing the audit frequency requirements to biennial (2 years) will not impact compliance with provisions of the TS, but will provide for more meaningful audits of those activities conducted less frequently than annually (i.e., refueling activities), and allow for additional effort on operational activities. The licensee stated that QA oversight of activities is intended to shift away from discrete monitoring (evaluation of activities that may occur during the scheduled audit) and to continuous monitoring (evaluation of activities when they occur). This area does not need to be controlled by TS as discussed in paragraph 1.

The staff concludes that removing these provisions from the TS is acceptable since they do not need to be controlled by TS and sufficient regulatory controls exist for the TQAR. The staff notes that the changes regarding audit frequencies are consistent with Section 5.5.2 of the Improved Standard Technical Specifications (ISTS).

2.3. TS 6.5.2.8.e and f: The licensee proposed combining the wording from TS 6.5.2.8 e. and 6.5.2.8 f. associated with the audits of unit activities performed under the cognizance of the CNRB to read as follows: "The fire protection equipment, programmatic controls including the implementing procedures, and program implementation utilizing an outside independent fire protection consultant."

The above wording is equivalent to the current requirements specified in TS 6.5.2.8 e. and 6.5.2.8 f., with the exception of the deletion of the specified audit frequency intervals of one, two, and three years for various aspects of the fire protection program. The use of a qualified offsite licensee fire protection consultant would be increased since this consultant is currently required for the triennial audit. The proposed change would allow the specific audit frequency to be adjusted based upon programmatic performance up to a maximum of 2 years as discussed in section 2.2 above.

The NRC had recently (February 25, 1994) approved the removal of surveillance and testing requirements for plant fire protection features from the Turkey Point TS and incorporation into the plant's FSAR. This amendment request was evaluated to determine if the proposed changes conflicted with the licensee's previous commitment to retain adequate administrative controls relating to fire protection audits in the plant TS. The audit history regarding FPL's ability to adequately self-regulate and recognize potential programmatic problems in the fire protection area was also reviewed.

The previous Safety Evaluation (SE) dated February 25, 1994, evaluated the amendment for compliance with Generic Letter (GL) 88-12, "Removal of Fire Protection Requirements From Technical Specifications." GL 88-12 stated that the Emergency and Security Plans were used as models for appropriate administrative controls. Annual audits are required for the Emergency and Security Plans by 10 CFR and, therefore, annual audits should be performed for the Fire Protection Program in accordance with the existing TS. Ergo, the proposed change in the audit frequency from annual to biannual is not acceptable.

The staff concludes that the existing TS controls, including specifying an annual audit of the fire protection equipment and program implementation, should remain. This portion of the requested change should be denied. This was discussed with the licensee on September 19, 1994.

2.4. TS 6.5.2.8.k and 6.5.2.8.l: The licensee proposed that the audits of the Emergency and Security Plans and implementing procedures at least once per 12 months, performed under the cognizance of the CNRB, be deleted from the TS.

In accordance with GL 93-07, the licensee proposes to remove the audit of the EP and SPs and implementing procedures from the list of responsibilities of the CNRB. Parts 50 and 73 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations include provisions that are sufficient to address these requirements. GL 93-07 provides the proposed relief, provided the licensee relocates the audit requirements to the Emergency and Security Plans. By letter dated August 5, 1994, the licensee stated that the Turkey Point Emergency and Security Plans include the requirement of an annual audit in accordance with Parts 50 and 73 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Emergency and Security Plans include provisions to maintain implementing procedures of these programs.

The TS requirement to audit the EP and implementing procedures at least once per 12 months is redundant to 10 CFR 50.54(t) which states that an independent review of the emergency preparedness program is to be conducted at least every 12 months.

The TS requirement to audit the SPs and implementing procedures at least once per 12 months is redundant to 10 CFR 73.55(g)(4), which states an independent review of the security program is to be conducted at least every 12 months.

We find these changes acceptable as the requirement for the independent review of the emergency preparedness program and security program is redundant to 10 CFR Parts 50 and 73, these areas do not need to be controlled by TS as discussed in paragraph 1 above, and the requirements will be maintained in the facility's EP and SP. In addition, the proposed changes are consistent with GL 93-07.

2.5. As a consequence of deletions and the combining of sections, administrative changes have been made such as renumbered and/or relettered sections.

The staff finds these changes acceptable as they are editorial in nature.

2.6. TS 6.8.1.c and 6.8.1.d: The licensee proposed that the statements concerning establishment, implementation and maintenance of procedures regarding the SP and EP implementation be deleted from the TS.

In accordance with GL 93-07, the licensee proposes to remove the requirements for the Plant Nuclear Safety Committee to review procedures, and procedure changes, for the implementation of the emergency and security plans. Parts 50 and 73 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations include provisions that are sufficient to address these requirements. GL 93-07 permits the proposed relief provided the licensee relocates the review requirements to the Emergency and Security Plans. The Turkey Point Emergency and Security Plans include these requirements. These areas do not need to be controlled by TS as discussed in paragraph 1 above and changes to the plans are adequately controlled.

The staff finds these changes acceptable since the requirements are included in the EP and SP, they are not required to be controlled by TS, and the changes are consistent with GL 93-07.

### 3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

Based upon the written notice of the proposed amendments, the Florida State official had no comments.

### 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments (59 FR 14889).

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

With the exception of the proposed change to TS 6.5.2.8.e and f, we find the requested changes acceptable since they are not required to be controlled by TS per 10 CFR 50.36 and sufficient regulatory controls exist for the TQAR and/or the requirements exist in other regulatory documents. In many cases, the requirements that are being relocated from TS will exist outside of TS and changes to the requirements are controlled by means acceptable to the staff. The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: R. Croteau

Date: October 26, 1994



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

TURKEY POINT UNIT NOS. 3 AND 4

DOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251

NOTICE OF PARTIAL DENIAL OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE  
AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has denied a portion of an amendment request by the Florida Power and Light Company (FPL or the licensee) for an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41, issued to the licensee for operation of the Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4, located in Dade County, Florida. Notice of Consideration of Issuance of this amendment was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 30, 1994 (59 FR 14889).

The purpose of the licensee's amendment request was to revise the Technical Specification (TS) to delete the frequencies from the audits performed under the cognizance of the Company Nuclear Review Board (CNRB) and remove the audit of the emergency and security plans and implementing procedures from TS. The audits would be performed at the frequency specified in the FPL Topical Quality Assurance Report (TQAR). Some audits would be performed less frequently (biennial rather than annual in most cases).

The NRC staff has concluded that the portion of the licensee's request regarding audits of the Fire Protection Program cannot be granted for the reasons stated in letter dated October 26, 1994. The licensee was notified of the Commission's denial of the proposed change by letter dated October 26, 1994.

By December 2, 1994, the licensee may demand a hearing with respect to the

denial described above. Any person affected by this proceeding may file a written petition for leave to intervene.

A request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, by the above date. A copy of any petitions should also be sent to the office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Harold F. Reis, Esquire, Newman and Holtzinger, P.C., 1615 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, attorney for the licensee.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated February 18, 1994, as supplemented by letter dated August 5, 1994, and (2) the Commission's letter to the licensee dated October 26, 1994. These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the Florida International University, University Park, Miami, Florida 33199. A copy of Item (2) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Document Control Desk.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 26th day of October, 1994.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Mohan C. Thadani, Acting Director  
Project Directorate II-2  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation