

January 24, 1992

Docket Nos. 50-348  
and 50-364

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III  
Senior Vice President  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
Post Office Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Dear Mr. Hairston:

SUBJECT: AMENDMENT REQUEST REGARDING RADIAL PEAKING FACTOR REPORT - JOSEPH  
M. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M81025 and M81026)

Enclosed for your information is a copy of a Notice of Consideration of  
Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards  
Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing. This Notice relates  
to your amendment request regarding the Radial Peaking Factor Report.

This Notice is being sent to the Office of the Federal Register for  
publication.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Stephen T. Hoffman, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:  
Notice

cc w/enclosure:  
See next page

OFC	:LA:PD21:DRPE	:PM:PD21:DRPE	:PM:PD21:DRPE	:D:PD21:DRPE	:
NAME	:PAnderson	:GWunder/vsb	:SHoffman	:EAdamsam	:
DATE	: 1/24/92	: 1/24/92	: 1/24/92	: 1/24/92	:

CP-1

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY  
Document Name: FARLEY 50348/364

DFD 1/1

9202100630 920124  
PDR ADOCK 05000348  
P PDR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III  
Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant

cc:

Mr. R. P. McDonald  
President  
Southern Nuclear  
Operating Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Claude Earl Fox, M.D.  
State Health Officer  
State Department of Public Health  
State Office Building  
Montgomery, Alabama 36130

Mr. J. D. Woodard  
Vice-President-  
Farley Project  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Chairman  
Houston County Commission  
Dothan, Alabama 36301

Regional Administrator, Region II  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. L. B. Long,  
Vice President-Technical Services  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 24 - Route 2  
Columbia, Alabama 36319

Mr. D. N. Morey  
General Manager - Farley Nuclear Plant  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 470  
Ashford, Alabama 36312

Mr. B. L. Moore  
Manager, Licensing  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Mr. J. W. McGowan  
Manager, Safety Audit  
and Engineering Review  
Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

James H. Miller, III, Esq.  
Balch and Bingham  
P. O. Box 306  
1710 Sixth Avenue North  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONSOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY, INC.DOCKET NOS. 50-348 AND 50-364NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. 50-348 and 50-364 issued to Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (SUNOPCO or the licensee) for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 (Farley), located in Houston County, Alabama .

The licensee is currently required to submit their Radial Peaking Factor Limit Report 60 days before initial criticality following any refueling outage. The licensee has requested that the 60 day requirement be dropped, and that they be required to submit the Radial Peaking Factor Limit Report before entry into Mode 2 following any refueling outage. The proposed amendments would allow greater operational flexibility. The amendments request is a supplement to their amendments request dated July 15, 1991, as supplemented September 10, 1991. The original amendments request was noticed on December 26, 1991 (56 FR 66014).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendments request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's

9202050554  
788

regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. has reviewed the proposed changes and has determined that the proposed amendments does not involve a significant hazards consideration since the proposed changes will not:

[Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.]

1. Since the reporting requirements are an administrative change, this change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequence of an accident previously evaluated in the Farley FSAR. With the exception of the reporting schedule, no other changes to the reporting requirements are made.

[Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.]

2. This administrative change in reporting requirements does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated in the Farley FSAR since only the time for reporting requirements has changed. Therefore, the probability of a new or different kind of accident is not created.

[Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.]

3. This administrative change is not safety-related and therefore does not involve any reduction in the margin of safety

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendments request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulatory Publications Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room P-223, Phillips Building, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555. The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 2, 1992, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendments to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room

located at Houston-Love Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, P.O. Box 1369, Dothan, Alabama 36302. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendments under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendments request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendments and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendments.

If the final determination is that the amendments request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendments.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendments until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendments before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendments involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 325-6000 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western




Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Elinor G. Adensam: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to James H. Miller, III, Esq., Balch and Bingham, P. O. Box 306, 1710 Sixth Avenue North, Birmingham, Alabama 35201, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated January 10, 1992, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at Houston-Love Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, P.O. Box 1369, Dothan, Alabama 36302.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of January 1992

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Stephen T. Hoffman, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-1  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION

Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364

NRC & Local PDRs

PD21 Reading

S. Varga

G. Lainas

E. Adensam

P. Anderson (2)

G. Wunder

S. Hoffman

OGC

D. Hagan

ACRS (10)

GPA/PA

OC/LFMB

L. Reyes, Region II