

November 6, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: Jack N. Donohew, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate IV **/RA/**
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: INFORMATION NEEDED TO CLARIFY LICENSEE'S RELIEF REQUEST
FOR RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION APPLICATION
DATED FEBRUARY 15, 2001, FOR WOLF CREEK GENERATING
STATION (TAC NO. MB1206)

A conference call was held with the staff of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (the licensee) on October 30, 2001, to discuss the licensee's answer to Question 8.b in its letter of September 27, 2001 (ET 01-0028). The licensee's letter was in response to the staff's September 5, 2001, request for additional information. The question concerned the replacement of the positive displacement pump by the normal charging pump, one of five modifications to the individual plant examination (IPE) for Wolf Creek Generating Station that would decrease the core damage frequency. The question was whether the replacement had been implemented and did the IPE reflect the implementation. The conference call was to clarify the licensee's September 27, 2001, response to Question 8.b.

Attached is the licensee's e-mail dated October 30, 2001, that provided the clarification discussed in the conference call.

Docket No. 50-482

Attachment: E-mail dated October 30, 2001

November 6, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO: File

FROM: Jack N. Donohew, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate IV /RA/
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: INFORMATION NEEDED TO CLARIFY LICENSEE'S RELIEF REQUEST
FOR RISK-INFORMED INSERVICE INSPECTION APPLICATION
DATED FEBRUARY 15, 2001, FOR WOLF CREEK GENERATING
STATION (TAC NO. MB1206)

A conference call was held with the staff of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (the licensee) on October 30, 2001, to discuss the licensee's answer to Question 8.b in its letter of September 27, 2001 (ET 01-0028). The licensee's letter was in response to the staff's September 5, 2001, request for additional information. The question concerned the replacement of the positive displacement pump by the normal charging pump, one of five modifications to the individual plant examination (IPE) for Wolf Creek Generating Station that would decrease the core damage frequency. The question was whether the replacement had been implemented and did the IPE reflect the implementation. The conference call was to clarify the licensee's September 27, 2001, response to Question 8.b.

Attached is the licensee's e-mail dated October 30, 2001, that provided the clarification discussed in the conference call.

Docket No. 50-482

Attachment: E-mail dated October 30, 2001

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC
PDIV-2 R/F
RidsNrrLAEPeyton
RidsNrrPMJDonohew
SDinsmore

ADAMS ACCESSION NUMBER: **ML013060256**

OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-2/LA	PDIV-2/SC
NAME	JDonohew:as	EPeyton	SDembek
DATE	11/5 /2001	11/ 05 /2001	11 /06/2001

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

E-mail Dated October 30, 2001

From: Hall Kenneth W <kehall@WCNOC.com>
To: "JND@nrc.gov" <JND@nrc.gov>
Date: 10/30/01 3:23PM
Subject: Risk Informed ISI: Further Clarification

At 2:30 pm (EST) on 10/30/2001, a telecon was performed between representatives of NRR and Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (WCNOC). In attendance were, from NRR, Mr. Jack Donohew and Mr. Steve Dinsmore. Present from Wolf Creek were Ken Hall, Vernon Luckert and J.C. Patel. The subject of the telecon was the Relief Request for Alternative to Section XI Examination Requirements - Risk Informed Inservice Inspection (Code Case N-578).

NRR requested clarification for RAI response 8.b as found in WCNOC letter ET 01-0028 dated 9/27/01, regarding modeling the WCGS Positive Displacement Pump (PDP) and Normal Charging Pump (NCP).

In response to questions posed by the NRR, Wolf Creek provided the following:

* The current Wolf Creek Generating Station (WCGS) PRA model reflects installation of the NCP with one exception: the CCW dependency which was modeled for the PDP was inadvertently left in the model for the NCP.

* Removing the CCW dependency for the NCP in the WCGS PRA model would only reduce the CCDP and the CLERP in the current RI-ISI submittal. Therefore, until the WCGS model receives its next planned update, keeping the CCW dependency in the model only acts to add conservatism to the RI-ISI submittal.

Please contact Ken Hall if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Ken Hall
Supervisor Licensing
WCNOC
620-364-4272

CC: Patel Jashbhai C <japatel@WCNOC.com>, Luckert Vernon D <velucke@WCNOC.com>, Wideman Steven G <stwidem@WCNOC.com>