Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Mr. David L. Rehn

Vice President, Catawba Site
Duke Power Company
4800 Concord Road

York, South Carolina 29745

March 21, 1994 Distribution
Docket File
NRC/Local PDRs
PDII-3 Reading

PDII-3 Reading
S.Varga
D.Matthews
R.Martin

L.Berry OGC 15B18 D.Hagan MNB4702 G.Hill(4) P1-37 C.Grimes 11F23 ACRS(10) P-135

PA 17F2 OC/LFMB MNB4702 E.Merschoff, RII

Dear Mr. Rehn:

SUBJECT:

ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS - CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2, CONTAINMENT PENETRATION OVERCURRENT DEVICES TO SLCS, (TAC NOS.

M88574 AND M88573)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 114 to Facility Operating License NPF-35 and Amendment No. 108 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. The amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated January 18, 1994, as supplemented March 8, 1994.

The amendments revise TS 3/4.8.4 to allow the transfer of the contents of TS Tables 3.8-1A and 3.8-1B, "Containment Penetration Conductor Overcurrent Protective Devices" for Units 1 and 2, respectively, from the TS to the Selected Licensee Commitments Manual located in Section 16 of the Final Safety Analysis Report) in accordance with the guidance contained in Generic Letter 91-08, "Removal of Component Lists From Technical Specifications."

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. A Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

Sincerely,
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:
Robert E. Martin, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 114 to NPF-35
- 2. Amendment No. 108 to NPF-52
- 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures: See next page

*Previously Concurred

OFFICE	PDII-3/LA	PDJI I 3 / PM	OGC*	PD/I AS/D	BC/OTSB*
NAME	LBERRY V	RMARTIN	CBARTH	DMATTHEWS	CGRIMES
DATE	B/1 /94	3 // 7/94	03/Q4/94	3/17/94	02/28/94

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

FILE NAME:G;\CATAWBA\CAT88574.AMD

THE SEVER COPY

240089

Mr. David L. Rehn Duke Power Company

cc: Mr. Z. L. Taylor Regulatory Compliance Manager Duke Power Company 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

A. V. Carr, Esquire Duke Power Company 422 South Church Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire Winston and Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 1427 Meadowwood Boulevard P. O. Box 29513 Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Mr. T. Richard Puryear Nuclear Technical Services Manager Westinghouse Electric Corporation Carolinas District 2709 Water Ridge Parkway, Suite 430 Charlotte, North Carolina 28217

County Manager of York County York County Courthouse York, South Carolina 29745

Richard P. Wilson, Esquire Assistant Attorney General South Carolina Attorney General's Office P. O. Box 11549 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency 121 Village Drive Greer, South Carolina 29651 Catawba Nuclear Station

Mr. Mark Lesser, Section Chief Project Branch #3 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, NW. Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation P. O. Box 27306 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Senior Resident Inspector Route 2, Box 179 N York, South Carolina 29745

Regional Administrator, Region II U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, NW. Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Max Batavia, Chief Bureau of Radiological Health South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Mr. G. A. Copp Licensing - EC050 Duke Power Company P. O. Box 1006 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Saluda River Electric P. O. Box 929 Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Ms. Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General North Carolina Department of Justice P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, North Carlina 27602



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION

SALUDA RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-413

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 114 License No. NPF-35

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 filed by the Duke Power Company, acting for itself, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation and Saluda River Electric Cooperative, Inc. (licensees), dated January 18, 1994, as supplemented March 8, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 114, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, are hereby incorporated into this license. Duke Power Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

David B. Matthews, Director Project Directorate II-3

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Technical Specification Changes

Date of Issuance: March 21, 1994



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY NO. 1

PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

DOCKET NO. 50-414

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 108 License No. NPF-52

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 filed by the Duke Power Company, acting for itself, North Carolina Municipal Power Agency No. 1 and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency (licensees), dated January 18, 1994, as supplemented March 8, 1994, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission:
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I:
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 is hereby amended to read as follows:

<u>Technical Specifications</u>

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 108, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, are hereby incorporated into this license. Duke Power Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

David B. Matthews, Director Project Directorate II-3

Division of Reactor Projects - I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Technical Specification Changes

Date of Issuance: March 21, 1994

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 114

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-35

DOCKET NO. 50-413

<u>AND</u>

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 108

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-52

DOCKET NO. 50-414

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

	Remove Pages	<u>Insert Pages</u>				
	X 3/4 8-19	X 3/4 8-19				
(Unit 1)	3/4 8-21 through 3/4 8-43					
(Unit 2)	3/4 8-44 through 3/4 8-66	^				
	B 3/4 8-3	B 3/4 8-3				

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SECTION	(DELETED)						<u>PAGE</u>
3/4.7.12	GROUNDWATER LEVEL						
3/4.8 E	<u>ECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS</u>						
 3/4.8.1	A.C. SOURCES						
	Operating					. 3/4	8-1
	B1 DIESEL GENERATOR TEST SCHEDULE						
	32 LOAD SEQUENCING TIMES						
	Shutdown						
3/4.8.2	D.C. SOURCES						
0, 11012	Operating				_	3/4	8-12
TARIF 4	BATTERY SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	 •	•	•		3/4	8-15
	Shutdown						
3/4 8 3	ONSITE POWER DISTRIBUTION						
3/ 4.0.3	Operating					3/4	8-17
	Shutdown	•	•		•	. 3/4	8-18
3/1 9 1	ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT PROTECTIVE DEVICES						
3/4.0.4	Containment Penetration Conductor Overcurrent						
	Protective Devices	 				. 3/4	8-19
						•	
3/4.9 R	EFUELING OPERATIONS						
3/4.9.1	BORON CONCENTRATION (Unit 1)	 				. 3/4	A9-1
	BORON CONCENTRATION (Unit 2)						
	INSTRUMENTATION						4 9-2
	DECAY TIME						4 9-3
	CONTAINMENT BUILDING PENETRATIONS						4 9-4
	COMMUNICATIONS						4 9-7
	MANIPULATOR CRANE						4 9-8
	CRANE TRAVEL - SPENT FUEL STORAGE POOL BUILDING						4 9-9

ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS

3/4.8.4 ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT PROTECTIVE DEVICES

CONTAINMENT PENETRATION CONDUCTOR OVERCURRENT PROTECTIVE DEVICES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.8.4 Primary and backup containment penetration conductor overcurrent protective devices associated with each containment electrical penetration circuit shall be operable. The scope of these protective devices excludes those circuits for which credible fault currents would not exceed the electrical penetration design rating.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTION:

With one or more of the above noted primary or backup containment penetration conductor overcurrent protective device(s) inoperable:

- a. Restore the protective device(s) to OPERABLE status or de-energize the circuit(s) by tripping the associated backup circuit breaker or racking out or removing the inoperable circuit breaker within 72 hours, declare the affected system or component inoperable, and verify the backup circuit breaker to be tripped or the inoperable circuit breaker racked out or removed at least once per 7 days thereafter; the provisions of Specification 3.0.4 are not applicable to overcurrent devices in circuits which have their backup circuit breakers tripped, their inoperable circuit breakers racked out, or removed, or
- b. Be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 4.8.4 The above noted primary and backup containment penetration conductor overcurrent protective devices shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:
 - a. At least once per 18 months:
 - 1) By verifying that the medium voltage (4-15 kV) circuit breakers are OPERABLE by selecting, on a rotating basis, at least 10% of the circuit breakers of each voltage level, and performing the following:
 - a) A CHANNEL CALIBRATION of the associated protective relays,
 - b) An integrated protective system functional test which includes simulated automatic actuation of the system and verifying that each relay and associated circuit breakers function as designed, and

BASES

3/4.8.4 ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT PROTECTIVE DEVICES

Containment electrical penetrations and penetration conductors are protected by either deenergizing circuits not required during reactor operation or by demonstrating the OPERABILITY of primary and backup overcurrent protection circuit breakers during periodic surveillance.

The Surveillance Requirements applicable to lower voltage circuit breakers and fuses provide assurance of breaker and fuse reliability by testing at least one representative sample of each manufacturer's brand of circuit breaker and/or fuse. Each manufacturer's molded case circuit breakers and/or fuses are grouped into representative samples which are then tested on a rotating basis to ensure that all breakers and/or fuses are tested. If a wide variety exists within any manufacturer's brand of circuit breakers and/or fuses, it is necessary to divide that manufacturer's breakers and/or fuses into groups and treat each group as a separate type of breaker or fuse for surveillance purposes.

The lists of components for which Technical Specification 3/4.8.4 is applicable are found in Catawba Selected Licensee Commitment (SLC) Section 16.8-1 in Chapter 16 of the Catawba Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR).

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 114 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35 AND AMENDMENT NO. 108 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52

DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL.

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-413 AND 50-414

1.0 INTRODUCTION

SUCLEAR REGULATOR

By letter dated January 18, 1994 as supplemented March 8, 1994, Duke Power Company, et al. (the licensee), submitted a request for changes to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, Technical Specifications (TS). The requested changes would revise TS 3/4.8.4 to allow the transfer of the contents of TS Tables 3.8-1A and 3.8-1B, "Containment Penetration Conductor Overcurrent Protective Devices," for Units 1 and 2, respectively, from the TS to the Selected Licensee Commitments Manual located in Section 16 of the Final Safety Analysis Report) in accordance with the guidance contained in Generic Letter 91-08, "Removal of Component Lists From Technical Specifications." The March 8, 1994, letter provided clarifying information that did not change the scope of the January 18, 1994, application and the initial proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

2.0 EVALUATION

The licensee has proposed to remove Table 3.8-1A for Unit 1 and Table 3.8-1B for Unit 2, that are referenced in TS 3/4.8.4, and has proposed to include the following statement for the Limiting Condition for Operation under TS 3.8.4:

Primary and backup containment penetration conductor overcurrent protective device associated with each containment electrical penetration circuit shall be OPERABLE. The scope of these protective devices excludes those circuits for which credible fault currents would not exceed the electrical penetration design rating.

In addition, the licensee has proposed to revise TS 4.8.4 to remove the reference to Tables 3.8-1A and 3.8-1B. The surveillance requirement has been revised to state the following:

The above noted primary and backup containment penetration conductor overcurrent protective devices shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

The licensee has proposed changes to the above TS that are consistent with the guidance provided in Generic Letter 91-08. In addition, the licensee has provided an updated copy of Bases Section of TS 3/4.8.4. The licensee has identified that for the devices previously listed in TS Tables 3.8-1A and 3.8-1B, corresponding information is also contained in three plant procedures (IP/0/B4971/21, IP/0/B/4971/20, and IP/1&2/A/3530/03) that are subject to the administrative controls provisions of TS 6.8. The licensee has also committed that these lists of components will also be maintained in a current status in Section 16 of the Catawba Final Safety Analysis Report, Selected Licensee Commitments Manual.

On the basis of its review of this matter, the staff finds that the proposed changes to the TS for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, are primarily administrative changes that do not alter the requirements set forth in the existing TS. Overall, these changes will allow licensees to make corrections and updates to the list of components for which these TS requirements apply, under the provisions that control changes to plant procedures as specified in the Administrative Controls Section of the TS. Therefore, the staff finds that the proposed TS changes are acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the South Carolina State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change requirements with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20 and change surveillance requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (59 FR 7688 dated February 16, 1994). Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such

activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributors: T. Dunning

R. Martin

Date: March 21, 1994