

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONALABAMA POWER COMPANYDOCKET NOS. 50-348 AND 50-364NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8 issued to Alabama Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 located in Houston County, Alabama.

The amendment would modify surveillance requirements now included only in Unit 2 Technical Specification 4.3.4.1 to substitute the Farley Nuclear Plant "Turbine Overspeed Reliability Assurance Program". The amendment would add a limiting condition for operation and the identical surveillance requirements as Technical Specification 3.3.4 and 4.3.4.1, respectively, on Unit 1. These revisions to the technical specifications would be made in response to the licensee's application for amendment dated October 6, 1983.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the

8312130443 831114  
PDR ADOCK 05000348  
P PDR

probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Commission has provided guidance for the application of these criteria by providing examples of amendments that are considered not likely to involve significant hazards considerations (48 FR 14870). The proposed change for Unit 1 is consistent with Commission example "(ii) A change that constitutes an additional limitation, restriction, or control not presently included in the technical specifications: for example, a more stringent surveillance requirement." Unit 1 will contain a new limitation for operation as Technical Specification 3.3.4 and new, more stringent surveillance requirements as Technical Specification 4.3.4. Both requirements are nonexistent on Unit 1 before this action. The proposed change for Unit 2 is consistent with Commission example "(vi) A change which either may result in some increase to the probability or consequences of a previously-analyzed accident or may reduce in some way a safety margin, but where the results of the change are clearly within all acceptable criteria with respect to the system or component specified in the Standard Review Plan: for example, a change resulting from the application of a small refinement of a previously used calculational model or design method." The change would modify surveillance requirements of Technical Specification 4.3.4 to substitute the Farley Nuclear Plant "Turbine Overspeed Reliability Program" for the existing standard technical specification surveillance requirements. We consider that the Farley program is equal to or exceeds the Commission staff requirements. The program has

the added objective of maintaining the high reliability of the Turbine Overspeed Protection System. Therefore, based on these considerations and the three criteria given above, the Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Comments should be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch.

By December 21, 1983 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Request for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel,

will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR §2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth

with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice

period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at (800) 325-6000 (in Missouri (800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Mr. S. A. Varga: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to George F. Trowbridge, Esquire, 1800 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic

- 7 -

Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of late petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the George S. Houston Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, Dothan, Alabama 36303.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 14th day of November 1983.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Steven A. Varga, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch #1  
Division of Licensing

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File  
NRC PDR  
L PDR  
NSIC  
ORB#1 Rdg  
DEisenhut  
CParrish  
EReeves (2)  
OELD  
LHarmon  
TBarnhart-8  
WJones  
OPA, CMiles  
ACRS-10

ORB#1  
CParrish  
11/ /83

*ER*  
ORB#1  
EReeves  
11/10/83

*SAV*  
ORB#1  
SVarga  
11/10/83

*GL*  
AD/OR  
GLainas  
11/14/83

*OS*  
OELD  
11/ /83

- 4 -

by collect call to 301-492-8060 or submitted in writing to the Secretary of the Commission, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch. All comments received by December 1, 1983 will be considered in reaching a final determination. A copy of the application may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. and at the George S. Houston Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, Dothan, Alabama 36303.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 14 day of November 1983.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Steven A. Varga, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1  
Division of Licensing

bcc: See next page

Distribution

Docket File  
NRC PDR  
Local PDR  
ORB No. 1  
D Eisenhut  
C Parrish  
E Reeves(2)  
OELD  
L. Harmon  
T Barnhart-8  
W Jones  
OPA C. Miles  
ACRS-10

ORB#1  
CParrish  
11/4/83

ORB#1  
EReeves  
11/4/83

ORB#1  
SVarga  
11/4/83

AD/OR  
GLainas  
11/ /83

OELD  
11/ /83