

From: "Ian Barnes" [REDACTED] EX 6
 To: "Wayne L Schmidt" <wis@nrc.gov>, "David C Lew" <DCL@nrc.gov>
 Date: Fri, Aug 18, 2000 10:55 AM
 Subject: INDIAN POINT 2 INSPECTION REPORT

Folks,

I have made another cut at your latest version of the IP2 inspection report. I placed little effort in improving the language. I do believe that the report needs editing to reduce the repetitive use of information, but am reluctant to embark on that path in that I am no longer fully conversant with current report expectations.

I opened the report and then went to File >Document>Review>Reviewer to show the recommended changes.

You all need to know that this report currently causes me some heartburn. The thrust of the report appears to me to minimize: (a) the significance of poor training of analysts, (b) inadequate Data Analysis Guidelines, and (c) inadequate analysis technique guidance. While this may be considered expedient with the current weak NRC enforcement posture, issue of this report as written would probably send exactly the wrong message to utilities and eddy current contractors.

Regards,

Ian Barnes

CC: "Edmund J. Sullivan" <ejs@nrc.gov>, "Caius V Dodd" <doddcv@[REDACTED]> "Stephanie M Coffin" <SMC1@nrc.gov>

EX 6

Information in this record was deleted
 in accordance with the Freedom of Information
 Act, exemptions 6
 FOIA- 2001-0256

1

6/67