

August 19, 1988

Docket Nos.: 50-369
and 50-370

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 91 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-9 AND
AMENDMENT NO. 72 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-17 - MCGUIRE
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TACS 64194 AND 64195)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 91 to Facility Operating License NPF-9 and Amendment No. 72 to Facility Operating License NPF-17 for the McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated December 17, 1986, as supplemented February 13, March 12, and September 8, 1987, and July 13, 1988.

The amendments change the Technical Specifications by deleting a portion of surveillance requirement 4.5.1 regarding the method for disconnecting power to accumulator isolation valves. The amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

A copy of the related safety evaluation supporting Amendment No. 91 to Facility Operating License NPF-9 and Amendment No. 72 to Facility Operating License NPF-17 is enclosed.

Notice of issuance of amendments will be included in the Commission's next bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

8808260033 880819
PDR ADOCK 05000369
P PNU

Original Signed By:
Darl Hood, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 91 to NPF-9
2. Amendment No. 72 to NPF-17
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MR:bd
08/5/88

DSH
PM:PDII-3
DHood:bd
08/5/88

DMatthews
08/15/88

DFol
1/1



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-369

McGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 91
License No. NPF-9

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-9 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated December 17, 1986, as supplemented February 13, March 12, and September 8, 1987, and July 13, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8808260037 880819
PDR ADOCK 05000369
P PNU

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-9 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 91, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original Signed By:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: August 19, 1988

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MRood
08/15/88

DSH
PM:PDII-3
DHood:bd
08/15/88

OG:JF
08/11/88

DMatthews
08/15/88



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-370

McGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 72
License No. NPF-17

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-17 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated December 17, 1986, as supplemented February 13, March 12, and September 8, 1987, and July 13, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-17 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 72, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original Signed By:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: August 19, 1988

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MRood
08/15/88

PM:PDII-3
DHood:bd
08/15/88

OGC
08/11/88

D:PDII-3
DMatthews
08/15/88

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 91

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-9

DOCKET NO. 50-369

AND

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 72

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-17

DOCKET NO. 50-370

Replace the following page of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed page. The revised page is identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Amended Page

3/4 5-2

EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (Continued)

- 3) The volume weighted average boron concentration of the three limiting accumulators 1500 ppm or less, return the volume weighted average boron concentration of the three limiting accumulator to greater than 1500 ppm and enter ACTION c.2 within 1 hour of the low boron determination or be in HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and reduce pressurizer pressure to less than 1000 psig within the following 6 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.5.1.1.1 Each cold leg injection accumulator shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 12 hours by:
 - 1) Verifying the contained borated water volume and nitrogen cover-pressure in the tanks, and
 - 2) Verifying that each cold leg injection accumulator isolation valve is open.
- b. At least once per 31 days and within 6 hours after each solution volume increase of greater than or equal to 1% of tank volume not resulting from normal makeup by verifying the boron concentration of the accumulator solution;
- c. At least once per 31 days when the RCS pressure is above 2000 psig by verifying that power to the isolation valve operator is disconnected; and
- d. At least once per 18 months by verifying proper operation of the power disconnect circuit.

4.5.1.1.2 Each cold leg injection accumulator water level and pressure channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days by the performance of an ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST, and
- b. At least once per 18 months by the performance of a CHANNEL CALIBRATION.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 91 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-9
AND AMENDMENT NO. 72 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-17
DUKE POWER COMPANY
DOCKET NOS. 50-369 AND 50-370
MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated December 17, 1986, Duke Power Company (the licensee) requested a change to McGuire Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specification (TS) 4.5.1.1.c which regards the surveillance requirements for power lockout of the cold leg accumulator isolation valves. Specifically, the present method for disconnecting power to the isolation valves, which is "by removal of the breaker from the circuit," would be deleted. By letters dated February 13, 1987, March 2, 1987, and September 8, 1987, the licensee provided additional information in support of this request. At the request of the NRC, the licensee submitted an additional request on July 13, 1988, which would add TS 4.5.1.1.d. This additional TS would require that each cold leg accumulator be demonstrated operable at least once per 18 months by verifying proper operation of the power disconnect circuit.

The supporting information provided by the licensee's letters of March 2 and September 8, 1987, and the additional associated surveillance requirement requested by the NRC to periodically verify proper operation of the power disconnect circuit, as proposed by the licensee July 13, 1988, do not alter the substance of the changes or the proposed no significant hazards determination as noticed in the Federal Register on March 12, 1987.

2.0 EVALUATION

The isolation valves in the discharge piping of the ECCS cold leg accumulators are required to be open during Operating Modes 1, 2 and 3 to assure that the accumulators can discharge their borated water into the reactor coolant system when needed to mitigate the consequences of a depressurization accident such as a LOCA. Once the valves are in proper ECCS position during startup, the TS requires that power to the valves be disconnected, and periodically verified to remain disconnected, in order to assure that the valves do not subsequently change position. Power is presently disconnected by removing the breaker from the circuit. The licensee has now modified the design of the power lockout circuit for the cold leg accumulator isolation valves and proposes to revise the TS to reflect use of this modification to disconnect power.

In its February 13, 1987 letter, the licensee provided an electrical schematic of the power lockout circuit modification provided for the cold leg accumulator isolation valves. The modification consists of an additional circuit breaker and motor contactor assembly that is inserted in the motor operator circuit of the accumulator isolation valve between the existing motor contactor and the valve motor. There is a two position switch (power disconnect switch) located in the control room with one set of contacts in the control circuit of the new motor contactor operating coil and an additional set of contacts in the seal-in circuit of the existing motor contactor close circuit. When the power disconnect switch is in the disconnect position the new motor contactor will remain open, removing power from the valve motor and preventing spurious or inadvertent actuation of the valve motor. When the power disconnect switch is in the enable position the new motor contactor will close following closure of the existing motor contactor, thereby allowing the valve motor to operate and reposition the valve.

Under the existing TS requirements, power is removed from cold leg accumulator isolation valve motors by removal of the circuit breaker that supplies power to the valve motor. The proposed new way of removing power from the valve motor is to place the power disconnect switch described above in the disconnect position so that the new motor contactor prevents connection of power to the valve motor. The existing McGuire Technical Specification calls for verifying that power to the isolation valve operator is disconnected by removal of the breaker from the circuit at least once per 31 days when the RCS pressure is above 2000 psig. The proposed new technical specifications deletes the words "by removal of the breaker from the circuit" and, as first requested, called only for verifying at least every 31 days that power to the isolation valve operator is disconnected when RCS pressure is above 2000 psig. By letter dated March 2, 1987, the licensee indicated that it would verify that power to the isolation valve operator is disconnected by verifying that the power disconnect switch was in the disconnect position and the valve indicator light indicated the valve was open.

The staff reviewed the licensee's submittal and found that the hardware modifications made to achieve power lockout for the cold leg accumulator isolation valves from the main control room were acceptable. However, the staff was concerned about the means that the licensee had indicated it would use to periodically verify the disconnection of power to the isolation valves. Specifically, the surveillance, which only verified that the power disconnect switch was in the disconnect position and the valve indicator light indicated the valve was open, would not verify that the power lockout circuit was actually functioning. Thus, the staff was concerned that undetected failures could occur, such as a short or malfunction of the switch contacts, which could result in disabling of the power lockout function.

The staff requested that the licensee provide additional justification for conducting the surveillance as proposed, or that the licensee provide a periodic surveillance that actually verifies the proper functioning of the power lockout circuit. By its September 8, 1987 letter, the licensee responded by comparing the existing and the proposed method of disconnecting power from the cold leg accumulator isolation valves. The licensee found the number of failures required to close the accumulator isolation valves was the same for each method.

However, the licensee also stated that all of the failures for the existing method may be personnel errors, whereas, in the case of the power disconnect switch, two of the failures must be equipment failures of a specific nature. The licensee therefore concluded that the power disconnect switch, in conjunction with the proposed surveillance, provided at least the same, if not greater, assurance that the valve was open with the power removed as does physically opening the breaker.

The staff disagreed with the above conclusion. Although we recognized the benefit to be gained in terms of reducing personnel errors by locating the power disconnecting means in the main control room where it can be more closely monitored, this advantage is more than offset by the fact that the proper functioning of the power disconnect circuit would not be checked. With the existing method called for in the McGuire Technical Specification of removing the breaker from the circuit, the verification that power is removed from the circuit when this done is obvious. Even when the breaker is left in the circuit and is the breaker is simply opened, verification that power is actually removed can be checked by the loss of valve position indication in the control room, although the loss of the position indication is not a desirable condition. No such direct indication of power removal existed with the proposed modification. Therefore, the NRC advised the licensee that the proposed surveillance was deficient because periodic surveillance of the proper functioning of the power disconnect circuitry was also needed.

The licensee responded to this NRC concern on July 13, 1988. The response proposed the addition of new surveillance TS 4.5.1.1.d which requires verification of the correct operation of the power disconnect circuit at least once every 18 months. With this addition, the staff finds that removal of power is reasonably assured and, therefore, that the proposed changes are acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve changes in surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational exposure. The NRC staff has made a determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (52 FR 7681) on March 12, 1987. The Commission consulted with the state of North Carolina. No public comments were received, and the state of North Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: D. Hood, PD#II-3/DRP-1/II

Dated: August 19, 1988 J. Lazevenick, SELB/DEST

Mr. H. B. Tucker
Duke Power Company

McGuire Nuclear Station

cc:

Mr. A.V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 33189
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dr. John M. Barry
Department of Environmental Health
Mecklenburg County
1200 Blythe Boulevard
Charlotte, North Carolina 28203

County Manager of Mecklenburg County
720 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Chief
Radiation Protection Branch
Division of Facility Services
Department of Human Resources
701 Barbour Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-2008

Mr. Robert Gill
Duke Power Company
Nuclear Production Department
P. O. Box 33189
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell
and Reynolds
1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Senior Resident Inspector
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 4, Box 529
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

S. S. Kilborn
Area Manager, Mid-South Area
ESSD Projects
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
MNC West Tower - Bay 239
P. O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

DATED: August 19, 1988

AMENDMENT NO. 91 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-9 - McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 1
AMENDMENT NO. 72 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-17 - McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 2

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PD#II-3 R/F

McGuire R/F

S. Varga 14-E-4

G. Lainas 14-H-3

D. Matthews 14-H-25

M. Rood 14-H-25

D. Hood 14-H-25

OGC-WF 15-B-18

B. Grimes 9-A-2

E. Jordan MNBB-3302

W. Jones P-130A

T. Barnhart (8) P1-137

ACRS (10) H-1016

GPA/PA 17-F-2

ARM/LFMB AR-2015

E. Butcher 11-F-23

D. Hagan MNBB-3302

F. Rosa

J. Lazevenick 8-D-20