Mr. M. S. Tuckman Senior Vice President Nuclear Generation Duke Power Company P. O. Box 1006 Charlotte, NC 28201

### SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF EXEMPTION REQUEST FROM 10 CFR PART 50, APPENDIX J - CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M92382)

Dear Mr. Tuckman:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact." This notice relates to your application dated May 18, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated May 31, 1995, requesting a one-time only exemption from the schedular requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, that would allow rescheduling the third Catawba Unit 2 containment integrated leak rate test in the first 10-year service period from the end-ofcycle 7 outage until the end-of-cycle 8 outage. The requested exemption would also allow the decoupling of this third test from the endpoint of the first 10-year inservice inspection.

This notice is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

/s/

Herbert N. Berkow, Director Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

E Constant

Docket No. 50-414

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: Docket File PUBLIC PD22 Reading File SVarga JZwolinski OGC, 0-15 B18 EJordan, T-4 D18 ACRS (4) TWF OPA, 0-2 G5 EMerschoff, RII RCrlenjak, RII

9506280720 950615 PDR ADUCK 05000414 P PDR

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDII	MM 2PEP 1/921 E	SCSB PPE	OGC ,	D:PDIM-2
NAME	LBerry	RMartin (	RBarrett for	C marco	HBennow
DATE	06/6/95	06/ 6/95	06/ & /95	06/12/95	06//5795
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY 200022		DOCUMENT NAME: G	:\CATAWBA\CAT9238	DEDI	



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

washington, d.c. 20555-0001 June 15, 1995

Mr. M. S. Tuckman Senior Vice President Nuclear Generation Duke Power Company P. O. Box 1006 Charlotte, NC 28201

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF EXEMPTION REQUEST FROM 10 CFR PART 50, APPENDIX J - CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2 (TAC NO. M92382)

Dear Mr. Tuckman:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact." This notice relates to your application dated May 18, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated May 31, 1995, requesting a one-time only exemption from the schedular requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, that would allow rescheduling the third Catawba Unit 2 containment integrated leak rate test in the first 10-year service period from the end-ofcycle 7 outage until the end-of-cycle 8 outage. The requested exemption would also allow the decoupling of this third test from the endpoint of the first 10-year inservice inspection.

This notice is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Herbert N. Berkow, Director Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-414

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. David L. Rehn Duke Power Company

cc: Mr. Z. L. Taylor Regulatory Compliance Manager Duke Power Company 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

A. V. Carr, Esquire Duke Power Company 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire Winston and Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 1427 Meadowwood Boulevard P. O. Box 29513 Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Mr. T. Richard Puryear Nuclear Technical Services Manager Westinghouse Electric Corporation Carolinas District 2709 Water Ridge Parkway, Suite 430 Charlotte, North Carolina 28217

County Manager of York County York County Courthouse York, South Carolina 29745

Richard P. Wilson, Esquire Assistant Attorney General South Carolina Attorney General's Office P. O. Box 11549 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency 121 Village Drive Greer, South Carolina 29651

Dayne H. Brown, Director Division of Radiation Protection N.C. Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources P. O. Box 27687 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687 Catawba Nuclear Station North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation P. O. Box 27306 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611 Senior Resident Inspector 4830 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745 Regional Administrator, Region II U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, NW. Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323 Max Batavia, Chief Bureau of Radiological Health South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Mr. G. A. Copp Licensing - EC050 Duke Power Company 526 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001 Saluda River Electric P. O. Box 929 Laurens, South Carolina 29360 Ms. Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General North Carolina Department of Justice P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, North Carlina 27602 Elaine Wathen, Lead REP Planner Division of Emergency Management 116 West Jones Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-1335 Mr. David L. Rehn Vice President, Catawba Site Duke Power Company 12700 Hagers Ferry Road Huntersville, North Carolina 28078 Heinz Mueller Environmental Review Coordinator 345 Courtland St., NE Atlanta, Georgia 30365

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL. DOCKET NO. 50-414 CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT NO. 2 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.1.(a), Type A Tests, to Duke Power Company, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2, located in York County, South Carolina, in accordance with Facility Operating License No. NFP-35.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

### Identification of the Proposed Action:

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared to address potential environmental issues related to the licensee's application dated May 18, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated May 31, 1995. The proposed action would exempt the licensee from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.1.(a), to the extent that a one-time schedular extension would permit rescheduling the third containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) in the first 10-year service period from the end-of-cycle 7 outage until the endof-cycle 8 outage. The requested exemption would also allow the decoupling of this third test from the endpoint of the first 10-year inservice inspection. <u>The Need for the Proposed Action:</u>

The current containment integrated leakage rate requirement for Catawba, Unit 2, pursuant to Appendix J, is that, after the preoperational leak rate preoperational leak rate test, a set of three Type A tests must be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year period. Also, the third test of each set must be conducted when the plant is shut down for the 10-year plant inservice inspection. This is reflected in the Catawba Technical Specifications (TS) as a testing interval of once each 40 months plus or minus 10 months, for a frequency of three times in a 120-month period. To date, for Catawba Unit 2, the preoperational and the first two periodic ILRTs have been conducted. The most recent ILRT was conducted in February 1993, approximately 28 months ago. Thus, in accordance with Appendix J and the current TS, an ILRT would have to be conducted during the refueling outage beginning in October 1995 (the end-of-cycle (EOC) 7 outage).

The licensee has requested an exemption from Apendix J and a corresponding change to the TS that would allow a one-time change to the interval for the Unit 2 ILRT from 40 plus or minus 10 months to less than or equal to 70 months. This would allow the EOC-7 ILRT to be rescheduled for EOC-8. Therefore, the need for the licensee's proposed action is to allow a longer interval between the Catawba Unit 2 second and third periodic Type A ILRTs, which will result in a cost savings to the licensee.

# Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The proposed one-time exemption would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and the proposed one-time exemption would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The licensee has analyzed the results of previous Type A tests performed at the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit No. 2. The licensee has provided an acceptable basis for concluding that the proposed one-time extension of the Type A test interval would maintain the containment leakage

- 2 -

rates within acceptable limits. Accordingly, the Commission has concluded that the one-time extension does not result in a significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released nor does it result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemption only involves Type A testing on the containment. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption. Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to this action would be to deny the request for exemption. Such action would not reduce the environmental impacts of plant operations.

### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2," dated January 1983.

## Agencies and Persons Consulted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 6, 1995, the NRC staff consulted with the South Carolina State official, Mr. M. K. Batavia, PE, Chief of the Bureau of Radiological Health, Department of Health and Environmental

- 3 -

Controls, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

# FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's letter dated May 18, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated May 31, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of June 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Herbert N. Berkow, Director Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation