

From: "Ian Barnes" [redacted] ^{EX6}
 To: KP_DO.kp1_bo(WLS) ^{Schmidt}
 Date: Fri, Jun 30, 2000 8:43 AM
 Subject: Fw: Guidelines

What do you think of the adequacy of this information from Cass for supporting his stated major problems with the IP2 Data Analysis Guidelines? As has always been the case in my dealings with Cass, I will have to do my own review to reach any meaningful conclusions.

Ian

Original Message ^{EX6}
 From: ^{C Dodd} [redacted]
 To: Ian Barnes [redacted] ^{EX6}
 Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2000 9:52 AM
 Subject: Guidelines

- > Ian:
- > The specific things that I did not like about the guidelines:
- > 1. The history of the plant and defect types was brief.
- > 2. Loose parts should be emphasized.
- > 3. Figures should be in the text.
- > 4. Figure and table captions should contain needed information.
- > 5. Acts sheets do not match the figures in the guidelines.
- > 6. A written procedure is needed to call bad data.
- > 7. Guidelines need specific instructions to detect probe skipping and hanging.
- >
- > Training:
- > Written documentation is needed to insure quality and consistency.
- > Caius

Information in this record was deleted in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, exemptions 6
 FOIA- 2001-0256

6/7
 (2)

From: Wayne Schmidt
To: David Lew, Edmund Sullivan, Emmett Murphy, Gregory Cranston, J
Stephanie Coffin
Date: Fri, Jun 30, 2000 10:15 AM
Subject: Re: Conference Call today

pass code 1194# for the 2 - 3:30 call. Please see the attached draft violations.

CC: Bill Bateman, Brian Holian, Jack Strosnider, Jimi Yerokun, Marsha C
Patrick Milano, Pete Eselgroth, Richard Urban(...)