

February 16, 1988

Docket No.: 50-414

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

Subject: Issuance of Amendment No. 32 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 -
Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (TAC 66757)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 32 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2. The amendment is in response to your application dated December 4, 1987.

The amendment revises license condition 2.C.(8)(b) to allow an extension of time for resolution of the Safety Parameter Display System issue. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

A copy of the related safety evaluation supporting Amendment No. 32 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 is enclosed.

Notice of issuance will be included in the Commission's next bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

Kahtan N. Jabbour, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 32 to NPF-52
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

8802230144 880216
PDR ADOCK 05000414
P PDR

PD#II-3/DRP-I/II
MRood/mac
01/14/88

KNS
PD#II-3/DRP-I/II
KJabbour
01/19/88

KNS
PD#II-3/DRP-I/II
Acting PD
02/3/88
KNS Acting PD
2/10/88

DATED: February 16, 1988

AMENDMENT NO. 32 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52 - Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File 50-414

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PRC System

NSIC

PDII-3 Reading

M. Rood

K. Jabbour

OGC-Bethesda

D. Hagan

J. Stone

E. Jordan

L. Harmon

W. Jones

T. Barnhart (4)

ACRS (10)

GPA/PA

ARM/LFMB

L. Reyes

S. Varga/G. Lainas

E. Butcher

W. Regan



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY NO. 1

PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

DOCKET NO. 50-414

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 32
License No. NPF-52

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 filed by the Duke Power Company acting for itself, North Carolina Municipal Power Agency No. 1 and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, (licensees) dated December 4, 1987, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 is hereby changed to read as follows:
 - A. Change paragraph 2.C.(8)(b) to read as follows:

BB02230153 BB0216
PDR ADOCK 05000414
P PDR

Safety Parameter Display System (SPDS) (Section 18, SSER #5)

Prior to startup following the second refueling outage, Duke Power Company shall add to the existing SPDS and have operational the following SPDS parameters: (a) residual heat removal flow, (b) containment isolation status, (c) stack radiation measurements, and (d) steam generator or steamline radiation. The actual value of these and all other SPDS variables should be displayed for operator viewing in easily and rapidly accessible display formats.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Kahtan N. Jabbour , Acting Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Date of Issuance: February 16, 1988

PDII-3/DRPI/II
MRood/mac
01/14/88

PDII-3/DRPI/II
Acting PD
02/3/88

2/10/88 KNS
Acting PD

KNS
PDII-3/DRPI/II
KJabbour
01/19/88

AD/DRPII
GLainas
02/4/88

WRR/LHFB
WRegan
01/21/88

OGC-Bethesda
R. Bachmann
01/29/88



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 32 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52

DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL.

DOCKET NO. 50-414

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated December 4, 1987, Duke Power Company, et al., (the licensee) proposed that License Condition 2.C.(8)(b) of Catawba Unit 2 Facility Operating License NPF-52 be amended to (1) allow an extension of time for the resolution of the Safety Parameter Display System (SPDS) issue, and (2) make it consistent with NRC staff's conclusions contained in a September 4, 1987, letter to the licensee (from J. H. Sniezek, NRC, to H. B. Tucker, Duke). The extension would be for one complete cycle of operation.

EVALUATION

In NPF-52 issued on May 15, 1986, license condition 2.C.(8)(b) regarding SPDS stated that:

"Prior to startup following the first refueling outage, Duke Power Company shall add to the existing SPDS and have operational the following SPDS parameters: (a) residual heat removal flow, (b) containment isolation status, (c) stack radiation measurements, (d) primary coolant system hot leg temperature, and (e) steam generator or steamline radiation. The actual value of these and all other SPDS variables should be displayed for operator viewing in easily and rapidly accessible display formats."

Supplement 1 to NUREG-0737 required licensees to install an SPDS which provides a concise display of critical plant variables to control room operators to aid them in rapidly and reliably determining the safety status of the plant.

In February 1986, the NRC staff issued the low-power Facility Operating License, NPF-48, for Catawba Unit 2 along with Supplement 5 to the Safety Evaluation Report. Supplement 5 concluded that the Catawba SPDS does not fully meet the applicable requirements of Supplement 1 to NUREG-0737, but did not identify any serious safety concerns with the existing system. Thus, the staff concluded that the Catawba SPDS may be operated as an interim implementation until startup following the first refueling outage.

The SER identified five parameters and the backup display as modifications needing to be made to the Catawba SPDS. These requirements were imposed as License Condition 2.C.(9)(b) of the low-power Facility Operating License, NPF-48, and later as License Condition 2.C.(8)(b) in the full-power Facility Operating License, NPF-52.

BB02230160 BB0216
PDR ADOCK 05000414
P PDR

On March 25, 1986, the licensee identified the requested changes as a plant-specific backfit and requested that the NRC staff prepare a backfit analysis. By letter dated June 13, 1986, the staff denied the licensee's backfit claim. On March 26, 1987, the licensee appealed the staff's denial of the backfit claim. By letter dated September 4, 1987, the staff concluded that 4 of the 5 parameters identified in Supplement 5 along with the backup displays should be added. One of the five parameters previously required, hot leg temperature, was already included as an input into SPDS.

The licensee stated that a proposed resolution of the SPDS issue is in preparation, and that the four additional parameters would be added along with changes to the backup display. With NRC staff acceptance of this proposed resolution, modifications to the SPDS, including operator training and procedure revision, could be completed within six months. As currently scheduled, the Catawba Unit 2 first refueling outage should be completed by late February 1988.

The SPDS is not a safety-grade system and is not intended to fulfill the post-accident monitoring requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.97. All parameters, including the additional parameters, are already provided in the control room. Therefore, the staff concludes that extension of the date for modification of the Catawba Unit 2 SPDS until startup following the second refueling outage is acceptable because it does not involve any adverse safety considerations.

Based on the above discussion, the modified License Condition 2.C.(8)(b) would state that:

"Prior to startup following the second refueling outage, Duke Power Company shall add to the existing SPDS and have operational the following SPDS parameters: (a) residual heat removal flow, (b) containment isolation status, (c) stack radiation measurements, and (d) steam generator or steamline radiation. The actual value of these and all other SPDS variables should be displayed for operator viewing in easily and rapidly accessible display formats.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment involves changes to the use of facility components located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational exposures. The NRC staff has made a determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (52 FR 49224) on December 30, 1987. The Commission consulted with the state of South Carolina. No public comments were received, and the state of South Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: K. Jabbour, PDII-3/DRPI/II

Dated: February 16, 1988

Mr. H. B. Tucker
Duke Power Company

Catawba Nuclear Station

cc:

A.V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

North Carolina Electric Membership
Corp.
3400 Sumner Boulevard
P.O. Box 27306
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell
and Reynolds
1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Saluda River Electric Cooperative,
Inc.
P.O. Box 929
Laurens, South Carolina 29360

North Carolina MPA-1
Suite 600
3100 Smoketree Ct.
P.O. Box 29513
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Senior Resident Inspector
Route 2, Box 179N
York, South Carolina 29745

L.L. Williams
Area Manager, Mid-South Area
ESSD Projects
Westinghouse Electric Corp.
MNC West Tower - Bay 239
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

County Manager of York County
York County Courthouse
York South Carolina 29745

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
South Carolina Department of Health
and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Richard P. Wilson, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
S.C. Attorney General's Office
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
N.C. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency
100 Memorial Drive
Greer, South Carolina 29651

Spence Perry, Esquire
General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Room 840
500 C Street
Washington, D. C. 20472

Mr. Michael Hirsch
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Office of the General Counsel
Room 840
500 C Street, S.W.
Washington, D. C. 20472

Brian P. Cassidy, Regional Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency,
Region I
J. W. McCormach POCH
Boston, Massachusetts 02109