



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

ORB 1 File

C. Parrish (2)

E. Reeves (2)

H. R. Denton

D. G. Eisenhut

G. Lainas

S. Varga

R. Clark (w/input memo)

P. ~~Grutzer~~

NRC PDR

Local PDR

Docket No. 50-348/364

June 30, 1983

Docketing and Service Section
Office of the Secretary of the Commission

SUBJECT: JOSEPH H. FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
(Alabama Power Company)

Two signed originals of the Federal Register Notice identified below are enclosed for your transmittal to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. Additional conformed copies (6) of the Notice are enclosed for your use.

- ☐ Notice of Receipt of Application for Construction Permit(s) and Operating License(s).
- ☐ Notice of Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permit(s) and Facility License(s): Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters.
- ☐ Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report.
- ☐ Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License.
- ☐ Notice of Receipt of Application for Facility License(s); Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report; and Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility License(s) and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.
- ☐ Notice of Availability of NRC Draft/Final Environmental Statement.
- ☐ Notice of Limited Work Authorization.
- ☐ Notice of Availability of Safety Evaluation Report.
- ☐ Notice of Issuance of Construction Permit(s).
- ☐ Notice of Issuance of Facility Operating License(s) or Amendment(s).
- ☒ Other: Notice of Consideration for licensee's application for amendment 3/4/83.

Re: Rod Control System

Division of Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As Stated

ORB 1
CParrish

6/30/83

NRC FORM 102
7-79

8307120885 830630
PDR ADOCK 05000348
P PDR

013100324

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONALABAMA POWER COMPANYDOCKET NOS. 50-348 AND 50-364

NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, issued to Alabama Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (the facilities) located in Houston County, Alabama.

The amendments would clarify a Technical Specification action statement to allow operating flexibility and to define rod inoperability as being due to a rod control system problem. These revisions to the Technical Specifications would be made in response to the licensee's application for amendments dated March 4, 1983.

Before issuance of these proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that these amendments request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facilities in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated;

- 2 -

or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of these standards by providing certain examples (48 FR 14870). One of the examples considered not likely to involve a significant hazards consideration is example (i) which relates to a purely administrative change to Technical Specifications. The change being proposed to the Technical Specifications is administrative in that it is a clarification to define "control rod inoperable" as due to a rod control system problem. Without this clarification, the licensee has in several cases been near the point of unnecessarily shutting down the reactor. The six hour shutdown requirement as well as the existing rod indication limits of the same Technical Specification would be unaffected by the proposed clarification. Therefore, the previously reviewed actions to require reactor shutdown for an inoperable control rod are unchanged.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Comments should be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch.

By August 5, 1983, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendments to the subject facilities operating licenses and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written

- 3 -

petition for leave to intervene. Request for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR §2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

- 4 -

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendments under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendments request involve no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendments and make them effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendments.

If the final determination is that the amendments involve a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendments.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendments until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facilities; the Commission may issue the license amendments before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its

- 5 -

final determination is that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at (800) 325-6000 (In Missouri (800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Steven A. Varga, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Licensing: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to George F. Trowbridge, Esquire, 1800 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic

Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the George S. Houston Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, Dothan, Alabama 36303.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 29th day of June 1983.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:
S. A. Varga

Steven A. Varga, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing

OFFICE	ORB 1	ORB 1	ORB 1	AD:OR	DOELD		
SURNAME	CParrish	EReeves/rs	SVarga	GLatinas	Haswell		
DATE	6/17/83	5/26/83	6/5/83	6/17/83	6/28/83		

See minor typo on p. 2 of notice.