



James F. Klapproth
Manager, Engineering and Technology

Nuclear Services
General Electric Company
175 Curtner Avenue, MC 706, San Jose, CA 95125-1088
408 925-5434, Fax: 408 925-3837
james.klapproth@gene.ge.com

October 30, 2001

MFN 01-063

U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Attention: Chief, Information Management Branch
Program Management
Policy Development and Analysis Staff

Subject: **Transmittal of Revision 2 of Proprietary Licensing Topical Report
NEDC-32721P, "Application Methodology for GE Stacked Disk
Suction Strainer"**

References:

- (1) Memorandum, "Summary of Meeting with GE Nuclear Energy", Robert M. Pulsifer to Stuart A. Richards, dated September 7, 2001
- (2) GE Letter MFN 97-048, "Transmittal of Revision 1 of Proprietary Licensing Topical Report NEDC-32721P; Application Methodology for GE Stacked Disk Suction Strainer", dated November 21, 1997
- (3) GE Letter MFN 99-021, "Transmittal of Supplemental Information to GE Licensing Topical Report NEDC-32721P; Application Methodology for GE Stacked Dick ECCS Suction Strainer", dated August 3, 1999
- (4) GE Letter MFN 99-026, "GE Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Supplemental Information to GE Licensing Topical Report NEDC-32721P; Application Methodology for GE Stacked Disk Suction Strainer", dated November 1, 1999
- (5) GE Letter MFN 99-027, "Revised GE Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding Supplemental Information to GE Licensing Topical Report NEDC-32721P; Application Methodology for GE Stacked Disk Suction Strainer", dated December 8, 1999

In accordance with our commitment of August 21, 2001, and as documented in Reference 1, GE Nuclear Energy is pleased to submit Revision 2 of the subject proprietary Licensing Topical Report (LTR) for your review and approval. This revision supersedes the previous version of this LTR in its entirety. Bars marked in the left hand margin identify the revised portions.

T007
0065

C.D. Rom
included

Concurrently with this submittal, and again in accordance with our commitment of August 21, 2001, GE Nuclear Energy also requests that the information provided in and attached to References (2) through (5) be removed from the docket. This information is no longer applicable, based on the revised LTR.

The major change in this revision of the LTR is to Chapter 4 – Calculation of Loads for New Strainer Applications. This chapter has been extensively revised with consistency in notation and increased mathematical rigor to address the difficulties in communications noted in Reference 1. Appendices B, E, F, G, H, and I have been removed, and Appendices C and D re-numbered as B and C, respectively. Original Appendices B and E documented analyses that have been superseded and no longer apply to the load definition. Original Appendices F, G, and H provided test data that supported the load definition, but was not directly used. Therefore, these Appendices are now only referenced information by the LTR. Material originally in Appendix I has been incorporated into the text in Chapter 4.

Several minor text changes were made in Chapters 1 through 3 for consistency with the major changes in Chapter 4, and several minor typographical errors were corrected.

Please note that Attachment (2) contains proprietary information of the type that GE maintains in confidence and withholds from public disclosure. Bars in the right hand margin identify the proprietary content. The information has been handled and classified as proprietary to GE as indicated in the Attachment (1) affidavit. GE hereby requests that this information be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with the provisions of 10CFR2.790.

Sincerely,



J. F. Klapproth, Manager
Engineering and Technology
GE Nuclear Energy
(408) 925-5434
james.klapproth@gene.ge.com

cc: RM Pulsifer – US NRC
TR McIntyre
J. Kaiser
GA Watford

Attachments:

- (1) General Electric Proprietary Information Affidavit
- (2) NEDC-32721P Revision 2, "Application Methodology for Stacked Disk Suction Strainer"

General Electric Company

AFFIDAVIT

I, **George B. Stramback**, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:

- (1) I am Project Manager, Regulatory Services, General Electric Company ("GE") and have been delegated the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding.
- (2) The information sought to be withheld is contained in GE report NEDC-32721P, *Application Methodology for the General Electric Stacked Disk ECCS Suction Strainer*, Revision 2, Class III (GE Proprietary Information), October 2001. The proprietary information is identified by side-bars on the right hand margin.
- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is the owner, GE relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Sec. 552(b)(4), and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 USC Sec. 1905, and NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), 2.790(a)(4), and 2.790(d)(1) for "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential" (Exemption 4). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought is all "confidential commercial information", and some portions also qualify under the narrower definition of "trade secret", within the meanings assigned to those terms for purposes of FOIA Exemption 4 in, respectively, Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 975F2d871 (DC Cir. 1992), and Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA, 704F2d1280 (DC Cir. 1983).
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
 - a. Information that discloses a process, method, or apparatus, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by General Electric's competitors without license from General Electric constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;
 - b. Information which, if used by a competitor, would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;

- c. Information which reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of General Electric, its customers, or its suppliers;
- d. Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future General Electric customer-funded development plans and programs, of potential commercial value to General Electric;
- e. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in both paragraphs (4)a., (4)b. and (4)e., above.

- (5) The information sought to be withheld is being submitted to NRC in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by GE, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by GE, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the originating component, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge. Access to such documents within GE is limited on a "need to know" basis.
- (7) The procedure for approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the staff manager, project manager, principal scientist or other equivalent authority, by the manager of the cognizant marketing function (or his delegate), and by the Legal Operation, for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside GE are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.
- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2), above, is classified as proprietary because it contains information regarding proprietary hydraulic design methodology applicable to the GE optimized stacked disk suction strainer.

The development of this information and the methods was achieved at a significant cost, on the order of several hundred thousand dollars, to GE.

The development of the evaluation process along with the interpretation and application of the analytical results is derived from the extensive experience database that constitutes a major GE asset.

- (9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to GE's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of GE's comprehensive BWR safety and technology base, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process. In addition, the technology base includes the value derived from providing analyses done with NRC-approved methods.

The research, development, engineering, analytical and NRC review costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by GE.

The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

GE's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the GE experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.

The value of this information to GE would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resources would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive GE of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its large investment in developing these very valuable analytical tools.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
)
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)

ss:

George B. Stramback, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he has read the foregoing affidavit and the matters stated therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed at San Jose, California, this 29th day of October 2001.

George B. Stramback
George B. Stramback
General Electric Company

Subscribed and sworn before me this 29th day of OCTOBER 2001.

Anna Hanlin
Notary Public, State of California

