# ENCLOSURE 4

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT (BFN) UNITS 1, 2, AND 3

TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS MANUAL CHANGES AND ADDITIONS

(SEE ATTACHED)

Technical Requirements Manual

**Revision 13** 

Addition of Configuration Risk Management Program

# TR 5.0 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

# TR 5.4 CONFIGURATION RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

5.4.1 The Configuration Risk Management Program (CRMP) provides **Configuration Risk** a proceduralized risk-informed assessmet to manage the risk Management associated with equipment inoperability. The program applies to technical specification structures, systems, or components for Program which a risk-informed allowed outage time has been granted. At present, the CRMP applies to: 1. DGs per TS 3.8.1.B The program shall include the following. Provisions for the control and implementation of a Level 1 at-power internal events PRA-informed methodology. The assessment is to be capable of evaluating the applicable plant configuration. b. Provisions for performing an assessment prior to entering the plant configuration described by the Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) Action Statement for preplanned activities. c. Provisions for performing an assessment after entering the plant configuration described by the LCO Action Statement for unplanned entry into the LCO Action Statement. d. Provisions for assessing the need for additional actions after the discovery of additional equipment-out-of-service conditions while in the plant configuration described by the LCO Action Statement. e. Provisions for considering other applicable risk-significant contributors such as Level 2 issues and external events, qualitatively or quantitatively.

# TR 5.0 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

# TR 5.4 CONFIGURATION RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The Configuration Risk Management Program (CRMP) provides 5.4.1 a proceduralized risk-informed assessmet to manage the risk **Configuration Risk** associated with equipment inoperability. The program applies to Management technical specification structures, systems, or components for Program which a risk-informed allowed outage time has been granted. At present, the CRMP applies to: 1. DGs per TS 3.8.1.B The program shall include the following. a. Provisions for the control and implementation of a Level 1 at-power internal events PRA-informed methodology. The assessment is to be capable of evaluating the applicable plant configuration. b. Provisions for performing an assessment prior to entering the plant configuration described by the Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) Action Statement for preplanned activities. c. Provisions for performing an assessment after entering the plant configuration described by the LCO Action Statement for unplanned entry into the LCO Action Statement. d. Provisions for assessing the need for additional actions after the discovery of additional equipment-out-of-service conditions while in the plant configuration described by the LCO Action Statement. e. Provisions for considering other applicable risk-significant contributors such as Level 2 issues and external events, qualitatively or quantitatively.

**Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 14** 

# **Emergency Core Cooling System Keep Fill Instrumentation**

and

**Containment Nitrogen Makeup Rate** 

## TR 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

TR 3.3.3.1	ECCS Keep Fill
------------	----------------

LCO 3.3.3.1 The ECCS Keep Fill pressure indication instrumentation for each function in Table 3.3.3.1-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, MODES 4, 5 when the associated subsystem is required to be OPERABLE

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME	
<b>A</b> .	One or more subsystem pressure indicator(s) inoperable.	A.1	Verify the subsystem piping filled by observation of water flow from high point vents.	4 hours <u>AND</u> Every 24 hours thereafter	
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A is not met.	B.1	Declare the affected subsystem inoperable. (Refer to applicable TS and TRM LCOs)	Immediately	

BASES	B 3.3.3	
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	The safety objective of maintaining the Core Spray and RHR discharge piping filled is to preserve system integrity by preventing water-hammer. Technical Specification SR 3.5.1.1 requires that ECCS systems be vented/checked vented every 31 days. The frequency is picked to allow for small amounts of system leakage that would introduce air into the lines.	•
	As a secondary means of verifying the system is filled, pressure indicators are used to ensure the operator that the system is filled and pressurized while in standby readiness.	
LCO 3.3.3.1	The ability of the operator to monitor the RHR and Core Spray discharge pressure is needed to give assurance of standby readiness.	
APPLICABILITY	The OPERABILITY requirement is consistent with Technical Specification requirements for the times when the affected subsystem is required to be OPERABLE.	
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>	
	If one or more subsystem pressure indicator(s) is inoperable, the subsystem must be vented at the high point vents within 4 hours and every 24 hours thereafter.	
	<u>B.1</u>	
	The subsystem is declared inoperable if unable to verify the discharge piping is filled.	

-

# TR 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

TR 3.6.5 Nitrogen Makeup to Containment

BASES

BACKGROUND	Primary containment nitrogen consumption shall be monitored to determine the average daily nitrogen consumption for the last 24 hours. Excessive leakage is indicated by a nitrogen consumption rate of > 542 scfh per 24 hours (corrected for drywell temperature, pressure, and venting operations) at normal drywell operating
	pressure of 1.1 psig.

APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSES Establishing the test limit of 542 scfh provides an adequate margin of safety to assure the health and safety of the general public. A leakage of > 542 scfh would provide an indication of gross failure of the primary containment pressure boundary which would defeat the design leak-tightness capability of the structure over its service lifetime. Monitoring the integrity of the primary containment during normal operation ensures its capability to perform its safety function following a design basis accident.

# LCO 3.6.5 When the primary containment is inerted the containment shall be continuously monitored for gross leakage by review of the inerting system makeup requirements. Nitrogen makeup to the primary containment, averaged over 24 hours, shall not exceed 542 scfh.

Nitrogen Makeup to Containment B 3.6.5
The requirement for monitoring the containment for gross leakage is only applicable when the primary containment is inerted.
<u>A.1</u>
If nitrogen makeup to the primary containment, averaged over 24 hours, exceeds 542 scfh, then primary containment is inoperable and Technical Specification LCO 3.6.1.1 is entered.
<u>TSR 3.6.5.1</u>
When the primary containment is inerted, the containment shall be continuously monitored for gross leakage by review of the inerting system makeup requirements every 24 hours.
This TSR is modified by two Notes. Note 1 allows the monitoring system to be taken out of service for maintenance provided the monitoring system is returned to service as soon as practical. Note 2 allows this TSR not to be performed until after primary containment is inerted. This allowance is required to prevent conflicts with TSR 3.0.4 and since the surveillance can not be performed until after containment is inerted.
<ol> <li>BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)</li> </ol>

.

.

----

#### TR 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

TR 3.3.3.1 ECCS Keep Fill

LCO 3.3.3.1 The ECCS Keep Fill pressure indication instrumentation for each function in Table 3.3.3.1-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, MODES 4, 5 when the associated subsystem is required to be OPERABLE

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
<b>A</b> .	One or more subsystem pressure indicator(s) inoperable.	A.1	Verify the subsystem piping filled by observation of water flow from high point vents.	4 hours <u>AND</u> Every 24 hours thereafter
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A is not met.	B.1	Declare the affected subsystem inoperable. (Refer to applicable TS and TRM LCOs)	Immediately

•	ECCS Instrumentation
BASES	B 0.0.0
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	The safety objective of maintaining the Core Spray and RHR discharge piping filled is to preserve system integrity by preventing water-hammer. Technical Specification SR 3.5.1.1 requires that ECCS systems be vented/checked vented every 31 days. The frequency is picked to allow for small amounts of system leakage that would introduce air into the lines.
	As a secondary means of verifying the system is filled, pressure indicators are used to ensure the operator that the system is filled and pressurized while in standby readiness.
LCO 3.3.3.1	The ability of the operator to monitor the RHR and Core Spray discharge pressure is needed to give assurance of standby readiness.
APPLICABILITY	The OPERABILITY requirement is consistent with Technical Specification requirements for the times when the affected subsystem is required to be OPERABLE.
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
	If one or more subsystem pressure indicator(s) is inoperable, the subsystem must be vented at the high point vents within 4 hours and every 24 hours thereafter.
	<u>B.1</u>
	The subsystem is declared inoperable if unable to verify the discharge piping is filled.

-

# TR 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

TR 3.6.5 Nitrogen Makeup to Containment

BASES

BACKGROUND	Primary containment nitrogen consumption shall be monitored to determine the average daily nitrogen consumption for the last 24 hours. Excessive leakage is indicated by a nitrogen consumption rate of > 542 scfh per 24 hours (corrected for drywell temperature, pressure, and venting operations) at normal drywell operating
	pressure of 1.1 psig.

- APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSES Establishing the test limit of 542 scfh provides an adequate margin of safety to assure the health and safety of the general public. A leakage of > 542 scfh would provide an indication of gross failure of the primary containment pressure boundary which would defeat the design leak-tightness capability of the structure over its service lifetime. Monitoring the integrity of the primary containment during normal operation ensures its capability to perform its safety function following a design basis accident.
- LCO 3.6.5 When the primary containment is inerted the containment shall be continuously monitored for gross leakage by review of the inerting system makeup requirements. Nitrogen makeup to the primary containment, averaged over 24 hours, shall not exceed 542 scfh.

