

Docket Nos.: 50-369
and 50-370

June 6, 1988

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

SUBJECT ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 86 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-9 AND
AMENDMENT NO. 67 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-17 - MCGUIRE
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TACS ~~65549~~/65595)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 86 to Facility Operating License NPF-9 and Amendment No. 67 to Facility Operating License NPF-17 for the McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application dated June 5, 1987, and supplemental letter dated May 19, 1988.

The amendments change the Technical Specifications (TS) by excluding the snubber listings from TS 3/4.7.8 and its Bases. The amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

A copy of the related safety evaluation supporting Amendment No. 86 to Facility Operating License NPF-9 and Amendment No. 67 to Facility Operating License NPF-17 is enclosed.

Notice of issuance of amendments will be included in the Commission's next bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Darl Hood, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

8806130302 880606
PDR ADOCK 05000369
P PDR

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 86 to NPF-9
2. Amendment No. 67 to NPF-17
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

PD#II-3/DRP-I/II

MRood
5/24/88

PD#II-3/DRP-I/II

DHood:pw
5/24/88

PD#II-3/DRP-I/II

DMatthews
6/2/88

Mr. H. B. Tucker
Duke Power Company

McGuire Nuclear Station

cc:

Mr. A.V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 33189
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dr. John M. Barry
Department of Environmental Health
Mecklenburg County
1200 Blythe Boulevard
Charlotte, North Carolina 28203

County Manager of Mecklenburg County
720 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Chief
Radiation Protection Branch
Division of Facility Services
Department of Human Resources
701 Barbour Drive
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-2008

Mr. Robert Gill
Duke Power Company
Nuclear Production Department
P. O. Box 33189
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell
and Reynolds
1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Senior Resident Inspector
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 4, Box 529
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

S. S. Kilborn
Area Manager, Mid-South Area
ESSD Projects
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
MNC West Tower - Bay 239
P. O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

DATED: June 6, 1988

AMENDMENT NO. 86 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-9 - McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 1
AMENDMENT NO. 67 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-17 - McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 2

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
PD#II-3 R/F
McGuire R/F
S. Varga
G. Lainas
D. Matthews
M. Rood
D. Hood
OGC-WF
J. Partlow
E. Jordan
W. Jones
T. Barnhart (8)
ACRS (10)
GPA/PA
ARM/LFMB
E. Butcher
D. Hagan



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-369

McGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 86
License No. NPF-9

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-9 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated June 5, 1987, as supplemented May 19, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8806130307 880606
PDR ADOCK 05000369
P PDR

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-9 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 86, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: June 6, 1988

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MRodd
5/13/88

^{DH}
PM:PDII-3
DHood:pw
5/13/88

NRR:OTEB
EButner
5/18/88

OGC:WA
5/26/88

D:PDII-3
DMatthews
6/7/88

MEB
TMarsh
5/25/88



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-370

McGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 67
License No. NPF-17

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the McGuire Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-17 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated June 5, 1987, as supplemented May 19, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-17 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 67, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: June 6, 1988

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MRood
5/13/88

DSH
PM:PDII-3
DHood:pw
5/13/88

NRR:OTSB
EButcher
5/18/88

OGC:WF
5/26/88

D:PDII-3
DMatthews
6/7/88

MEB
TMargh
5/25/88

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO.

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-9

DOCKET NO. 50-369

AND

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO.

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-17

DOCKET NO. 50-370

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The corresponding overleaf pages are also provided to maintain document completeness.

<u>Amended Page</u>	<u>Overleaf Page</u>
3/4 7-18	3/4 7-17
3/4 7-20	
3/4 7-21	
3/4 7-22	
3/4 7-23	
3/4 7-24	
3/4 7-25	
3/4 7-26	
3/4 7-27	
3/4 7-28	
6-23	
B 3/4 7-5	

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

- 2) Verifying within 31 days after removal that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 1%; and
 - 3) Verifying a system flow rate of 54,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 1) or 43,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 2) during system operation when tested in accordance with ANSI N510-1975.
- c. After every 720 hours of charcoal adsorber operation, by verifying, within 31 days after removal, that a laboratory analysis of a representative carbon sample obtained in accordance with Regulatory Position C.6.b of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, meets the laboratory testing criteria of Regulatory Position C.6.a of Regulatory Guide 1.52, Revision 2, March 1978, for a methyl iodide penetration of less than 1%;
- d. At least once per 18 months, by:
- 1) Verifying that the pressure drop across the combined HEPA filters and charcoal adsorber banks of less than 6 inches Water Gauge while operating the system at a flow rate of 54,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 1) or 43,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 2), and
 - 2) Verifying that the system starts on a Safety Injection test signal and directs its exhaust flow through the HEPA filters and charcoal adsorbers.
- e. After each complete or partial replacement of a HEPA filter bank, by verifying that the HEPA filter bank satisfies the in-place penetration and bypass leakage testing criteria of less than 1% in accordance with ANSI N510-1975 for a DOP test aerosol while operating the system at a flow rate of 54,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 1) or 43,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 2); and
- f. After each complete or partial replacement of a charcoal adsorber bank, by verifying that the charcoal adsorber satisfies the in-place penetration and bypass leakage testing acceptance criteria of less than 1% in accordance with ANSI N510-1975 for a halogenated hydrocarbon refrigerant test gas while operating the system at a flow rate of 54,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 1) or 43,000 cfm \pm 10% (both fans operating - Unit 2).

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.8 SNUBBERS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.8 All snubbers shall be OPERABLE. The only snubbers excluded from the requirements are those installed on non-safety-related systems and then only if the failure or the failure of the system on which they are installed would not have an adverse effect on any safety-related system.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4. MODES 5 and 6 for snubbers located on systems required OPERABLE in those MODES.

ACTION:

With one or more snubbers inoperable, within 72 hours replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to OPERABLE status and perform an engineering evaluation per Specification 4.7.8g. on the attached component or declare the attached system inoperable and follow the appropriate ACTION statement for that system.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.8 Each snubber shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the following augmented inservice inspection program and the requirements of Specification 4.0.5.

a. Inspection Types

As used in this specification, type of snubber shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

b. Visual Inspections

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation and may be treated independently. The accessibility of each snubber shall be determined and approved by the Station Health Physicist or qualified designee prior to performing each visual inspection. The determination shall be based upon the then existing radiation levels in each snubber location and the expected time to perform the visual inspection and shall be in accordance with the recommendations of Regulatory Guides 8.8 and 8.10.

The first inservice visual inspection of each type of snubber shall be performed after 4 months but within 10 months of commencing POWER OPERATION and shall include all snubbers. If less than two snubbers of each type are found inoperable during the first inservice visual inspection, the second inservice visual inspection shall be performed 12 months \pm 25% from the date of the first inspection. Otherwise, subsequent visual inspections shall be performed in accordance with the following schedule:

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

d. Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria (Continued)

and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as found condition and determined OPERABLE per Specification 4.7.8f. When a fluid port of a hydraulic snubber is found to be uncovered the snubber shall be declared inoperable and shall not be determined OPERABLE via functional testing unless the test is started with the piston in the as-found setting, extending the piston rod in the tension mode direction. All snubbers connected to an inoperable common hydraulic fluid reservoir shall be counted as inoperable snubbers.

e. Functional Tests

During the first refueling shutdown and at least once per refueling thereafter, a representative sample of snubbers shall be tested using one of the following sample plans. The large bore steam generator hydraulic snubbers shall be treated as a separate population for functional test purposes. A 10% random sample from previously untested snubbers shall be tested at least once per refueling outage until the entire population has been tested. This testing cycle shall then begin anew. For each large bore steam generator hydraulic snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria, at least 10% of the remaining population of untested snubbers for that testing cycle shall be tested. The sample plan shall be selected prior to the test period and cannot be changed during the test period. The NRC shall be notified of the sample plan selected prior to the test period.

- 1) At least 10% of the snubbers required by Specification 3.7.8 shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test. For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of Specification 4.7.8f., an additional 10% of the snubbers shall be functionally tested until no more failures are found or until all snubbers have been functionally tested; or
- 2) A representative sample of the snubbers required by Specification 3.7.8 shall be functionally tested in accordance with Figure 4.7-1. "C" is the total number of snubbers found not meeting the acceptance requirements of Specification 4.7.8f (failures). The cumulative number of snubbers tested is denoted by "N." Test results shall be plotted sequentially in the order of sample assignment (i.e., each snubber shall be plotted by its order in the random sample assignments, not by the order of testing). If at any time the point plotted falls in the "Accept" region, testing of snubbers may be terminated. When the point plotted lies in the "Continue Testing" region, additional snubbers shall be tested until the point falls in the "Accept" region, or all the snubbers required by Specification 3.7.8 have been tested. Testing equipment failure during functional testing may invalidate that day's testing and allow that day's testing to resume anew at a later time, providing all snubbers tested with the failed equipment during the day of equipment failure are retested; or

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

e. Functional Tests (Continued)

- 3) An initial representative sample of fifty-five (55) snubbers shall be functionally tested. For each snubber which does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria, another sample of at least one-half the size of the initial sample shall be tested until the total number tested is equal to the initial sample size multiplied by the factor, $1 + C/2$, where "C" is the number of snubbers found which do not meet the functional test acceptance criteria. This plan be plotted using an "Accept" line which follows the equation $N = 55(1 + C/2)$. Each snubber should be plotted as soon as it is tested. If the point plotted falls on or below the "Accept" line, testing may be discontinued. If the point plotted falls above the "Accept" line, testing must continue unless all snubbers have been tested.

The representative samples for the functional test sample plans shall be randomly selected from the snubbers required by Specification 3.7.8 and reviewed before beginning the testing. The review shall ensure as far as practical that they are representative of the various configurations, operating environments, range of sizes, and capacities. Snubbers placed in the same locations as snubbers which failed the previous functional test shall be retested at the time of the next functional test but shall not be included in the sample plan. If during the functional testing, additional sampling is required due to failure of only one type of snubber, the functional testing results shall be reviewed at that time to determine if additional samples should be limited to the type of snubber which has failed the functional testing.

f. Functional Test Acceptance Criteria

The snubber functional test shall verify that:

- 1) Activation (restraining action) is achieved within the specified range in both tension and compression, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel;
- 2) Snubber bleed, or release rate where required, is present in both tension and compression, within the specified range;
- 3) Where required, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is within the specified range in both direction of travel; and
- 4) For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement.

Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.

PLANT SYSTEMS

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

g. Functional Test Failure Analysis

An engineering evaluation shall be made of each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria to determine the cause of the failure. The results of this evaluation shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers irrespective of type which may be subject to the same failure mode.

For the snubbers found inoperable, an engineering evaluation shall be performed on the components to which the inoperable snubbers are attached. The purpose of this engineering evaluation shall be to determine if the components to which the inoperable snubbers are attached were adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubbers in order to ensure that the component remains capable of meeting the designed service.

If any snubber selected for functional testing either fails to activate or fails to move, i.e., frozen-in-place, the cause will be evaluated and, if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, all snubbers of the same type subject to the same defect shall be evaluated in a manner to ensure their OPERABILITY. This testing requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated in Specification 4.7.8e. for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria.

h. Functional Testing of Repaired and Replaced Snubbers

Snubbers which fail the visual inspection or the functional test acceptance criteria shall be repaired or replaced. Replacement snubbers and snubbers which have repairs which might affect the functional test result shall be tested to meet the functional test criteria before installation in the unit. Mechanical snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service, and freedom-of-motion test must have been performed within 12 months before being installed in the unit.

i. Snubber Seal Replacement Program

The seal service life of hydraulic snubbers shall be monitored to ensure that the service life is not exceeded between surveillance inspections. The expected service life for the various seals, seal materials, and applications shall be determined and established based on engineering information and the seals shall be replaced so that the expected service life will not be exceeded during a period when the snubber is required to be OPERABLE. The seal replacements shall be documented and the documentation shall be retained in accordance with Specification 6.10.2.

This page intentionally deleted

This page intentionally deleted

This page intentionally deleted

This page intentionally deleted

This page intentionally deleted

This page intentionally deleted

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

RECORD RETENTION (Continued)

- g. Records of training and qualification for current members of the unit staff;
- h. Records of inservice inspections performed pursuant to these Technical Specifications;
- i. Records of reviews performed for changes made to procedures or equipment or reviews of tests and experiments pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59;
- j. Records of meetings of the NSRB and reports required by Specification 6.5.1.12;
- k. Records of the service lives of all snubbers including the date at which the service life commences and associated installation and maintenance records;
- l. Records of secondary water sampling and water quality; and
- m. Records of analyses required by the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program that would permit evaluation of the accuracy of the analysis at a later date. This should include procedures effective at specified times and QA records showing that these procedures were followed.

6.10.3 Records of quality assurance activities required by the QA Manual shall be retained for a period of time required by ANSI N45.2.9-1974.

6.11 RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM

Procedures for personnel radiation protection shall be prepared consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and shall be approved, maintained and adhered to for all operations involving personnel radiation exposure.

6.12 HIGH RADIATION AREA

6.12.1 In lieu of the "control device" or "alarm signal" required by paragraph 20.203(c)(2) of 10 CFR 20, each high radiation area, as defined in 10 CFR Part 20, in which the intensity of radiation is equal to or less than 1000 mrem/hr at 45 CM (18 in.) from the radiation source or from any surface which the radiation penetrates shall be barricaded and conspicuously posted as a high radiation area and entrance thereto shall be controlled by requiring issuance of a Radiation Work Permit (RWP). Individuals qualified in radiation protection procedures (e.g., Health Physics Technician) or personnel continuously escorted by such individuals may be exempt from the RWP issuance requirement during the performance of their assigned duties in high radiation areas with exposure rates equal to or less than 1000 mrem/hr provided they are otherwise following plant radiation protection procedures for entry into high radiation areas.

PLANT SYSTEMS

BASES

3/4.7.8 SNUBBERS

All snubbers are required OPERABLE to ensure that the structural integrity of the Reactor Coolant System and all other safety-related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. Snubbers excluded from this inspection program are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed, would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system.

Snubbers are classified and grouped by design and manufacturer but not by size. For example, mechanical snubbers utilizing the same design features of the 2 kip, 10 kip, and 100 kip capacity manufactured by Company "A" are of the same type. The same design mechanical snubbers manufactured Company "B" for the purposes of this specification would be of a different type, as would hydraulic snubbers from either manufacturer.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection to systems. Therefore, the required inspection interval varies inversely with the observed snubber failures and is determined by the number of inoperable snubbers found during an inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25%) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

To provide assurance of snubber functional reliability one of the three sampling and acceptance criteria methods are used:

1. Functionally test 10% of a type of snubber with an additional 10% tested for each functional testing failure, or
2. Functionally test a sample size and determine sample acceptance or continue testing* using Figure 4.7-1, or
3. Functionally test a representative sample size and determine sample acceptance or rejection using the stated equation.

Figure 4.7-1 was developed using "Wald's Sequential Probability Ratio Plan" as described in "Quality Control and Industrial Statistics" by Acheson J. Duncan.

Permanent or other exemptions from the surveillance program for individual snubbers may be granted by the Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and, if applicable, snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubber for the applicable design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date.

*If testing continues to between 100-200 snubbers (or 1-2 weeks) and still the accept region has not been reached, then the actual % of population quality (C/N) should be used to prepare for extended or 100% testing.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 86 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-9
AND AMENDMENT NO. 67 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-17

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NOS. 50-369 AND 50-370

MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated June 5, 1987 and supplemental letter dated May 19, 1988, Duke Power Company (the licensee) proposed amendments to revise McGuire Technical Specification (TS) 3/4.7.8 and its associated Bases to exclude the snubber listings in accordance with NRC Generic Letter (GL) 84-13, and to add a statement to Surveillance Specification 4.7.8.c to require periodic functional testing of large bore steam generator hydraulic snubbers. Specifically, the TS would be revised to:

- (1) Delete TS Table 3.7-4a "Safety-Related Hydraulic Snubbers - ITT Grinnell" and TS Table 3.7-4b "Safety-Related Mechanical Snubbers - Pacific Scientific."
- (2) Modify TS 3.7.8 which currently reads, "All snubbers listed in Tables 3.7-4a and 3.7-4b shall be operable." to read "All snubbers shall be operable. The only snubbers excluded from the requirements are those installed on non-safety related systems and then only if the failure of the system on which they are installed would not have an adverse effect on any safety related system."
- (3) Delete the references to TS Tables 3.7-4a and 3.7-4b in TS 4.7.8.b and TS 6.10.2.k.
- (4) Revise the Bases of TS 3/4.7.8 "Snubbers" to delete the requirement to list exempted snubbers in Tables 3.7-4a and 3.7-4b.
- (5) Add the following statement to Surveillance Specification 4.7.8.e "Functional Testing": "The large bore steam generator hydraulic snubbers shall be treated as a separate population for functional test purposes. A 10% random sample from previously untested snubbers shall be tested at least once per refueling outage until the entire population has been tested. This testing cycle shall then begin anew. For each large bore steam generator hydraulic snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria, at least 10% of the remaining population of untested snubbers for that testing cycle shall be tested."

