

**From:** Glenda Jackson  
**To:** Anthony Rossi; Dbd; Jlf; Jxt  
**Date:** 3/27/01 4:26PM  
**Subject:** Second Reporter re:fee rule

PA (Bob Jasinski) and I just finished a conversation with Jennifer <sup>Morrow</sup> ~~Morer~~, a reporter with Dow Jones (news service) energy desk. She wanted background on fees and particularly why annual fees were going down for some classes this year. I gave her a brief primer, discussed the 2% off the fee base until it is reduced to 90% in FY 2005, increased part 170 collections for some classes, etc. She asked about the date OBRA-90 was amended to reduce the fee recovery rate. I did not have the exact date, but told her it was the FY 2001 Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act that resulted in the amendment. She then inquired if it was a result of the new Administration. Bob and I both said no, it came about prior to the new Administration.

We also discussed at some length the activities that are now being recovered under Part 170 (such as resident inspectors and PMS) that were previously under Part 171.

At the end of the conversation, Bob asked her how fees would fit into her story. She answered that she does not yet have a story, but is interested in the overall long-term effects of the reduction to the fee recovery amounts. Also the financial impacts on industry.

**CC:** Robert Jasinski

For Post-Industrial  
than have been in story  
Branche long-term = 90%

interesting

financial impacts

Other P.A. story:

Eric Bookin

Beth Hayden

See Wagner

Chemical supporting muscles