BASES	
APPLICABILITY	The requirement for monitoring the containment for gross leakage is only applicable when the primary containment is inerted.
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
	If nitrogen makeup to the primary containment, averaged over 24 hours, exceeds 542 scfh, then primary containment is inoperable and Technical Specification LCO 3.6.1.1 is entered.
TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>TSR 3.6.5.1</u> When the primary containment is inerted, the containment shall be continuously monitored for gross leakage by review of the inerting system makeup requirements every 24 hours. This TSR is modified by two Notes. Note 1 allows the monitoring system to be taken out of service for maintenance provided the monitoring system is returned to service as soon as practical. Note 2 allows this TSR not to be performed until after primary containment is inerted. This allowance is required to prevent conflicts with TSR 3.0.4 and since the surveillance can not be performed until after containment is inerted.
REFERENCES	1. BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)

.

# TR 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

TR 3.3.3.1 ECCS Keep Fill

LCO 3.3.3.1 The ECCS Keep Fill pressure indication instrumentation for each function in Table 3.3.3.1-1 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, MODES 4, 5 when the associated subsystem is required to be OPERABLE

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME	
Α.	One or more subsystem pressure indicator(s) inoperable.	A.1	Verify the subsystem piping filled by observation of water flow from high point vents.	4 hours <u>AND</u> Every 24 hours thereafter	
B.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A is not met.	B.1	Declare the affected subsystem inoperable. (Refer to applicable TS and TRM LCOs)	Immediately	

BASES	ECCS Instrumentation B 3.3.3
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	The safety objective of maintaining the Core Spray and RHR discharge piping filled is to preserve system integrity by preventing water-hammer. Technical Specification SR 3.5.1.1 requires that ECCS systems be vented/checked vented every 31 days. The frequency is picked to allow for small amounts of system leakage that would introduce air into the lines.
	As a secondary means of verifying the system is filled, pressure indicators are used to ensure the operator that the system is filled and pressurized while in standby readiness.
LCO 3.3.3.1	The ability of the operator to monitor the RHR and Core Spray discharge pressure is needed to give assurance of standby readiness.
APPLICABILITY	The OPERABILITY requirement is consistent with Technical Specification requirements for the times when the affected subsystem is required to be OPERABLE.
ACTIONS	<u>A.1</u>
	If one or more subsystem pressure indicator(s) is inoperable, the subsystem must be vented at the high point vents within 4 hours and every 24 hours thereafter.
	<u>B.1</u>
	The subsystem is declared inoperable if unable to verify the discharge piping is filled.

-

# TR 3.6 CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS

TR 3.6.5 Nitrogen Makeup to Containment

BASES

BACKGROUND	Primary containment nitrogen consumption shall be monitored to determine the average daily nitrogen consumption for the last 24 hours. Excessive leakage is indicated by a nitrogen consumption to a f > 542 soft per 24 hours (corrected for drywell temperature,
	rate of > 542 scfh per 24 hours (corrected for ally weak operating pressure, and venting operations) at normal drywell operating pressure of 1.1 psig.

APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSES Establishing the test limit of 542 scfh provides an adequate margin of safety to assure the health and safety of the general public. A leakage of > 542 scfh would provide an indication of gross failure of the primary containment pressure boundary which would defeat the design leak-tightness capability of the structure over its service lifetime. Monitoring the integrity of the primary containment during normal operation ensures its capability to perform its safety function following a design basis accident.

LCO 3.6.5 When the primary containment is inerted the containment shall be continuously monitored for gross leakage by review of the inerting system makeup requirements. Nitrogen makeup to the primary containment, averaged over 24 hours, shall not exceed 542 scfh.

BASES	
APPLICABILITY	The requirement for monitoring the containment for gross leakage is only applicable when the primary containment is inerted.
ACTIONS	A.1 If nitrogen makeup to the primary containment, averaged over 24 hours, exceeds 542 scfh, then primary containment is inoperable and Technical Specification LCO 3.6.1.1 is entered.
TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>TSR 3.6.5.1</u> When the primary containment is inerted, the containment shall be continuously monitored for gross leakage by review of the inerting system makeup requirements every 24 hours. This TSR is modified by two Notes. Note 1 allows the monitoring system to be taken out of service for maintenance provided the monitoring system is returned to service as soon as practical. Note 2 allows this TSR not to be performed until after primary containment is inerted. This allowance is required to prevent conflicts with TSR 3.0.4 and since the surveillance can not be performed until after containment is inerted.
REFERENCES	<ol> <li>BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)</li> </ol>

and the second of the second second

**Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 15** 

**Condensate Storage Tanks** 

# TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

TR 3.7.1 Liquid Effluents

BASES

BACKGROUND	This Technical Requirement includes any tanks containing radioactive material that are not surrounded by liners, dikes, or walls capable of holding the contents and that do not have overflows and surrounding area drains connected to the liquid radwaste treatment system. These tanks are also addressed by the Explosive Gas and Storage Tank Radioactivity Monitoring Program of Technical Specification 5.5.8.			
	The Condensate Storage Tanks (CSTs) are not considered liquid radwaste tanks as defined in NUREG-0473. Therefore, this TR is not applicable to the CSTs. The CSTs are, however, periodically sampled and analyzed for radioactivity under a site approved program.			
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	Restricting the quantity of radioactive material contained in the specified tanks provides assurance that in the event of an uncontrolled release of the tanks' contents, the resulting concentrations would be less than the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2, Column 2, at the nearest potable water supply and the nearest surface water supply in an unrestricted area.			
LCO 3.7.1	The limit of 10 curies provides assurance that in the event of an uncontrolled release of the tanks' contents, the resulting concentrations would be less than the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2, Column 2, at the nearest potable water supply and the nearest surface water supply in an unrestricted area.			
APPLICABILITY	This Technical Requirement is applicable at all times.			

Liquid Effluents B 3.7.1
A.1, A.2 and A.3
ACTIONS are provided to immediately stop the further addition of radioactive materials to the tank and reduce tank contents to within limits within 48 hours. This provides a reasonable period of time to re-establish tank contents to within limits while ensuring that prompt ACTIONS are taken. The circumstances surrounding the event are required to be reported to the NRC in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report.
TSR 3.7.1.1 Sampling tank contents once per 7 days when radioactive materials are being added to the tank is sufficient to monitor for adherence to limits.
<ol> <li>BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)</li> </ol>

\_\_\_\_\_

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

# TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

TR 3.7.1 Liquid Effluents

BASES

BACKGROUND	This Technical Requirement includes any tanks containing radioactive material that are not surrounded by liners, dikes, or walls capable of holding the contents and that do not have overflows and surrounding area drains connected to the liquid radwaste treatment system. These tanks are also addressed by the Explosive Gas and Storage Tank Radioactivity Monitoring Program of Technical Specification 5.5.8. The Condensate Storage Tanks (CSTs) are not considered liquid radwaste tanks as defined in NUREG-0473. Therefore, this TR is not applicable to the CSTs. The CSTs are, however, periodically sampled and analyzed for radioactivity under a site approved program.
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	Restricting the quantity of radioactive material contained in the specified tanks provides assurance that in the event of an uncontrolled release of the tanks' contents, the resulting concentrations would be less than the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2, Column 2, at the nearest potable water supply and the nearest surface water supply in an unrestricted area.
LCO 3.7.1	The limit of 10 curies provides assurance that in the event of an uncontrolled release of the tanks' contents, the resulting concentrations would be less than the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2, Column 2, at the nearest potable water supply and the nearest surface water supply in an unrestricted area.
APPLICABILITY	This Technical Requirement is applicable at all times.

Liquid Effluents

B 3.7.1

BASES	B 3.7.1
ACTIONS	A.1, A.2 and A.3
	ACTIONS are provided to immediately stop the further addition of radioactive materials to the tank and reduce tank contents to within limits within 48 hours. This provides a reasonable period of time to re-establish tank contents to within limits while ensuring that prompt ACTIONS are taken. The circumstances surrounding the event are required to be reported to the NRC in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report.
TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>TSR 3.7.1.1</u> Sampling tank contents once per 7 days when radioactive materials are being added to the tank is sufficient to monitor for adherence to limits.
REFERENCES	<ol> <li>BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)</li> </ol>

Liquid Effluents

# TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

TR 3.7.1 Liquid Effluents

BASES

BACKGROUND	This Technical Requirement includes any tanks containing radioactive material that are not surrounded by liners, dikes, or walls capable of holding the contents and that do not have overflows and surrounding area drains connected to the liquid radwaste treatment system. These tanks are also addressed by the Explosive Gas and Storage Tank Radioactivity Monitoring Program of Technical Specification 5.5.8.				
	The Condensate Storage Tanks (CSTs) are not considered liquid radwaste tanks as defined in NUREG-0473. Therefore, this TR is not applicable to the CSTs. The CSTs are, however, periodically sampled and analyzed for radioactivity under a site approved program.				
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	Restricting the quantity of radioactive material contained in the specified tanks provides assurance that in the event of an uncontrolled release of the tanks' contents, the resulting concentrations would be less than the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2, Column 2, at the nearest potable water supply and the nearest surface water supply in an unrestricted area.				
LCO 3.7.1	The limit of 10 curies provides assurance that in the event of an uncontrolled release of the tanks' contents, the resulting concentrations would be less than the limits of 10 CFR Part 20, Appendix B, Table 2, Column 2, at the nearest potable water supply and the nearest surface water supply in an unrestricted area.				
APPLICABILITY	This Technical Requirement is applicable at all times.				

BASES	B 3.7.1
ACTIONS	A.1, A.2 and A.3
	ACTIONS are provided to immediately stop the further addition of radioactive materials to the tank and reduce tank contents to within limits within 48 hours. This provides a reasonable period of time to re-establish tank contents to within limits while ensuring that prompt ACTIONS are taken. The circumstances surrounding the event are required to be reported to the NRC in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report.
TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	TSR 3.7.1.1 Sampling tank contents once per 7 days when radioactive materials are being added to the tank is sufficient to monitor for adherence to limits.
REFERENCES	1. BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)

Liquid Effluents

**Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision** 16

**Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation** 

and

**Reactor Coolant Chemistry Limits** 

# TR 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

TR 3.3.9 Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation

LCO 3.3.9 There shall be at least one OPERABLE Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer instrument with alarm setpoint set to ensure the limit of TRM LCO 3.7.2 is not exceeded.

APPLICABILITY: During main condenser offgas treatment system operation

NOTE	 **********
TRM LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.	

### ACTIONS

		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	No OPERABLE Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer instruments.	A.1 <u>OR</u>	Install a temporary monitor	4 hours
		A.2.1 <u>Al</u>	Take grab samples <u>ND</u>	4 hours from discovery of no OPERABLE instrument
		A.2.2	Analyze the sample for explosive concentration of hydrogen.	AND Every 4 hours thereafter 4 hours following grab sample

# Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation TR 3.3.9

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY				
TSR 3.3.9.1	3.9.1 Perform CHANNEL CHECK.					
TSR 3.3.9.2	Perform CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST.	92 days				
TSR 3.3.9.3	NOTE Shall include use of standard gas samples containing a nominal zero volume percent hydrogen (compressed air), and a nominal one volume percent hydrogen, balance nitrogen.					
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	Once per OPERATING CYCLE				

Coolant Chemistry TR 3.4.1

# ACTIONS

. •

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Conditions A, B, or C not met.	D.1 <u>AND</u>	Initiate an orderly shutdown.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u> Conductivity > 10 μmho/cm at 25°C.	D.2	Be in MODE 4.	As rapidly as cooldown rate permits
	OR			
	Chloride concentration > 0.5 ppm.			
	<u>OR</u>			
	Conductivity or chloride concentration limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A exceeded.			
E.	Coolant chemistry limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column C or D exceeded.	E.1	Initiate action to restore coolant chemistry within limits.	Immediately

# Table 3.4.1-1 Coolant Chemistry Limits<sup>(1)</sup>

COLUMN A APPLICABLE CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS Prior To Startu And At Steamin Rates < 100,000 lb/h		COLUMN B APPLICABLE CONDITION Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN C APPLICABLE CONDITION Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except During Startup Condition	COLUMN D <sup>(2)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Noble Metal Chemical Application and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup	
CHLORIDE (ppm)	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.1	
CONDUCTIVITY (µmho/cm at 25°C)	≤ 2.0	≤1.0	≤ 10.0	≤ 20.0	
рН	5.6-8.6	5.6-8.6	5.3-8.6	4.3-9.9	

<sup>(1)</sup> When there is no fuel in the reactor vessel, Technical Requirement reactor coolant chemistry limits do not apply.

<sup>(2)</sup> During the Noble Metal Chemical Application and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup, CONDITIONS A, B, C, and D (including Required Actions and Completion Times) do not apply.

APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	The hydrogen concentration of the gases from the air ejector is maintained below the flammable limit by maintaining adequate steam flow for dilution at all times. The pressure of the steam supplied to the first and third stage steam jet air ejectors is monitored. The steam jet air ejector inlet and effluent are automatically isolated on low steam supply pressure. The preheaters are heated with steam, rather than electrically, to eliminate presence of potential ignition sources and to limit the temperature of the gases in the event of cessation of gas flow. The recombiner temperatures are monitored and an alarm is actuated to indicate any deterioration of performance. A hydrogen analyzer downstream of the recombiners provides an additional check on recombiner performance.
LCO 3.3.9	These instruments are required to alert the operator of explosive conditions within the offgas system, and prompt the operator to comply with Technical Requirements 3.7.2
APPLICABILITY	The hydrogen buildup in the offgas system will stop when the main condenser offgas system is removed from service. Hence, this requirement is only applicable during main condenser offgas treatment system operation. The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 63 of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50.
ACTIONS	<u>A.1 and A.2</u> Continued operation of the main condenser offgas treatment system is allowed provided adequate backup information is obtained from grab samples or a temporary monitor as required by ACTION A.

BASES

BASES

LCO 3.4.1 (continued)	Since oxygen may be at higher concentrations at low steaming rates, the chloride concentration limit is lower than at higher steaming rates when the oxygen content is lower.			
	However, the conductivity is allowed to be at a higher level provided it is not caused from chloride ions due to the fact that the dissolved gases may result in higher conductivity. During startup or hot standby conditions, the reactor water cleanup system may be more efficient since the makeup from feedwater is very low.			
	Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr			
	At steaming rates greater than 100,000 lb/hr, the boiling rates are significant enough to strip away dissolved oxygen, but high enough to start concentrating dissolved ions.			
	Because the dissolved oxygen is being effectively removed, the chloride ion limits are relaxed.			
	However, because the reactor is now acting as a concentrator for ionic impurities and particulates, the conductivity limits are made more stringent.			
	Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except			
	These are the baseline chemistry limits for water in contact with fuel. They are the same as the spent fuel pool with the extra limitation of pH.			
	Noble Metal Chemical Application (NMCA) and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup			
	During NMCA, the chemicals added to the reactor coolect (which			

During NMCA, the chemicals added to the reactor coolant (which contain the noble metals) will increase conductivity and affect pH. Therefore, special chemistry parameter limits are used for the NMCA process and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup. The chloride limits for this condition are unchanged from the 'Steaming Rates < 100,000 lb/hr' condition.

APPLICABILITY These limits are applicable, as specified, at all times when fuel is in the reactor vessel.

#### ACTIONS A.1 and B.1

A two week per year allowance for exceeding the normal chemistry limits is allowed to give the opportunity for the reactor water cleanup system to return the water chemistry to normal after a transient chemical intrusion.

# <u>C.1</u>

These chemistry limits take into account factors of corrosion that may not be affected by the amount of chloride ion.

#### D.1 and D.2

The major benefit of Cold Shutdown is to reduce the temperature dependent corrosion rates and provide time for the cleanup system to reestablish the purity of the reactor coolant.

# <u>E.1</u>

Immediate ACTIONS are taken to bring coolant chemistry within limits.

# TR 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

TR 3.3.9 Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation

LCO 3.3.9 There shall be at least one OPERABLE Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer instrument with alarm setpoint set to ensure the limit of TRM LCO 3.7.2 is not exceeded.

APPLICABILITY: During main condenser offgas treatment system operation

TRM LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.

