Mr. G. R. Peterson
Site Vice President
Catawba Nuclear Station
Duke Power Company
4800 Concord Road
York, South Carolina 29745-9635

SUBJECT: CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RELATED TO ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE

OPERATING LICENSES (TAC NOS. M98958 AND M98959)

Dear Mr. Peterson:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request for amendment dated June 12, 1997, that would change the name from "Duke Power Company" to "Duke Energy Corporation" in the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, operating licenses. This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

, 1

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate II-2 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Fnclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File ACRS, TWF

PUBLIC JJohnson, RII

PD22 RF SShaeffer (A), RII

BBoger DMatthews, PGEB

OGC, 0-15 B18

		1110			
OFFICE	PDII-2/PM	PDII-2/LA	PGER	ogc My Mary	PDII-2/D
NAME	PTam:cn 88	L.Berry	DMatthews	Sittem	H.Berkow Zo
DATE	7/14/97	7/14/97	Jew 1 15/97	7 2 / 97	7/24/97
СОРҮ	YES NO	((YES) NO	YES (NO)	YES NO	YES NO

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\CATAWBA\CAT98958.EA

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

NRC FILE CENTER COPY



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

July 24, 1997

Mr. G. R. Peterson Site Vice President Catawba Nuclear Station Duke Power Company 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745-9635

SUBJECT: CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO

SIGNIFICANT IMPACT RELATED TO ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE

OPERATING LICENSES (TAC NOS. M98958 AND M98959)

Dear Mr. Peterson:

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" related to your request for amendment dated June 12, 1997, that would change the name from "Duke Power Company" to "Duke Energy Corporation" in the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, operating licenses. This assessment has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Peter S. Tam, Senior Project Manager

Project Directorate II-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2

cc: Mr. M. S. Kitlan Regulatory Compliance Manager Duke Power Company 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Mr. Paul R. Newton Legal Department (PB05E) Duke Power Company 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire Winston and Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 1427 Meadowwood Boulevard P. O. Box 29513 Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Mr. Peter R. Harden, IV Account Sales Manager Westinghouse Electric Corporation Power Systems Field Sales P. O. Box 7288 Charlotte, North Carolina 28241

County Manager of York County York County Courthouse York, South Carolina 29745

Richard P. Wilson, Esquire Assistant Attorney General South Carolina Attorney General's Office P. O. Box 11549 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency 121 Village Drive Greer, South Carolina 29651

Mr. T. Richard Puryear Owners Group (NCEMC) Duke Power Company 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745 North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation P. O. Box 27306 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Senior Resident Inspector 4830 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Regional Administrator, Region II U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Max Batavia, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
South Carolina Department of
Health and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Mr. G. A. Copp Licensing - EC050 Duke Power Company 526 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001

Saluda River Electric P. O. Box 929 Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Ms. Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General North Carolina Department of Justice P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Elaine Wathen, Lead REP Planner Division of Emergency Management 116 West Jones Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-1335

Heinz Mueller Environmental Review Coordinator 345 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30365

Richard M. Fry, Director Division of Radiation Protection North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources P. O. Box 27687 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL. DOCKET NOS. 50-413 AND 50-414

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52, issued to Duke Power Company, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in York County, South Carolina.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

<u>Identification of Proposed Action:</u>

The proposed action would amend the licenses to refect the licensee's name change from "Duke Power Company" to "Duke Energy Corporation."

The proposed action is in response to the licensee's application dated June 12, 1997.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

Duke Power Company changed its name to "Duke Energy Corporation." The facility operating licenses for Catawba were issued to indicate the name of the licensee as "Duke Power Company," and therefore need to be amended to substitute the new name of the licensee. The proposed action is purely administrative.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there is no significant environmental impact if the amendments are granted. No changes will be made to the design and licensing bases, and procedures of the two units at Catawba Nuclear Station. Other than the name change, no other changes will be made to the facility operating licenses, including the Technical Specifications.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to the Catawba Nuclear Station.

Agencies and Persons Contacted:

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 11, 1997, the staff consulted with the South Carolina State official, Virgil Autrey of the Bureau of Radiological Health, South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed amendments. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed amendments will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendments.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's request for the amendments dated June 12, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, and at the local public document room located at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of July 1997.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Peter S. Tam, Acting Director

Project Directorate II-2

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation