

# **Official Transcript of Proceedings**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Title:** Environmental Scoping Process for North Anna  
Power Station, Units 1 and 2, License Renewal  
Application: Public Meeting: Afternoon Session

**Docket Number:** 50-338, 50-339

**Location:** Louisa County Office Building  
Louisa, Virginia

**Date:** Thursday, October 18, 2001

**Work Order No.:** NRC-052

**Pages 1-64**

**NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.  
Court Reporters and Transcribers  
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 234-4433**

**ATTACHMENT 1**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING PROCESS FOR

NORTH ANNA POWER STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2,

LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY,

OCTOBER 18, 2001

+ + + + +

The public meeting was held in the Public Meeting Room, Louisa County Office Building, One Woolfolk Avenue, Louisa, Virginia, at 1:30 p.m., Chris Grimes, presiding.

NRC REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT:

- CHRIS GRIMES, Chief, License Renewal and Standardization Branch, Facilitator
- ROBERT PRATO, Safety Project Manager
- ANDREW KUGLER, Environmental Project Manager

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PAGE

Introduction, Chris Grimes . . . . . 3

NRC Presentations:

Robert Prato . . . . . 6

Andrew Kugler . . . . . 15

Public Comments:

Lee Lintecum . . . . . 22

Linda Edwards . . . . . 24

Jimmy Candeto . . . . . 26

R. Duff Green . . . . . 28

Ashland Fortune . . . . . 29

William Hayden . . . . . 31

Jerry Rosenthal . . . . . 35

Lisa Gue . . . . . 40

Dave Heacock . . . . . 47

Bill Bolin . . . . . 52

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(1:32 p.m.)

MR. GRIMES: Ladies and gentlemen, if you'll take your seats, we'll try and get started on time, stay on time, and stop on time.

Good afternoon. My name is Chris Grimes. I'm Chief of the License Renewal and Standardization Branch of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Today I'm going to step out of that role to serve as the facilitator for this public meeting and to make sure that you accomplish and we accomplish some information sharing.

The purpose of this meeting is for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to discuss a license renewal application for the nuclear power plant at North Anna Station. And we want to share some information with you about the license renewal process, and we want to get some information from you about your interest in the process and the potential impacts of the license renewal for North Anna.

Briefly, the agenda for today's meeting is, first, we will begin with some short introductory descriptions of the process and the NRC's method of conducting a license renewal review, and then we're going to offer you an opportunity to ask some

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 questions about that process and to exchange  
2 information about any questions you might have about  
3 how we're going to go about satisfying our process.

4 And then we have a number of speakers who  
5 are going to provide comments about North Anna and  
6 license renewal and the public interest in the North  
7 Anna Station.

8 Could I have Slide 2, please?

9 This meeting is being transcribed. We  
10 want to make sure that we capture all of the thoughts,  
11 concerns, and interests that you have. It's very  
12 important that our process provide an opportunity, an  
13 ample opportunity, for public input.

14 The recorder for our meeting today is Mary  
15 Jo Mitchell, and she's going to make sure that we  
16 capture your every word.

17 Oral comments that we receive during  
18 today's meeting will be treated exactly the same as  
19 written comments that may be submitted to the NRC  
20 related to this licensing action.

21 The staff is going to explain how to  
22 submit written comments. When you ask questions or  
23 when you make comments, we'd appreciate it if you  
24 would identify yourself for the record and identify  
25 your affiliation if that's appropriate.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Also make sure that you use a microphone,  
2           and for our purpose this room size is just about  
3           right, but if you'll just raise your hand, I'll bring  
4           this walking stick over to you and you can use this  
5           microphone.

6           Also, if you happen to have any written  
7           materials that you want to submit, please make sure  
8           that the recorder gets a copy of those written  
9           materials so that they can be included with the  
10          transcript.

11          The basic rules for comments are we ask  
12          each speaker to limit their comments to about five  
13          minutes so that everyone will have an opportunity to  
14          comment. That's not a rigid rule, but if I find that  
15          speakers are, you know, taking more time than we can  
16          afford, I may ask you to sum up. We want to make sure  
17          that there's ample opportunity for all of you to  
18          participate in this process.

19          Also, I'd like to suggest if there are  
20          detailed comments, those might be more effectively  
21          submitted to us in writing, and as I explained, we're  
22          going to describe how the written comments can be  
23          submitted.

24          We're going to begin with presentations by  
25          the NRC staff on the process. First we're going to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 have Bob Prato, who is the Safety Project Manager for  
2 the Dominion application, describe an overview of the  
3 license renewal process.

4 Then we're going to have Andy Kugler, who  
5 is the Environmental Project Manager, describe the  
6 NEPA process and the environmental review.

7 And also I would like to introduce Joe  
8 Nakoski or John Nakoski. Excuse me. He is the  
9 Section Chief in the License Renewal Branch, and he's  
10 going to be available to help field questions in the  
11 event that you have questions about the plant or the  
12 process.

13 And with that, I'll ask Bob Prato to make  
14 his presentation about the license renewal process.

15 MR. PRATO: Everybody can hear me?

16 Okay. Good afternoon. I'm Bob Prato, and  
17 I am the Project Manager for the safety review of the  
18 North Anna Unit 1 and Unit 2 license renewal  
19 application.

20 And what that means is I coordinate all of  
21 the activities that involve about 30 different staff  
22 engineers and a national laboratory in the evaluation  
23 of the application from the safety perspective. And  
24 what I'm going to do today is give you a short  
25 presentation. In that presentation I'm going to have

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 three objectives.

2 The first objective is to give you context  
3 and the backdrop of why we are here, what brought us  
4 to this place today.

5 And then I'm going to discuss the staff's  
6 role and responsibilities with respect to license  
7 renewal.

8 And then I'm going to describe the safety  
9 review process in general.

10 At that point I'm going to open up the  
11 floor to questions. I'd like to remind you that this  
12 is your day, your opportunity to ask your questions,  
13 and I strongly encourage it. If you have any  
14 questions, please stand up and we'll be glad to answer  
15 them for you.

16 If you ask something we don't have the  
17 answer to right now, we will respond to you in  
18 writing. So I encourage anybody who has any questions  
19 to please step forward.

20 So without any further delay, let me talk  
21 a little bit about the context and the background.

22 As most of you know, on May 29th, 2001,  
23 Dominion, the corporation that owns and operates North  
24 Anna Unit 1 and Unit 2, submitted an application to  
25 renew the licenses for North Anna Units 1 and 2 for an

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 additional 20 years.

2 That was a dual application that they  
3 submitted. Now, we refer to it as a dual application  
4 because they not only submitted an application for  
5 North Anna's Unit 1 and Unit 2. They also submitted  
6 an application for Surry Unit 1 and Unit 2, the other  
7 nuclear power facility that's owned and operated by  
8 that company.

9 And what we're going to do as an  
10 organization is we're going to review both of those  
11 applications from the safety aspect in one single  
12 review, and the reason we're going to do that is  
13 because both of those facilities are identical or  
14 similar.

15 Their primary systems are identical. All  
16 four units are Westinghouse PWR, pressurized water  
17 reactors, that were built and designed during the same  
18 period, basic same period of time.

19 From there we're going to take a look at  
20 any differences, and we're going to evaluate the North  
21 Anna differences as entities in themselves and the  
22 Surry differences as entities in themselves.

23 And then we're going to take a look at any  
24 uniqueness for each of those plants and evaluate those  
25 individually, and this will help us to do a more

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 effective and efficient job overall.

2 And then for the environmental review,  
3 it's going to be done a little differently, and I'm  
4 not going to steal Andy's thunder, but basically  
5 they're going to take a look at both sites  
6 independently and do separate, independent review on  
7 the environmental aspects.

8 The reason for this is because the  
9 environmental review is site specific, and each site  
10 is unique. So there will be two separate evaluations  
11 for the environmental side of the review.

12 As far as the staff's roles and  
13 responsibilities -- next slide, please -- the Atomic  
14 Energy Act of 1954, as it has been amended over the  
15 years, gave the staff three basic responsibilities.  
16 The first is public health and safety. The second is  
17 to protect the environment. And the third is for the  
18 common defense and security of nuclear power  
19 facilities.

20 The Atomic Energy Act also limited the  
21 licensing of nuclear facilities for no more than 40  
22 years, but at the same time gave the staff the  
23 authority to renew those licenses for additional 20-  
24 year periods.

25 Now, the original limitation for 40 years

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 was not based on any technical limitations, not even  
2 for generating facilities in the 1950s. The reason  
3 that the 40-year limitation was put on was because of  
4 antitrust and other economic reasons.

5           However, because the original licensing  
6 term was limited to 40 years, some of the systems,  
7 structures, and components were only designed to  
8 operate for 40 years. Realizing this, in the early  
9 '80s, about the same time as many of the early  
10 facilities started to think about relicensing of their  
11 plants, the staff recognized that they needed to  
12 identify what was needed to grant an extended license  
13 and to define the process that would need to be  
14 implemented to achieve that goal.

15           Therefore, the Commission set forth the  
16 requirements for license renewal in Part 54 of Title  
17 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Now, Title 10  
18 of the Code of Federal Regulations is just the laws  
19 that govern the use of nuclear material in this  
20 country. That's all nuclear material. That's  
21 medical, industrial, and power generating  
22 applications.

23           10 CFR, Part 50 are the rules for the  
24 operation of nuclear facilities once a license is  
25 received.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Part 54 defines the requirements for  
2 renewing those licenses.

3           In addition, Part 51, a companion  
4 regulation to Part 54, provides the scope of the  
5 environmental review.

6           Okay. Part 54 does define the process,  
7 and as far as the process goes -- next slide,  
8 please -- Part 54 allows an applicant to renew the  
9 license; allows an applicant to submit an application  
10 to renew a license after 20 years of operation. The  
11 reason they are allowed to submit an application for  
12 a renewed license with as much as 20 years of  
13 operation left on their current license is because the  
14 time for design and construction of a new, major  
15 generating facility, such as a nuclear power plant or  
16 large coal-fired unit, is approximately ten years.

17           Now, if an applicant comes in after 20  
18 years of operation and submits a request to renew  
19 their license and they go through this two, three-year  
20 process, and at the end of that process they are  
21 denied permission to renew that license, then they  
22 have sufficient time to determine what alternate  
23 source they're going to use, to site that facility, to  
24 do the planning, the design and the construction  
25 without the loss of power during the period of time

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 where the need for power is constantly increasing.

2 So that's the reason why we allow them to  
3 come in with as much as 20 years left on their current  
4 license. The whole intent is to keep the lights on.

5 In addition, Part 54 provides the  
6 expectations for the public, the staff, and any  
7 potential applicant regarding the plant inspection and  
8 maintenance practices for the period of extended  
9 operation that must be demonstrated before a renewed  
10 license is issued.

11 In other words, the rule defines the  
12 safety and environmental requirements to help us  
13 determine the best decision for the facility as to  
14 whether or not to decommission it or allow it to  
15 continue to operate.

16 The rule, Part 54 again, focuses on the  
17 aging of passive, long-lived structures and  
18 components. The reason the Commission focused the  
19 requirements for license renewal on passive, long-  
20 lived structures and components is because there are  
21 ongoing regulatory requirements and processes in place  
22 that monitor and maintain the remaining systems,  
23 structures, and components and address emergency  
24 planning and security planning.

25 These are things that are constantly and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 routinely attended to under the regulations. However,  
2 those processes did not explicitly look at plant  
3 design capability to manage long-term degradation of  
4 equipment due to aging.

5 So the license renewal application focuses  
6 on those inspection programs and maintenance practices  
7 that are used to maintain the margin of safety in  
8 plant safety equipment by managing applicable aging  
9 effects.

10 In light of all that has been said, the  
11 staff reviews the applicant's license renewal  
12 application from a safety and an environmental impact  
13 aspect and issues a safety evaluation report and an  
14 environmental impact statement to document our  
15 findings. Those reports are taken together with two  
16 additional independent reviews.

17 The Commission's Advisory Committee on  
18 Reactor Safeguards, better known as ACRS, is an  
19 independent body of experts primarily from industry  
20 and academia who have particular expertise in safety  
21 issues, and they evaluate the quality of the staff's  
22 findings and they document these findings in a report  
23 to the Commission.

24 There is also an independent inspection  
25 program that verifies certain key elements of the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 staff's safety findings as well, and these are  
2 documented in inspection reports also.

3 Therefore, the Commission decision on the  
4 license renewal application for North Anna and Surry  
5 will rely on the staff's safety evaluation, the  
6 environmental impact statement that includes the  
7 public comments, the ACRS report, and the inspection  
8 findings.

9 The schedule for this process is about 25  
10 months long from the date that the application was  
11 submitted. If requests for petitions to intervene had  
12 been received, that schedule would have been extended  
13 approximately five months to allow for the hearings,  
14 but no petitions were received.

15 That means we are currently scheduled to  
16 make a decision as to whether or not to renew the  
17 licenses for North Anna and Surry in July of 2003.

18 Now, that concludes my presentation. Does  
19 anybody have any questions?

20 MR. GRIMES: Are there any questions about  
21 the overall process for license renewal before we have  
22 Mr. Kugler go into the environmental review process?

23 (No response.)

24 MR. PRATO: Before I sit down, I want you  
25 to know that John and I will be available if anybody

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 has any questions that come to mind after the meeting.  
2 Please approach us. We will do everything we can to  
3 make sure that your questions are answered. Okay?

4 Thank you.

5 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Bob.

6 Mr. Kugler will now describe the  
7 environmental review process.

8 MR. KUGLER: Thank you, Chris.

9 Good afternoon, everybody. My name is  
10 Andy Kugler, and I am managing the environmental  
11 review for the North Anna license renewal application.

12 I'm overseeing a team of experts in  
13 various fields who are evaluating the impacts of this  
14 activity.

15 Next slide, please.

16 The first thing I'd like to do is explain  
17 the process that we're using. It's a process that  
18 follows the guidelines in the National Environmental  
19 Policy Act, also referred to as NEPA.

20 NEPA requires us to use a systematic  
21 approach -- I'm hearing this feedback or is it just  
22 me? Is it? Okay -- systematic approach to evaluating  
23 the impacts of the proposed action of license renewal.  
24 We look at the impacts of the action, and we also  
25 consider mitigation for any significant impacts.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1           In addition, we'll look for any  
2 alternatives to the proposed action and consider their  
3 environmental impacts as well.

4           The NEPA process is a disclosure tool  
5 that's intended to involve the public and allow us to  
6 gain insight into what the public's concerns are.

7           The Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
8 considers license renewal to be a major Federal  
9 action, and what that means for us here is that we  
10 will prepare an environmental impact statement to  
11 document the results of our evaluation.

12           Currently we're in the process of  
13 gathering the information we need to perform that  
14 review, and part of that is to gather input from the  
15 public. What we're looking for to a large degree is  
16 are there any issues that the public considers to be  
17 a concern to the environment in relation to the  
18 operation of North Anna and particularly in relation  
19 to license renewal.

20           Next slide, please.

21           This slide gives an idea of the basic  
22 approach that we take in our review. We're attempting  
23 to determine whether or not license renewal is  
24 acceptable from an environmental perspective, and  
25 we're looking to determine whether or not to preserve

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 this option of continued operation of these units  
2 beyond the original license.

3 One thing I'd like to emphasize here  
4 because it's not always clear to people is that our  
5 determination is simply whether or not it's acceptable  
6 to continue operation. Whether or not the plants are  
7 actually operated for an additional 20 years would be  
8 -- that decision would be made by the licensee, by  
9 state and local officials. They make that decision.  
10 Our decision simply gives them that option.

11 Next slide, please.

12 This flow chart gives a little more detail  
13 of the environmental review. It's similar to the  
14 slide that Bob showed you on the overall process.

15 We received the application at the end of  
16 May of this year, and we issued a notice of intent in  
17 September of this year. And the notice of intent  
18 indicated we planned to issue or develop an  
19 environmental impact statement, and it also began the  
20 scoping period, requesting public input into the  
21 process.

22 This meeting is one part of the scoping  
23 process. We're also looking for comments in writing  
24 if people prefer to submit them in that form.

25 In addition, during this same time and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 during our visit here, we are spending time out at the  
2 site with the team, looking at the site and the  
3 environment around the site.

4 As a result of our review of the  
5 environmental report and other inputs, we'll determine  
6 whether or not we need additional information from the  
7 licensee, and we'll make any request for additional  
8 information by December of this year.

9 We'll then complete the development of a  
10 draft environmental impact statement by May of next  
11 year and publish it for comment. It will be available  
12 for you to make comments on at that point, and we will  
13 also come back here at that time for another meeting  
14 to give you the opportunity to provide us with  
15 comments in person.

16 After that we will take any comments that  
17 we receive on the draft, make any appropriate changes  
18 to the document, and develop a final environmental  
19 impact statement, and we expect to issue that around  
20 December of next year.

21 Next slide, please.

22 In preparing the environmental impact  
23 statement, we take inputs from a number of different  
24 places. We look at the licensee's environmental  
25 report, which was part of their application. We take

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the public comments that we get here at this meeting  
2 and submitted through other sources to us. We talk to  
3 other Federal agencies, state and local agencies,  
4 local officials, social service agencies.

5 So we gather information from all the  
6 sources that we can find basically that will provide  
7 us with input that we can use to make our  
8 recommendations.

9 Next slide, please.

10 The team is made up of a number of experts  
11 in various fields, and in this slide we're trying to  
12 give you an idea of the various areas that we cover.  
13 We're looking at everything from the air to the water,  
14 the ground, land use, archeological and cultural  
15 resources, and also socioeconomic impacts, an area  
16 that many people might not consider when we start  
17 talking environmental, but that is an area that we  
18 consider. And included in that is a special category  
19 called environmental justice, and we're looking at if  
20 there are any inordinate impacts on certain groups  
21 caused by the proposed action, in this case the  
22 continued operation of this plant.

23 The people that we have on our team are a  
24 mix of people from the NRC staff and from some of our  
25 national labs, and we bring in expertise from the labs

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in order to make sure that we have all of the experts  
2 that we need to evaluate the operation of the plant.

3 Next slide, please.

4 I am the agency's point of contact for the  
5 environmental review. My phone number is here if you  
6 need to reach me for some reason, if you have some  
7 questions that you want to ask.

8 The documents associated with license  
9 renewal are going to be available in two places  
10 nearby. One is the library here, the Louisa County  
11 Library, and we're also placing documents in the  
12 Alderman Library at the University of Virginia. That  
13 was the old local public document room if any of you  
14 have used that previously.

15 The application is there. We will be  
16 putting documents there as we generate them. As we  
17 issue documents they will go to the libraries, and  
18 we'll also put a copy of some reference materials  
19 there as well. So if you want to look at them first  
20 hand, you have that opportunity.

21 You can also look at documents through our  
22 Web site. The key documents associated with license  
23 renewal are available under license renewal. Other  
24 documents that come in that are associated with the  
25 plant are available through our document management

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 system, called ADAMS.

2 Next slide, please.

3 We tried to provide you with as many ways  
4 to submit comments as we could. Obviously this  
5 meeting is one opportunity to do so. All verbal  
6 comments here will be treated as we would any written  
7 comments.

8 You can submit comments in writing to the  
9 address shown on this slide. You can also submit  
10 comments electronically to the E-mail address that's  
11 provided at the bottom of this slide.

12 And an option almost nobody takes, but you  
13 could come visit us in Rockville if you want and  
14 deliver the comments in person. People don't take  
15 that option too often.

16 All the comments that we receive will be  
17 considered in developing the environmental impact  
18 statement. The comments that we receive today and in  
19 writing during the scoping period will be summarized,  
20 and we'll issue a scoping summary report.

21 And if when you filled out the  
22 registration card you put your address on there, we  
23 will mail you a copy of that summary report so you can  
24 see it. If not, it will also obviously be available  
25 through our document management system and in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 libraries once it's issued.

2 That summarizes the environmental review  
3 process and completes my comments.

4 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Andy.

5 Are there any questions about the  
6 environmental review process or any questions about  
7 what the NRC's role is in this licensing activity?

8 (No response.)

9 MR. GRIMES: If not, I'm going to proceed  
10 on and introduce the speakers who have signed up to  
11 provide oral comments to us, and our first speaker is  
12 going to be Lee Lintecum, who is the Louisa County  
13 Administrator.

14 Mr. Lintecum.

15 MR. LINTECUM: Thank you very much. It's  
16 a pleasure to meet with you, and it's a pleasure to  
17 have the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and allow us  
18 the opportunity to speak on the relicensing of the  
19 North Anna nuclear power plant.

20 Let me first say welcome to beautiful  
21 Louisa, and thank you for affording us this  
22 opportunity. And, of course, as County Administrator,  
23 I'm also representing the Louisa County Board of  
24 Supervisors.

25 During my tenure here, we have found

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Dominion to be a very good corporate citizen. Their  
2 safety and security concerns and personnel are  
3 excellent. They work very closely with the Sheriff's  
4 Department and with our emergency services personnel  
5 and keep the county personnel advised of all unusual  
6 and usual incidents that take place there.

7 Dominion has proved to be a very good  
8 civic citizen, contributing both time and financial  
9 resources, both corporately and through its  
10 individuals. For instance, the North Anna Volunteers  
11 recently helped us with a park by providing free labor  
12 and equipment. They erected a picnic shelter and  
13 helped develop a ball field that probably saved  
14 taxpayers \$20,000 and hastened the development of the  
15 park.

16 So that's the type of relationship we have  
17 enjoyed with Dominion.

18 I guess the biggest contribution that  
19 Dominion makes is in regard to our employment and tax  
20 base. Dominion is, by far, the largest employer in  
21 the county, employing over 900 people, and it  
22 contributes over \$12 million a year in real property  
23 tax.

24 To help you understand what that means is  
25 that without the North Anna nuclear power plant, in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 order to bring in that same amount of revenue we would  
2 have to double the current real estate tax rate, and  
3 our citizens cannot support that at this time. We are  
4 working very hard to expand our tax base and be not so  
5 dependent upon one source, but we still have a long  
6 way to go in that regard.

7 In summary, the North Anna power plant is  
8 vital to Louisa now, and it could have devastating  
9 effects without it. We, again, enjoy a very good  
10 relationship with the people there, and we feel good  
11 about their operations.

12 Thank you.

13 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Mr. Lintecum.

14 Our next speak is going to be Linda  
15 Edwards. Ms. Edwards is the Director of the Louisa  
16 County Economic Development Administration.

17 MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. Thank you very  
18 much for asking me to add a few comments to Mr.  
19 Lintecum's words.

20 I would like to just address a few  
21 relevant points so as not to be redundant in what he  
22 has said.

23 North Anna Power Station is a good  
24 economic development partner. This is to say Dominion  
25 Power and Louisa County have a very good relationship

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in the field of economic development. I enjoy working  
2 with Kent Hill, who is the Economic Development  
3 Director stationed in Richmond. He is always  
4 available for questions, to do research, and has at  
5 every opportunity been very helpful to me in my work.

6 Dominion Power has for many years provided  
7 marketing material in economic development, and up  
8 until just recently we were using this brochure, and  
9 I might add that they do this for many, many counties  
10 in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

11 I'm currently working with the Office of  
12 Economic Development in Richmond on a new brochure,  
13 which will be very important to us in our marketing  
14 program as we try to broaden our business base and tax  
15 base.

16 I'd like to mention just one simple way  
17 that it adds up, all of the many, many opportunities  
18 that Dominion provides for us, but for example,  
19 Dominion annually supports the IDA, the Industrial  
20 Development Authority's annual meeting.

21 In summary, North Anna is profoundly good  
22 for Louisa. Louisa needs, wants, and welcomes the  
23 North Anna Power Station. From a personal point of  
24 view, if it were not for this leader of Dominion and  
25 our key community servant, my job would be even more

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 critical and it would be even more difficult to  
2 provide what we need to provide for our county.

3 Thank you very much, and we are extremely  
4 happy to be partners in economic development with  
5 Dominion Power.

6 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Ms. Edwards.

7 Our next speaker is going to be Jimmy  
8 Candeto, who is the Mineral Town Manager.

9 MR. CANDETO: I just want to say thank you  
10 for this opportunity to speak to you all on North  
11 Anna's behalf.

12 I feel I've only been here a short eight  
13 months in this area, but I'm very much impressed with  
14 what I've seen at North Anna. Louisa County is  
15 extremely fortunate to have North Anna Power Station.  
16 The financial benefits are extremely attractive to the  
17 county.

18 The combined salaries reach almost \$50  
19 million, which contributes significantly to our local  
20 economy. They paid last year ten and a half million  
21 dollars to the County of Louisa, and since the  
22 inception, they have paid \$160 million in taxes to the  
23 County of Louisa.

24 I personally admire the commitment to the  
25 environment. The company has adopted policies that

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 are compatible with protecting our natural resources.  
2 They work to protect all migratory birds with policies  
3 and procedures from the U.S. Department of Wildlife.  
4 Dominion biologists regularly monitor the health of  
5 the fish in Lake Anna.

6 A two-year study of Lake Anna confirms  
7 that the lake supports a healthy fish population.

8 North Anna desires to be a good corporate  
9 citizen, and they've proven to be one. They've  
10 demonstrated this in the past by adopting a highway,  
11 keeping it free of litter. They've provided  
12 Thanksgiving baskets to the needy. They've  
13 contributed blood drives. They've sent mentally and  
14 physically challenged children to camp.

15 Over the last decade, they have donated  
16 more than \$100,000 in support of the Louisa community.  
17 Some recipients include the Louisa County Library,  
18 LinkAges of Louisa, after prom school parties, 4-H  
19 Council, and Crime Solvers.

20 Their employees are also generous with  
21 their money. From 1998 through 2000, they contributed  
22 more than \$315,000 to the United Way. I admire the  
23 generosity of the company and their employees and feel  
24 privileged to speak on their behalf.

25 Thank you.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. GRIMES: Our next speaker is going to  
2 be Duff Green, who is the Orange County Emergency  
3 Services Coordinator.

4 Mr. Green.

5 MR. GREEN: Thank you, Chris, ladies and  
6 gentlemen.

7 My name is Duff Green, and I'm the  
8 Emergency Management Coordinator for Orange County, a  
9 job in which I've been associated for about 20 years.

10 Let me state at the onset that I'm not an  
11 employee of North Anna and have never been an  
12 employee, and I don't even own any stock in  
13 Dominion/Virginia Power.

14 I retired after 50 years in the newspaper  
15 business and became associated with emergency  
16 management when I was elected to the Orange County  
17 Board of Supervisors in 1978. I was Chairman of the  
18 board my last four years, and this by Virginia law  
19 made me automatically become Orange County's Director  
20 of Emergency Management.

21 After retiring from the board, I accepted  
22 the job as Emergency Management Coordinator, which is  
23 mostly volunteer.

24 I have followed North Anna Nuclear Power  
25 Station's progress since it first dammed the North

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Anna River in 1970, and I have nothing but praise for  
2 its safety record and environment and its efficient  
3 operation.

4 This facility has had a tremendous  
5 economic benefit to the citizens of Orange County and  
6 its other surrounding counties. The station's  
7 employees are highly qualified people who also serve  
8 with numerous volunteer organizations and church  
9 boards in Orange County.

10 The short of it all, I strongly recommend  
11 that North Anna be given a renewal of its operation  
12 (sic) to operate.

13 Thank you.

14 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Mr. Green.

15 Our next speaker is going to be Ashland  
16 Fortune, the Louisa County Sheriff.

17 SHERIFF FORTUNE: Thank you, Chris.

18 It's a pleasure to stand before you all  
19 this afternoon to give you some of the impact that  
20 North Anna has brought to Louisa County.

21 I live exactly eight miles from the  
22 traffic light out here to my home on Route 208. Many  
23 times I've been out in the yard working, and people  
24 have stopped and wanted to know where Lake Anna and  
25 Virginia Power is located. I direct them there and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 give them my card. I get a letter back from them  
2 thanking me for letting them know about Virginia  
3 Power.

4 They go out to it, come back, and they are  
5 real enthused with it.

6 Virginia Power has done a lot for Louisa  
7 County. I've been in law enforcement in my 38th year  
8 right here in Louisa Country. I see a lot of changes.  
9 I see factories close up. We've got two factories  
10 that closed up about 10 to 12 years ago, and that  
11 really hurt Louisa County, but Virginia Power took the  
12 slack up and carried Louisa County on in tax revenue.

13 And I'm here to tell you this afternoon  
14 that I would like to see that the license be renewed  
15 and Virginia Power continue because it help so many  
16 civic organizations.

17 I'm involved in the Crime Solvers. They  
18 have played a big part with Crime Solvers, and the  
19 money they have donated to the Crime Solvers really  
20 put an impact on solving crime. The citizens know  
21 their information will go a long way when they call in  
22 a crime.

23 Now, also Virginia Power also has kept  
24 food on people's tables here, clothes on the  
25 children's backs, helped the school system, given

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 millions of dollars a year to needy families. I'm  
2 here to tell you that and I believe that Virginia  
3 Power's license should be renewed and continued on to  
4 serve the community and furnish power to all over the  
5 world.

6 Thank you.

7 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Sheriff Fortune.

8 Our next speaker is going to be William  
9 Hayden with the Lake Anna Civic Association.

10 MR. HAYDEN: Good afternoon, ladies and  
11 gentlemen. My name is William Hayden. I am President  
12 of the Lake Anna Civic Association.

13 We presently have over 1,500 members who  
14 live or own property in over 120 subdivisions around  
15 Lake Anna. I represent and speak for those property  
16 owners today.

17 Dominion Power and its predecessor,  
18 Virginia Electric Power Company, in the operation of  
19 the North Anna Power Station have been an excellent  
20 neighbor to us, and the stewardship of the environment  
21 has been outstanding.

22 After the lake was created and flooded,  
23 they monitored the aging or maturing of the lake for  
24 over 20 years on a continuous basis at a number of  
25 sampling points to insure that no negative impacts

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 were developing.

2           Several years ago, the North Anna Power  
3 Plant stopped their water sampling program. The Lake  
4 Anna Civic Association in the summer of 2000 formed a  
5 water quality committee, and in conjunction with the  
6 Virginia Department of Environmental Quality developed  
7 a program to monitor the water quality in Lake Anna.

8           As we were doing our background work to  
9 determine what had been done in the past, the  
10 personnel at the North Anna Power Station provided us  
11 with the environmental data that they had collected  
12 over a 20-year period. This data was extremely  
13 helpful in guiding us as we determined what we should  
14 do and how we should shape our program.

15           We started our water sampling efforts this  
16 past fall after receiving training by personnel from  
17 the York watershed and Virginia Department of  
18 Environmental Quality.

19           We started initially with 13 sites and now  
20 expanded from the 13 sites to 20 and anticipate  
21 further expansion to 26 sites around the various  
22 points in the lake.

23           As we were getting our water quality  
24 program organized, we realized very quickly that  
25 although we could collect the water samples through

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the use of volunteers at virtually no cost, there was  
2 a substantial requirement for funds to purchase our  
3 initial water sampling equipment, as well as a  
4 recurring cost to have the water samples analyzed.

5 As an example, our initial water sampling  
6 kits cost us \$270 each, and so far we have purchased  
7 20 sets, for a total of \$5,400 and are planning to  
8 purchase an additional six sets soon.

9 Although several organizations promised to  
10 assist us with funds to cover our water quality  
11 monitoring program, Dominion was the first to step  
12 forward and come through with an initial donation of  
13 \$1,500.

14 These funds, along with funds put up by  
15 the Lake Anna Civic Association allowed us to purchase  
16 our initial equipment and get our program started. At  
17 this time we're testing the water in Lake Anna at 20  
18 sites, and we're testing the water for turbidity,  
19 temperature, acidity, fecal chloroform, phosphorus,  
20 and dissolved oxygen. We anticipate soon in adding  
21 nitrate and chlorophyll testing.

22 The present laboratory analysis cost to us  
23 is \$45 per sample for each sample analyzed. The  
24 nitrate will add another \$35. The chlorophyll will  
25 add another \$60. This will make our total test cost

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 of \$140 per site, or \$3,640 for analysis costs for one  
2 test series at 26 sites.

3 The North Anna Power Plant works closely  
4 with us on all of our activities. Their  
5 representative to our association is George O'Connell.  
6 I do not believe he has ever missed one of our  
7 meetings, either annual meetings or our monthly board  
8 meetings.

9 This allows Dominion to be appraised of  
10 our thinking on issues that we are presently dealing  
11 with, as well as things that we are planning for in  
12 the future.

13 This past June we sponsored a Land on the  
14 Lake Day to provide a forum for community education on  
15 the environmental concerns around Lake Anna. Again,  
16 Dominion quickly stepped forward with an offer to let  
17 us use their Visitor Center facilities and, in  
18 addition, donated \$1,000 to us to assist in funding  
19 the program.

20 This program was extremely well attended  
21 by over 700 property owners from around Lake Anna, as  
22 well as the surrounding community. Again, we offer  
23 our thanks to Dominion for their assistance in helping  
24 us carry out our environmental program.

25 Dominion has also supported us since the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 formation of our association by making available their  
2 Visitor Center meeting rooms for our annual meetings.  
3 This was done even though our annual meetings are on  
4 Saturdays when the center is normally closed. So they  
5 have gone out of their way to assist us.

6 In closing, I would like to reiterate that  
7 Dominion is well liked by all property owners around  
8 Lake Anna. In our perception, they are good stewards  
9 of the environment. They do not disturb us in any  
10 way, and they just very quietly do their thing of  
11 generating electricity without polluting our  
12 environment.

13 They run a very safe facility, and we have  
14 all grown very comfortable with having them as  
15 neighbors. So much so that we often tend to forget  
16 that there is even a nuclear facility nearby.

17 Thank you for affording me the opportunity  
18 to address you today in relation to your deliberations  
19 relative to the North Anna operating permit for the  
20 power station, and I would urge you to renew it.

21 Thank you.

22 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Mr. Hayden.

23 Our next speaker is going to be Jerry  
24 Rosenthal with the Concerned Citizens of Louisa.

25 MR. ROSENTHAL: I thank you for allowing

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 me to speak and for holding this meeting.

2 I'm in my 22nd year of being involved with  
3 North Anna, watching what goes on at the plant, and  
4 the issue of getting a license renewal is much more  
5 serious than just a few jobs or the taxes that's going  
6 on here.

7 What's happening in this county is being  
8 asked to buy a used car. We're getting a car that's  
9 been out there for a whole bunch of years, and we've  
10 got some serious things that need to look at it.

11 Let's look at several issues to begin  
12 with. I think we can start with September 11 and look  
13 at the issue with that.

14 We have sitting there on the flight path  
15 from Dulles. Less than seven minutes with a jet from  
16 Dulles that's in the air, it could be at North Anna.  
17 What would happen if that jet crashed into the plant?

18 We need to investigate that. We need to  
19 investigate multiple terrorists coming at the plant,  
20 not one terrorist, not one group. Two groups, three  
21 groups coming from land and sea at the same time.  
22 What would be the effect of doing this?

23 We are sitting at the plant not just with  
24 the production facilities, but storage facilities. We  
25 have the water, the swimming pools, and we have dry

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cask.

2 What happens if a terrorist got into the  
3 dry cask facility and hit the cask with a rocket  
4 grenade? It doesn't have to make a nuclear explosion.  
5 It can send that nuclear material out.

6 How would they feel when Lake Anna has got  
7 all sorts of plutonium in there?

8 So we have September 11 as sitting right  
9 there. Secondly, we have the issue of MOX. Virginia  
10 Power has wavered on this MOX. They came before the  
11 Board of Supervisors here, sent a letter saying they  
12 should not use MOX.

13 They reneged. They said they would use  
14 MOX. They changed and flipped again. Now they're not  
15 going to use MOX.

16 However, if MOX is used at the plant, the  
17 protocol of an accident changes, and we're set with  
18 hot spots on the core.

19 Secondly, Virginia Power has not signed  
20 out of the MOX agreement. While they've said they're  
21 not going to use it, they're in agreement with the  
22 DOE, and they haven't signed out of the agreement.  
23 They're still in it.

24 So where does that leave the investigation  
25 vis-a-vis MOX? MOX use, either Virginia Power has to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       come straight out, say they're not going to use MOX,  
2       will never use MOX, or an entire investigation needs  
3       to be done of the effects of MOX in terms of the  
4       environmental things that are going on.

5                       We also have an issue that Virginia Power  
6       is starting to push pebble bed. Are they thinking of  
7       putting a pebble bed at North Anna? What effect is  
8       this going to have?

9                       You can't divorce these issues from a 20-  
10      year extension.

11                      We need complete analysis of the concrete  
12      and lining going through heat chemical heating cycles,  
13      stuff like that.

14                      We need to deal with the regular releases  
15      that come from the plant, the radioactivity that is  
16      regularly vented off of the reactors.

17                      In terms of I heard the gentleman from  
18      Lake Anna Civic Association talk about all of the  
19      things they're checking at the lake, but radioactivity  
20      was not one of them. That's seems incongruous that  
21      they would be checking fecal samples, but next to a  
22      nuclear plant they're not interested in checking for  
23      radioactivity in either the water, the fish, the  
24      algae?

25                      The Russian experience has shown over a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 long period of time a lot of the radioactivity ends up  
2 sinking to the bottom in the mud. This type of stuff  
3 needs to be checked.

4 And when we're talking about monitoring,  
5 it would be advantageous to have independent monitors,  
6 separate from the nuclear power company itself or the  
7 Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Let's get some  
8 independent monitors, and let's monitor the workers.  
9 What is the long-term health of the workers? Let's do  
10 epidemiological studies. Let's monitor the community.  
11 Let's monitor the environment, all -- all completely  
12 independently.

13 When we talk about security, we're talking  
14 about security around the lake. What about security  
15 at the dam? What about a boat riding up to the dam  
16 just full of explosives? Boom, the lake is gone. The  
17 plant's closed, and it would take years to get that  
18 back.

19 We have to deal with more security issues,  
20 and it's not just at the plant itself.

21 And while we can acknowledge some of the  
22 positive contributions that Virginia Power and their  
23 individuals have made, we also have to deal with the  
24 truthfulness of Virginia Power. We discussed the  
25 flip-flop of MOX. We have other issues where 24, 23

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 years ago they were absolutely going to build Units 3  
2 and 4. Yes, we're going to build 3 and 4. Yes, we're  
3 going to build 3 and 4. No, we're not.

4 We're confronted frequently with a utility  
5 whose primary purpose is profit, and they are willing  
6 to say lots of things, but we have to as a community,  
7 and the larger community of being the government, look  
8 at the long range issues about what is happening and  
9 not rely exclusively on Virginia Power.

10 One other issue, again related quickly to  
11 our security. The NRC itself closed the Web site for  
12 security reasons and yet left open all of the nuclear  
13 power plants. It's just something for us to think  
14 about.

15 Thank you.

16 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Mr. Rosenthal.

17 Our next speaker is going to be Lisa Gue  
18 with Public Citizen.

19 MS. GUE: Thanks, Chris, and thanks to all  
20 of you for attending this important meeting.

21 My name, again, is Lisa Gue. I work for  
22 Public Citizen in Washington, D.C. We're a nonprofit,  
23 national nonprofit, public interest organization  
24 celebrating our 30th anniversary this year, and I  
25 appreciate this opportunity to be here in Louisa

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 County with all of you.

2 Public Citizen opposes the relicensing of  
3 nuclear power plants, and I want to locate that  
4 comment not only in the context of North Anna Power  
5 Plant, but actually within the broader context of the  
6 energy policy debate that's going on nationally.

7 While we sit here, there are people  
8 sitting in rooms all over the country and, of course,  
9 also in Washington, D.C. discussing the issues related  
10 to energy supply and nuclear power as part of that  
11 mix.

12 Public Citizen reemphasizes at this point  
13 when we're talking about relicensing nuclear power  
14 plants our longstanding concerns with nuclear power,  
15 those being that it's unsafe, unclean, and expensive.

16 Speaking about safety, nuclear power  
17 operates at the extremes of technology, at the  
18 extremes of safety, and unfortunately we have had  
19 demonstrated most notably with the Chernobyl accident  
20 15 years ago in the Ukraine the possibility, the real  
21 possibility that exists with every operating nuclear  
22 power plant for a catastrophic accident costing the  
23 lives of not only many people but generations to come.

24 Speaking of the environmental aspect or  
25 the unclean aspect of nuclear power, power plants are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 not only poised on the brink of this kind of  
2 catastrophic accident at all times, but also releasing  
3 routine amounts of radiation into the air and the  
4 water.

5 And on top of that, there's the issue of  
6 the high level nuclear waste that is generated through  
7 the process of irradiating the fuel, and at this point  
8 there is no known way to safely dispose of high level  
9 nuclear waste.

10 That's something that clearly has to be  
11 taken into account as we consider relicensing because  
12 relicensing just the North Anna Power Plant for the 20  
13 years that's being proposed would result in an  
14 additional 400 metric tons of high level waste being  
15 added to the mix, the mix being already a mounting  
16 stockpile with no solution in sight.

17 And finally, nuclear power has never  
18 existed without heavy government subsidies which  
19 continue, and as a consumer advocacy organization, we  
20 believe and we're convinced that it's really time to,  
21 after 50 years of nuclear power, it's time to end the  
22 subsidies and to begin the transition to a sustainable  
23 energy future.

24 In any case, certainly right now is the  
25 wrong moment to be discussing relicensing at all.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 First of all, the licenses at the North Anna Power  
2 Plant don't expire until 2018 and 2020. Certainly the  
3 situation is going to change within the next two  
4 decades, and it would be much more logical to be  
5 having a scoping -- a conversation about environmental  
6 scoping closer, when we know more about the actual  
7 environment that would be affected a few decades from  
8 now.

9 And, secondly, as one of the previous  
10 speakers pointed out, the events of September 11th  
11 have brought into relief some of the very real  
12 security concerns that exist around nuclear power  
13 plants. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Congress,  
14 and other government agencies dealing with nuclear  
15 projects really need to take time at this moment to  
16 pause and to demand a full and thorough, independent  
17 investigation of the security situation at the  
18 nation's nuclear power plants and what would be  
19 prudent in terms of how to move forward, keeping in  
20 mind what we now know to be not just a hypothetical  
21 threat, but a real threat.

22 The NRC, responding to many questions, of  
23 course, about security related in light of the  
24 September 11th attacks, responded that no nuclear  
25 power plants operating in the U.S. or around the world

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for that matter were designed to withstand the kind of  
2 attack that was experienced at the Pentagon and the  
3 World Trade Center a month ago, and that is definitely  
4 something that we need to keep in mind.

5 Again, this is a time to be moving.  
6 Relicensing the North Anna Power Plant points us in  
7 the wrong direction in terms of the transition that  
8 needs to be made for a safe and secure energy future.

9 I do just want to make a few specific  
10 comments when it comes to the environmental scoping  
11 aspect for this particular power plant. Public  
12 Citizen urges the NRC to take into account in this  
13 environmental impact -- supplemental environmental  
14 impact assessment the noncompliance reality of the  
15 North Anna Power Plant. It's not very useful to have  
16 an environmental impact assessment that assumes that  
17 this plant will operate in compliance at all times.  
18 We know that that is not the case.

19 Secondly, this environmental impact  
20 assessment needs to take into account the impacts of  
21 aging reactor components. Just like anything else,  
22 nuclear reactors get old and pose higher risks as they  
23 age.

24 More uniquely than anything else though,  
25 nuclear reactors operate under very intense conditions

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to do with temperature, radiation, and corrosive  
2 environments, and this, of course, as expected takes  
3 a toll on the various components of nuclear power  
4 plants.

5 So issues of embrittlement with the  
6 reactor vessel and issues of degradation of other  
7 aspects of the power plant, particularly related to  
8 the cooling system, need to be taken into account in  
9 this environmental impact assessment.

10 And finally, the issue of high level waste  
11 needs to be looked at as a very severe environmental  
12 impact and at this point an unsolvable environmental  
13 impact of nuclear power.

14 I just want to take a moment now to add on  
15 a comment about the NRC's Web site being down. Public  
16 Citizen and, I know, many other organizations had  
17 requested that the NRC postpone all public meetings  
18 that had been announced and extend the public comment  
19 periods on the various rulemakings that are underway  
20 in consideration of the fact that from October 11th  
21 through yesterday no information at all was available  
22 on the NRC's Web site, including the calendar of  
23 public meetings.

24 This gave the impression that the NRC was  
25 closed for business, and I was very surprised to learn

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that this meeting was nonetheless continuing as  
2 originally scheduled, despite the fact that the public  
3 didn't have access to information about it in the last  
4 week.

5           Secondly, the continued lack of access to  
6 NRC's full Web site raises a bigger issue related to  
7 the safety of nuclear power plants. The NRC has  
8 removed all other documents from its Web site,  
9 including safety and lack of compliance reports from  
10 the North Anna Power Plant and information about the  
11 regulatory processes that surround nuclear energy in  
12 this country.

13           And they have done so stating that it's  
14 necessary from the perspective of national security.  
15 And I guess my closing comment would just be that this  
16 is an indication that the kinds of protections that  
17 are necessary -- I put that in quotations, "necessary"  
18 -- to protect nuclear power seem incompatible with  
19 basic civil liberties and the democratic principles  
20 that we uphold in this society.

21           So, again, I urge the NRC, of course, to  
22 reinstate full access to its Web site, and for this  
23 issue of relicensing of the North Anna Power Plant to  
24 also be considered in the broader context of national  
25 energy policy.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Thank you again for the opportunity to  
2 comment. I'll leave a copy of our press release for  
3 the docket, and I have extra copies if anyone is  
4 interested.

5 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Ms. Gue.

6 Our next speaker is going to be Dave  
7 Heacock, who's the Dominion Site Vice President for  
8 North Anna Power Station.

9 MR. HEACOCK: Good afternoon. It's a  
10 little bit tough to follow that, but I'll try.

11 I'm Dave Heacock. I'm the Site Vice  
12 President at North Anna.

13 I want to thank, first of all, the NRC for  
14 coming today and offering this public comment so that  
15 we can have comments from all interested parties, and  
16 I appreciate those comments. Some I appreciate more  
17 than others, but I appreciate all of the comments. I  
18 think they're valuable as part of the process.

19 I'm excited about license renewal. I just  
20 reached a personal milestone in my life. Over half of  
21 my life has been spent at North Anna Power Station  
22 this year. So I've been there for 22 years. You can  
23 probably guess my age from that.

24 And I started ticking off. I wanted to  
25 make a number of points. I started ticking those

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 points off as each speaker spoke ahead of me. I've  
2 ticked off most of my points. So I'm going to make my  
3 remarks a little briefer since I don't want to be too  
4 redundant here.

5 But I was born and raised in Virginia,  
6 went to school at the University of Virginia. I'm a  
7 nuclear engineer, and I've spent the last 22 years at  
8 North Anna Power Station.

9 We have a long history of safe and  
10 efficient operation, despite some other comments  
11 you've heard earlier. We do have a very strong safety  
12 record. It's acknowledged by many outside  
13 organizations. The Institute of Nuclear Power  
14 Operations has given us excellent marks for more than  
15 a decade now at North Anna.

16 The NRC, in their systematic assessment of  
17 licensee performance process and more recently in the  
18 reactor oversight process, has given us high marks as  
19 well.

20 We're also partially owned by an  
21 independent cooperative, Old Dominion Electric Co-op,  
22 which owns part of North Anna, and they send  
23 independent people in to review our operations several  
24 times a year, and they also give us high marks for our  
25 performance.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Utility Data Institute collects data for  
2           the most efficient plants in the country. North Anna  
3           has been rated number one for the last decade on a  
4           three-year average.

