

June 15, 1994

Mr. T. C. McMeekin
Vice President, McGuire Site
Duke Power Company
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Dear Mr. McMeekin:

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS - MCGUIRE
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M81162 AND M81163)

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendments dated May 5, 1994, as supplemented June 13, 1994, which would change the Technical Specifications to increase Main Steam and Pressurizer Code Safety Valve Setpoint Tolerances.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Victor Nerses, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

9406210357 940615
PDR ADDCK 05000369
P PDR

Enclosure:
Notice

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

~~Docket File~~
NRC & Local PDRs
PD II-3
S. Varga
G. Lainas
V. Nerses
J. Johnson, RII

L. Berry
OGC
D. Hagan
ACRS (10)
OPA
OC/LFDCB

OFFICE	PDII-3/ <i>RB</i>	PDII-3/PM <i>CA</i>	PDII-3/MD <i>SM</i>		
NAME	L. BERRY	V. NERSES	D. MATTHEWS		
DATE	6/15/94	6/15/94	6/15/94		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
FILE NAME: G:\MCGUIRE\MCG81162.IND

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

200048

DF01

June 15, 1994

Mr. T. C. McMeekin
Vice President, McGuire Site
Duke Power Company
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Dear Mr. McMeekin:

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS - MCGUIRE
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M81162 AND M81163)

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendments dated May 5, 1994, as supplemented June 13, 1994, which would change the Technical Specifications to increase Main Steam and Pressurizer Code Safety Valve Setpoint Tolerances.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Victor Nerses, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
Notice

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File	L. Berry
NRC & Local PDRs	OGC
PD II-3	D. Hagan
S. Varga	ACRS (10)
G. Lainas	OPA
V. Nerses	OC/LFDCB
J. Johnson, RII	

OFFICE	PDII-3/AB	PDII-3/PM	PDII-3/MD		
NAME	L. BERRY	V. NERSES	D. MATTHEWS		
DATE	6/15/94	6/15/94	6/15/94		



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

June 15, 1994

Docket Nos. 50-369
and 50-370

Mr. T. C. McMeekin
Vice President, McGuire Site
Duke Power Company
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Dear Mr. McMeekin:

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS - MCGUIRE
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M81162 AND M81163)

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing." This notice relates to your application for amendments dated May 5, 1994, as supplemented June 13, 1994, which would change the Technical Specifications to increase Main Steam and Pressurizer Code Safety Valve Setpoint Tolerances.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Victor Nerses".

Victor Nerses, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
Notice

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

Mr. T. C. McMeekin
Duke Power Company

McGuire Nuclear Station

cc:

A. V. Carr, Esquire
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-
0001

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Director
Department of Environmental,
Health and Natural Resources
Division of Radiation Protection
P. O. Box 27687
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

County Manager of Mecklenberg County
720 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Mr. Marvin Sinkule, Chief
Project Branch #3
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW. Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. J. E. Snyder
Regulatory Compliance Manager
Duke Power Company
McGuire Nuclear Site
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, NC 28078-8985

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
North Carolina Department of
Justice
P. O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire
Winston and Strawn
1400 L Street, NW.
Washington, DC 20005

Mr. G. A. Copp
Licensing - EC050
Duke Power Company
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-
0001

Senior Resident Inspector
c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW. Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. T. Richard Puryear
Nuclear Technical Services Manager
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Carolinas District
2709 Water Ridge Parkway, Suite 430
Charlotte, North Carolina 28217

Elaine Wathen, Lead REP Planner
Division of Emergency Management
116 West Jones Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-1335

Dr. John M. Barry
Mecklenberg County
Department of Environmental
Protection
700 N. Tryon Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDUKE POWER COMPANYDOCKET NOS. 50-369 AND 50-370NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License No. NPF-9 and Facility Operating License No. NPF-17 issued to Duke Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Mecklenberg County, North Carolina.

The proposed amendments would change the Technical Specifications to increase Main Steam and Pressurizer Code Safety Valve Setpoint Tolerances.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in the probability or consequences of any previously analyzed accident. The valve lift setting is challenged only after a transient has been initiated and is not a contributor to the probability of any transient or accident. The transients which involve pressure increases which would potentially challenge the safety valves have been analyzed to determine the consequences of delayed or premature valve actuation at the extremes of the new setpoint tolerances. These analyses show that all applicable acceptance criteria are met using the wider tolerances.

The proposed amendment will not result in the creation of any new accident not previously evaluated. As noted above, the setpoint tolerance only affects the time at which the safety valve opens following or during a transient, and is not a contributor to the probability of an accident.

The proposed amendment will not result in a significant decrease in a margin of safety. The limiting transient in each accident category has been analyzed to determine the affect of the change in lift setpoint tolerance on the transient. In each case, the results of the analyses met all acceptance criteria.

Bases on the above, it is concluded that no significant hazard considerations exist.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves

no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By July 21, 1994 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public

document room located at Atkins Library, University of North Carolina, Charlotte (UNCC Station), North Carolina 28223. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a

specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment. A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to David B. Matthews petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Mr. Albert Carr, Duke Power Company, 422 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28242, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated May 5, 1994, as supplemented June 13, 1994, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at Atkins Library, University of North Carolina, Charlotte (UNCC Station), North Carolina 28223.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of June 1994.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Victor Nerses, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation