

October 19, 1998

Mr. D. N. Morey	<u>Distribution:</u>	GHill (4)
Vice President - Farley Project	Docket File	WBeckner
Southern Nuclear Operating	PUBLIC	ACRS
Company, Inc.	PD II-2 Rdg.	LPlisco, RII
Post Office Box 1295	JZwolinski	PSkinner, RII
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295	OGC	e-mail TLH3 - SE pages only

SUBJECT: JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - SUPPLEMENT TO SAFETY EVALUATION ASSOCIATED WITH AMENDMENT NOS. 138 AND 130 (TAC NOS. M98855 AND M98856)

Dear Mr. Morey:

On October 15, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Amendment No. 138 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-2 and Amendment No. 130 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-8 for the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant (FNP), Units 1 and 2, and issued the related Safety Evaluation (SE), dated October 15, 1998. The amendments change the Technical Specifications (TSs) to revise the Applicable Modes for Source Range Nuclear Instrumentation (TS 3/4.3.1, "Reactor Trip System Instrumentation"), provide allowances for an exception to the requirements for the state of the power supplies for residual heat removal discharge to charging pump suction valves following Mode changes (TS 3/4.5.2, "ECCS Subsystems - $T_{avg} > 350^{\circ}\text{F}$ " and TS 3/4.5.3, "ECCS Subsystems - $T_{avg} < 350^{\circ}\text{F}$ "), and delete cycle-specific guidance concerning manual engineered safety feature functional input checks.

In a conference call on October 16, 1998, Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (SNC) informed the NRC staff that corrections to the October 15, 1998, SE were necessary.

As a result, the NRC's October 15, 1998, SE is being supplemented to incorporate the corrections. These changes to the SE do not change the conclusions reached in the original SE. Enclosed is the page of the SE affected by these changes. Please replace the page of the October 15, 1998, SE with the enclosed revised page. The revised page contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The TS page is correct as issued.

If you have any questions regarding this SE supplement, please call me at (301) 415-2426.

Sincerely,
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Jacob I. Zimmerman, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364

Enclosure: As stated

cc: w/encl: See next page

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure
"E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM:PDII-2	LA:PDII-2	D:PDII-2				
NAME	JZimmerman:cn	LBerry	HBerkow				
DATE	10/28/98	10/28/98	10/28/98	1/198	1/197		

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\FARLEY\M98855CO. LET OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9811020167 981029
PDR ADOCK 05000348
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

1/0
DF01



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 29, 1998

Mr. D. N. Morey
Vice President - Farley Project
Southern Nuclear Operating
Company, Inc.
Post Office Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

SUBJECT: JOSEPH M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - SUPPLEMENT TO
SAFETY EVALUATION ASSOCIATED WITH AMENDMENT NOS. 138 AND 130
(TAC NOS. M98855 AND M98856)

Dear Mr. Morey:

On October 15, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Amendment No. 138 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-2 and Amendment No. 130 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-8 for the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant (FNP), Units 1 and 2, and issued the related Safety Evaluation (SE), dated October 15, 1998. The amendments change the Technical Specifications (TSs) to revise the Applicable Modes for Source Range Nuclear Instrumentation (TS 3/4.3.1, "Reactor Trip System Instrumentation"), provide allowances for an exception to the requirements for the state of the power supplies for residual heat removal discharge to charging pump suction valves following Mode changes (TS 3/4.5.2, "ECCS Subsystems - $T_{avg} > 350^{\circ}\text{F}$ " and TS 3/4.5.3, "ECCS Subsystems - $T_{avg} < 350^{\circ}\text{F}$ "), and delete cycle-specific guidance concerning manual engineered safety feature functional input checks.

In a conference call on October 16, 1998, Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (SNC) informed the NRC staff that corrections to the October 15, 1998, SE were necessary.

As a result, the NRC's October 15, 1998, SE is being supplemented to incorporate the corrections. These changes to the SE do not change the conclusions reached in the original SE. Enclosed is the page of the SE affected by these changes. Please replace the page of the October 15, 1998, SE with the enclosed revised page. The revised page contains vertical lines indicating the areas of change. The TS page is correct as issued.

If you have any questions regarding this SE supplement, please call me at (301) 415-2426.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Jacob I. Zimmerman", is positioned above the typed name.

Jacob I. Zimmerman, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364

Enclosure: As stated

cc: w/encl: See next page

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant

cc:

Mr. L. M. Stinson
General Manager -
Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Post Office Box 470
Ashford, Alabama 36312

Rebecca V. Badham
SAER Supervisor
Southern Nuclear Operating Company
P. O. Box 470
Ashford, Alabama 36312

Mr. Mark Ajluni, Licensing Manager
Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Post Office Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Mr. M. Stanford Blanton
Balch and Bingham Law Firm
Post Office Box 306
1710 Sixth Avenue North
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Mr. J. D. Woodard
Executive Vice President
Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Post Office Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

State Health Officer
Alabama Department of Public Health
434 Monroe Street
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1701

Chairman
Houston County Commission
Post Office Box 6406
Dothan, Alabama 36302

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Suite 23T85
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7388 N. State Highway 95
Columbia, Alabama 36319

suction to the containment sump during the recirculation phase of operation. In order to allow transfer to the recirculation phase, the TSs require that the RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves be closed with power supplied to the valves to allow realignment. In Mode 4, TS 3/4.5.3 requires that the RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves be closed with power removed from the valves to prevent possible overpressurization of the charging pump suction line piping.

In order to satisfy TS Surveillance Requirement 4.5.3.2, which specifies that the RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves be open with the power removed prior to entry into Mode 4 from Mode 3, the requirements of TS 3.5.2 would be violated. This conflict exists because the current TSs do not allow time between Modes 3 and 4 to remove power from the valves, or restore power to the valves during the transition from Mode 4 to Mode 3. The proposed TS change adds notes to TS 3/4.5.2 and TS 3/4.5.3 allowing 4 hours after transitioning between Modes 3 and 4 to allow restoration or removal of power to the valve operators as required. This change corrects an inconsistency in the current FNP TSs, and is consistent with allowances provided in the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications, NUREG-1431, Revision 1, dated April 7, 1995, to address similar situations and is, therefore, acceptable.

In other administrative TS changes, the licensee proposed to delete the cycle-specific guidance concerning manual engineered safety feature functional input checks. Because the cycle-specific surveillance has been completed in Operating Cycle 14, the TS guidance is no longer needed nor applicable. The staff considers this editorial change acceptable.

3.0 STAFF CONCLUSION

The staff has reviewed the licensee's proposed TS changes to resolve compliance issues related to the SR NI and RHR discharge to charging pump suction valves. Based on its review, the staff concludes that the proposed TS changes are acceptable.

4.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the State of Alabama official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (62 FR 33134, June 18, 1997). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no