5           Now, that's just nuclear plants. You have  
6           to compare the cost of operating a nuclear plant to  
7           the cost of any type of generation. There's over 850  
8           steam electric plants in the United States. North  
9           Anna, when it was last rated, was number five lowest  
10          cost producer out of 850 plants in the United States  
11          and the lowest cost nuclear plant of all the plants.

12          I do want to address the September 11th  
13          issue. That's foremost in many of our minds. North  
14          Anna has always had very high levels of security.  
15          Anybody that's worked there or visited the plant  
16          recognizes the significant amount of security you have  
17          to go through to get to the plant.

18          Since September 11th, we've also had  
19          heightened levels of security at the plant. Anybody  
20          who's attempted to visit or has visited the plant  
21          since that time will recognize that.

22          We appreciate the help from our local law  
23          enforcement, from State Police, from the Federal  
24          government in providing intelligence to us. It has  
25          been a very, very good feeling to me to see the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 community come together to protect our own assets.

2 Since 1978, we've had over 30 countries  
3 represented of people coming to North Anna to visit us  
4 to learn how we do business. And we've done  
5 benchmarking all across the country and all across the  
6 world to also gather information about how other  
7 plants operate.

8 I do want to get to the environmental  
9 issue. That's what we're here for to talk about, is  
10 to talk about the environmental impact of North Anna.  
11 The primary advantage of a nuclear plant is that it  
12 doesn't produce any carbon -- doesn't emit any carbon  
13 dioxides, carbon monoxides, nitrous oxides, sulfur  
14 dioxides. All of those things are not emitted at the  
15 plant during normal operation.

16 So we don't have an impact for greenhouse  
17 gases like you might have from a replacement plant,  
18 and that's one factor that goes into this decision, is  
19 if you don't operate North Anna, and that's a choice  
20 that could result from this hearing or from our  
21 decision to not operate the plant, where do you get  
22 the power that people need beyond that?

23 Currently North Anna generates 1,800  
24 million watts of power. That's 17 percent of the  
25 power that's used in the State of Virginia on an

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 annual basis. Surry and North Anna combined provide  
2 a third of the power for the State of Virginia on an  
3 annual basis, to kind of put it in perspective.

4 If we didn't operate North Anna, where  
5 would that power come from? That's the question you  
6 have to answer here. That's one aspect of the license  
7 renewal process.

8 That's enough power for about 450,000  
9 homes, to put it in perspective. I could also give  
10 you quotes in hair dryers or any other statistic that  
11 you like, but it's a whole bunch of energy is the  
12 bottom line.

13 It cost about \$1.3 billion to construct,  
14 which sounds like a large number until you compare it  
15 to what it would cost to replace in current dollars.

16 I've heard a lot of this information  
17 already. We have a longstanding tradition at North  
18 Anna and Dominion of investing in our communities, and  
19 you've heard other speakers here talk about that. I'm  
20 not going to repeat all of those issues, with the  
21 local library and LinkAges and blood drives and so  
22 forth. But I think the real secret here is the  
23 community. We have 300 of our employees that live in  
24 Louisa, and then we have almost 900 people who work at  
25 the plant, and then during outages, we bring another

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 eight or 900 people in from other locations to work  
2 for up to a month at North Anna. About one and a half  
3 times a year on average we operate fueling outages.

4 So all of the people live in the local  
5 community, support the local community and the  
6 restaurants here, and so forth. And I think it's  
7 really important that we work together to continue the  
8 operation of the plants, not to mention the Boy Scouts  
9 and so forth.

10 Well, to not be redundant I'm going to end  
11 my remarks here, and thank you very much for your  
12 time. I appreciate it.

13 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Mr. Heacock.

14 Our next speaker is going to be Bill Bolin  
15 who's the Manager of Environmental Biology with  
16 Dominion.

17 MR. BOLIN: Thank you, Chris.

18 And I, too, want to thank the Nuclear  
19 Regulatory Commission for holding this meeting today  
20 and allowing me to speak.

21 As Chris mentioned, my name is Bill Bolin.  
22 My official title in the company is Manager,  
23 Environmental Biology. My unofficial title is Chief  
24 Biologist.

25 I began my career nearly 30 years ago,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 being the first station biologist hired by what was  
2 then VEPCO. When Congress passed the Clean Water Act  
3 in the early '70s, utility companies such as mine had  
4 to decide whether they wanted to -- how they wanted to  
5 comply with the Clean Water Act provisions either by  
6 staffing in house or by hiring consultants.

7           What I consider personally to have been a  
8 brilliant move, VEPCO decided to staff in house, and  
9 I was fortunate to be asked to join the company.

10           As such, we were one of the first  
11 utilities nationwide to staff with environmental  
12 professionals.

13           Environmental considerations are a very  
14 important aspect in the design and placement of all of  
15 our power stations. For example, at North Anna Power  
16 Station, when the lake was created as a source for  
17 cooling water, we also designed and constructed a  
18 series of three cooling lagoons totaling 3,400 surface  
19 acres, designated as the waste heat treatment  
20 facility.

21           These lagoons receive warmer water from  
22 the station and return the water to the lake with the  
23 water temperature to near ambient conditions.

24           While all Dominion power stations maintain  
25 strict compliance with state and Federal environmental

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 regulations, the company frequently endeavors to raise  
2 the bar on environmental stewardship. This includes  
3 partnering with conservation groups, as well as state  
4 and Federal agencies, to protect as well as enhance  
5 the various ecosystems around our power stations and  
6 our transmission and distribution rights-of-way.

7 Let me just take a minute and share with  
8 you a few examples of how we have attempted to raise  
9 the bar at North Anna. We initiated studies of the  
10 area prior to formation of the lake, and these  
11 studies, for the most part, are still ongoing, giving  
12 us nearly 30 years of continuous and valuable  
13 information.

14 One of the early findings of our pre-  
15 impoundment studies was that the water quality in  
16 Contrary Creek, which was a major tributary of the  
17 North Anna River, was extremely poor and basically had  
18 impaired most of the North Anna River. The formation  
19 of Lake Anna immediately improved conditions in the  
20 Contrary Creek arm of the lake, as well as the North  
21 Anna River below the dam.

22 Our post impoundment studies showed that  
23 Lake Anna behaved as most new reservoirs in that there  
24 was a period of several years of very rapid biological  
25 growth. For example, there was a great surge in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 population of Largemouth bass, and for every year  
2 since then Lake Anna has been in one of the top three  
3 states or three state lakes in producing citation  
4 Largemouth bass, which are bass over seven pounds in  
5 weight or 21 inches in length.

6 As the lake has matured and our  
7 understanding of it has grown, we are able to place  
8 emphasis on certain areas that appear to need some  
9 help. For example, the crappie populations did not  
10 experience this rapid period of biological growth. We  
11 felt this was due to a lack of suitable habitat in the  
12 lake. So we put in 21 artificial structures comprised  
13 of thousands of cinder blocks and tree tops. We've  
14 marked these structures with buoys, developed a  
15 brochure to aid the fishermen in showing their  
16 locations, and we even designed an underwater  
17 monitoring program to evaluate their effectiveness.

18 The crappie, as well as other species,  
19 that frequently use these types of structures have  
20 responded positively.

21 This habitat enhancement program is alive  
22 and well even today. The only difference is we're not  
23 the only players. Local bass clubs, tackle  
24 manufacturers, and the Virginia Department of Game and  
25 Inland Fisheries have taken the lead.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1           The type of structure has changed  
2 somewhat, but the principle remains the same:  
3 creating habitat.

4           We also assisted the Virginia Department  
5 of Game and Inland Fisheries in the construction of  
6 a fishing pier parallel to Route 622 that crosses over  
7 Dike 3 where the lake receives the cooling water  
8 discharge. This pier is handicap accessible.

9           The area was early discovered to be an  
10 attraction for many types of fish, especially striped  
11 bass, probably because of the current, underwater  
12 currents. We're currently working with the Game  
13 Commission to donate the land surrounding that pier to  
14 them.

15           Another example is when we found hydrilla  
16 in the lake, and especially the waste heat treatment  
17 facility, we funded several graduate studies to  
18 identify control options. Hydrilla is an introduced  
19 aquatic plant that has a capacity to completely take  
20 over a water body. We worked with bass clubs, marina  
21 owners, lake property owners, and the Virginia  
22 Department of Game and Inland Fisheries to identify  
23 the optimal control for this noxious weed.

24           Today all users of the lake are  
25 benefitting from these actions. With the improvement

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in water quality and flow of the North Anna River  
2 downstream of the dam, we were able to stock the river  
3 with Smallmouth bass. Today the river is now well  
4 known for its Smallmouth bass fishing and recreational  
5 canoeing.

6 In fact, the North Anna River below Lake  
7 Anna was selected by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory  
8 for a series of studies related to Smallmouth bass  
9 populations.

10 Over the years, we've placed a substantial  
11 number of wood duck nesting boxes around the lake to  
12 help boost this population of one of our most  
13 beautiful birds.

14 As Dave mentioned and several others have  
15 said, we also take pride in Dominion in taking an  
16 active role in whatever community we're located in,  
17 and North Anna is no exception.

18 We are involved in community stewardship  
19 in many fronts. One that we're extremely proud of  
20 here at North Anna is our partnership with the Lake  
21 Anna State Park and which at least we think is one of  
22 the state's crown jewels of state parks. We have been  
23 participants in the development of this park from its  
24 planning stages.

25 I could go on and on about other

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 environmental improvements and/or considerations at  
2 North Anna, but perhaps I should spend some of my time  
3 talking about the essence of our environmental report  
4 for North Anna Power Station.

5           Firstly, as you've heard the NRC say, the  
6 environmental report is required in any nuclear  
7 license renewal process as part of the National  
8 Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA. The Nuclear  
9 Regulatory Commission has identified nearly 100  
10 environmental issues that must be addressed in the  
11 environmental report by all applicants, which in this  
12 case is us.

13           Most of these issues were determined by  
14 the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to be of minor  
15 environmental impact and, therefore, may be addressed  
16 generically.

17           In our environmental assessment, we  
18 performed a review of these same issues, and our  
19 assessment concurred with the NRC conclusions.

20           Some of the other issues, environmental  
21 issues, are site specific for each facility and,  
22 therefore, must be addressed accordingly. Some of the  
23 more site specific issues include aquatic biology,  
24 terrestrial biology, demographics, and transmission  
25 line impacts.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           For example, issues that we looked at at  
2 North Anna included waste heat, water withdrawal, and  
3 threatened and endangered species. The term "waste  
4 heat" refers to heat that is transferred to water as  
5 it passes through the station to cool the steam during  
6 the electrical generation process.

7           In the mid-'80s, we conducted a study that  
8 looked at the impacts of this waste heat on the biota  
9 of Lake Anna. Using past information, coupled with  
10 new information, we found no long-term deleterious  
11 effects, and the Virginia State Water Control Board,  
12 which is now the Department of Environmental Quality,  
13 agreed with our findings. Most of these studies are  
14 ongoing today.

15           Water withdrawal represents the water that  
16 I mentioned earlier that is used for cooling. We  
17 studied water withdrawal issues, and again, we  
18 demonstrated no long-term deleterious effects on the  
19 lake, and the Water Board again concurred with our  
20 findings.

21           The evaluation of threatened and  
22 endangered species was a little different in that we  
23 had to go to state and Federal agencies to investigate  
24 possible impacts on listed species. The research  
25 showed no impact to any threatened or endangered

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 species as a result of the operation of North Anna  
2 Power Station and its associated transmission lines.

3 A couple of other site specific issues  
4 that we looked at included socioeconomic impacts and  
5 impacts on cultural resources.

6 With regard to socioeconomic impacts, we  
7 found positive contribution to the local  
8 infrastructure, which you've previously heard from  
9 other speakers. Because there will be no new  
10 construction activity, continued operation of the  
11 station means that the cultural resources impacts are  
12 also negligible.

13 These are examples of but a few of the  
14 specific issues addressed in the environmental report.  
15 In the evaluation of these specific issues, we  
16 consulted with representatives of state and Federal  
17 resources agencies, as well as universities to update  
18 our information, agencies such as the Virginia  
19 Department of Conservation and Recreation, Department  
20 of Historic Resources, the Department of  
21 Transportation, and the Virginia Department of Game  
22 and Inland Fisheries were accessed.

23 For every issue we examined, it was  
24 determined that the continued operation of electricity  
25 or generation of electricity from North Anna Power

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Station benefits our customers and the community,  
2 while at the same time minimizing the environmental  
3 impact. North Anna Power Station has a history of  
4 excellent environmental stewardship.

5 One final message. I am very proud to  
6 have been but a small part of the North Anna story and  
7 the even bigger Dominion story. Over the years it has  
8 been my distinct privilege to be a part of numerous  
9 company-wide environmental projects, such as the  
10 recent Peregrine Falcon Project conducted in  
11 partnership with state and Federal agencies.

12 In conclusion, I would again like to thank  
13 the NRC for this opportunity. I would like to thank  
14 everyone for coming. I think your comments and your  
15 participation are extremely important to this process.

16 Thank you.

17 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Mr. Bolin.

18 Mr. Rosenthal and Ms. Gue both remarked on  
19 the NRC's Web site has been disabled. It's been  
20 pulled down. I also mentioned as we started this  
21 meeting a very important part of public participation  
22 is our ability to share information.

23 Roger Hannah, who is with Region II Office  
24 of Public Affairs, is here, and he's available to  
25 provide information about what Public Affairs is doing

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 to try and restore Internet access to real time  
2 information coming out of the NRC.

3 I've also been informed that the NRC is  
4 working to restore that part of the public meeting  
5 notice to the Web, but in the meantime we're having to  
6 resort to the old fashioned paper system of meeting  
7 notices. But we are hopeful that, as Mr. Kugler  
8 pointed out, we're maintaining the information related  
9 to the license renewal applications on an internal Web  
10 site until the security review process reflects on  
11 whether or not there is information in there that is  
12 now, in light of September 11th, considered to be more  
13 sensitive.

14 We have become so accustomed to fully  
15 disclosing information related to safety issues and  
16 environmental issues, and September 11th has caused  
17 the NRC, along with all other Federal agencies, to  
18 reflect on that, and I'm hopeful that through that  
19 process of very carefully balancing the disclosure of  
20 information and also citizens' rights with the  
21 security of the plant, that we'll find an appropriate  
22 balance in a very short period of time.

23 But that completes all of the requested  
24 opportunities to speak, and I would now like to ask  
25 whether or not there are any others of you that would

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 like to make any comments or ask any questions.

2 As Mr. Prato pointed out, the staff is  
3 available after the meeting to answer any questions in  
4 a one-on-one basis.

5 MR. KUGLER: I was just going to ask you,  
6 Chris, do you know if the phone number is still  
7 available? People used to be able to phone in and ask  
8 about and to check on meetings.

9 MR. GRIMES: Yes. We have a phone system  
10 that provides the status of meetings, and we are  
11 reflecting on -- we've all become so accustomed to  
12 being able to use the Web -- but the other systems  
13 that we have, the use of the Federal Register.

14 The information related to the North Anna  
15 license renewal application is available in the public  
16 library that Andy referred to. Copies of the  
17 application are available there. We may find that we  
18 start pulling back some of our paper information as a  
19 result of the ongoing review of security and  
20 safeguards issues.

21 And I can also share with you that there  
22 has been legislation proposed for the NRC to  
23 reconsider the security and safeguards design  
24 requirements that will be going on in parallel with  
25 the rest of the agency's business.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1           Are there any other further comments or  
2 questions that any of you would like to make before we  
3 adjourn the afternoon session?

4           We have an evening session planned to  
5 begin at seven.

6           MR. KUGLER: Seven with the open house.

7           MR. GRIMES: Seven p.m. with an open house  
8 starting at six o'clock.

9           If there are no other comments or  
10 questions, I thank all of you very much for attending.  
11 We appreciate your participation.

12           This meeting is adjourned.

13           (Whereupon, at 2:55 p.m., the public  
14 meeting was concluded.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701