The licensee's submittal of May 19, 1988, clarified the testing plan for the large bore steam generator hydraulic snubbers consistent with item (5) above. The substance of the changes noticed in the Federal Register on May 4, 1988 and the proposed no significant hazards determination were not affected by these clarifications.

2.0 EVALUATION

On May 3, 1984, the Commission issued GL 84-13, "Technical Specification for Snubbers." In GL 84-13 the Commission concluded that snubbers need not be listed within the TS provided the TS is modified to specify which snubbers are required to be operable. GL 84-13 provided model specifications for use by licensees requesting such changes. The model retains the recordkeeping requirements of current specifications. The Commission also noted that since any changes in snubber quantities, types, or locations would be a change to the facility, such changes would be subject to the provisions of 10 CFR Part 50.59 and would be reflected in the licensee's records (as required, in this case, by McGuire TS 6.10.2k).

The staff has reviewed the first four of the licensee's proposed changes (items 1 through 4 above) and finds that they implement and are consistent with the Commission's guidance in GL 84-13. The changes do not result in a change to the actual systems or design of the systems as installed with respect to snubbers. Limitations, restrictions and surveillances presently in the TS are not adversely affected. The same snubbers presently required to be operable will continue to be required operable (i.e., although the specific listing of snubbers is being deleted from the TS, the revised TS, nevertheless, specifies that all snubbers are to be operable except those installed on non-safety related systems whose failure would not adversely affect any safety-related system). The licensee will maintain the specific listings of snubbers required to be operable within the station surveillance procedures, will evaluate changes to these listings in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59, and will reflect changes to the listings in station records in accordance with TS 6.10.2k. Therefore, changes 1 through 4 have no adverse impact upon safety and are acceptable.

The staff has also evaluated the licensee's proposed change (item 5 above) to supplement surveillance specification 4.7.8e to add a requirement for functional testing of large bore steam generator hydraulic snubbers. The McGuire TS does not currently require these hydraulic snubbers to be tested. Rather, they are presently exempted by the footnote in Table 3.7-4a which states "*Plus 8 steam gen.--Paul-Monroe, Hyd. Size 2,755,000 lb. also exempt from functional testing." By deleting Table 3.7-4a in its entirety (item 1 above), this existing exemption is removed. Thus, the inclusion of requirements in the TS for functional testing of these snubbers is a more restrictive requirement and is one which will provide additional confidence in the reliability of the large bore steam generator hydraulic snubbers. Therefore, this change is also acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve changes to the installation or use of facility components located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and changes in surveillance requirements. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational exposure. The NRC staff has made a determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (53 FR 15910) on May 4, 1988. The Commission consulted with the state of North Carolina. No public comments were received, and the state of North Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: D. Hood, PD#II-3/DRP-I/II
J. Lenahan, RII

Dated: June 6, 1988

Sholly Coordinator

- 2 -

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: Atkins Library, University of North Carolina, Charlotte (UNCC Station), North Carolina 28223

Original signed by:

Darl S. Hood, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File

PDII-3 R/F

McGuire R/F

MRood


DHood

OGC-WF

Sholly Coord. (orig + copy)

DSH
LA:PDII-3
MRood
5/24/88

DSH
PM:PDII-3
DHood:pw
6/2/88


D:PDII-3
DMatthews
6/2/88



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 6, 1988

Docket Nos. 50-369
and 50-370

MEMORANDUM FOR: Sholly Coordinator

FROM: Darl S. Hood, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR PUBLICATION IN BIWEEKLY FR NOTICE - NOTICE
OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE
(TACS 65594/65595)

Duke Power Company, Docket Nos. 50-369 and 50-370, McGuire Nuclear Station,
Units 1 and 2, Mecklenburg County, North Carolina

Date of application for amendments: June 5, 1987, as supplemented May 19, 1988

Brief description of amendments: The amendments modified the Technical
Specifications (TS) by excluding the snubber listings from TS 3/4.7.8 and
its Bases.

Date of issuance: June 6, 1988

Effective date: June 6, 1988

Amendment Nos.: 86 and 67

Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-9 and NPF-17: Amendments revised the
Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in FEDERAL REGISTER: May 4, 1988 (53 FR 15910)

The licensee's May 19, 1988 submittal provided additional clarification and
did not affect the substance of the amendment request or the proposed no
significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a
Safety Evaluation dated June 6, 1988.

8806130314 880606
PDR ADDCK 05000369
P PDR