#### ACTIONS

	CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	A. No OPERABLE Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer instruments.		Install a temporary monitor	4 hours
		A.2.1 Take grab samples		4 hours from discovery of no OPERABLE instrument
		A.2.2	Analyze the sample for explosive concentration of hydrogen.	AND Every 4 hours thereafter 4 hours following grab sample

# Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation TR 3.3.9

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.3.9.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	24 hours
TSR 3.3.9.2	Perform CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST.	92 days
TSR 3.3.9.3	NOTE Shall include use of standard gas samples containing a nominal zero volume percent hydrogen (compressed air), and a nominal one volume percent hydrogen, balance nitrogen.	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	92 days

Coolant Chemistry TR 3.4.1

# ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Conditions A, B, or C not met.	D.1 <u>AND</u>	Initiate an orderly shutdown.	Immediately
	OR	D.2	Be in MODE 4.	As rapidly as
	Conductivity > 10 μmho/cm at 25°C.			permits
	OR			
,	Chloride concentration > 0.5 ppm.			
	<u>OR</u>			
	Conductivity or chloride concentration limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A exceeded.			
E.	Coolant chemistry limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column C or D exceeded.	E.1	Initiate action to restore coolant chemistry within limits.	Immediately

# Table 3.4.1-1 Coolant Chemistry Limits<sup>(1)</sup>

CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS	COLUMN A APPLICABLE CONDITION Prior To Startup And At Steaming Rates < 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN B APPLICABLE CONDITION Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN C APPLICABLE CONDITION Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except During Startup Condition	COLUMN D <sup>(2)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Noble Metal Chemical Application and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup
CHLORIDE (ppm)	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.1
CONDUCTIVITY (µmho/cm at 25°C)	≤ 2.0	≤ 1.0	≤ 10.0	≤ 20.0
рН	5.6-8.6	5.6-8.6	5.3-8.6	4.3-9.9

<sup>(1)</sup> When there is no fuel in the reactor vessel, Technical Requirement reactor coolant chemistry limits do not apply.

<sup>(2)</sup> During the Noble Metal Chemical Application and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup, CONDITIONS A, B, C, and D (including Required Actions and Completion Times) do not apply.
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	The hydrogen concentration of the gases from the air ejector is maintained below the flammable limit by maintaining adequate steam flow for dilution at all times. The pressure of the steam supplied to the first and third stage steam jet air ejectors is monitored. The steam jet air ejector inlet and effluent are automatically isolated on low steam supply pressure. The preheaters are heated with steam, rather than electrically, to eliminate presence of potential ignition sources and to limit the temperature of the gases in the event of cessation of gas flow. The recombiner temperatures are monitored and an alarm is actuated to indicate any deterioration of performance. A hydrogen analyzer downstream of the recombiners provides an additional check on recombiner performance.
LCO 3.3.9	These instruments are required to alert the operator of explosive conditions within the offgas system, and prompt the operator to comply with Technical Requirements 3.7.2
APPLICABILITY	The hydrogen buildup in the offgas system will stop when the main condenser offgas system is removed from service. Hence, this requirement is only applicable during main condenser offgas treatment system operation. The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 63 of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50.
ACTIONS	<u>A.1 and A.2</u> Continued operation of the main condenser offgas treatment system is allowed provided adequate backup information is obtained from grab samples or a temporary monitor as required by ACTION A.

BASES

LCO 3.4.1 (continued)	Since oxygen may be at higher concentrations at low steaming rates, the chloride concentration limit is lower than at higher steaming rates when the oxygen content is lower.
	However, the conductivity is allowed to be at a higher level provided it is not caused from chloride ions due to the fact that the dissolved gases may result in higher conductivity. During startup or hot standby conditions, the reactor water cleanup system may be more efficient since the makeup from feedwater is very low.
	Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr
	At steaming rates greater than 100,000 lb/hr, the boiling rates are significant enough to strip away dissolved oxygen, but high enough to start concentrating dissolved ions.
	Because the dissolved oxygen is being effectively removed, the chloride ion limits are relaxed.
	However, because the reactor is now acting as a concentrator for ionic impurities and particulates, the conductivity limits are made more stringent.
	<u>Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except</u> During Startup
	These are the baseline chemistry limits for water in contact with fuel. They are the same as the spent fuel pool with the extra limitation of pH.
	Noble Metal Chemical Application (NMCA) and Subsequent

Reactor Coolant Cleanup

During NMCA, the chemicals added to the reactor coolant (which contain the noble metals) will increase conductivity and affect pH. Therefore, special chemistry parameter limits are used for the NMCA process and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup. The chloride limits for this condition are unchanged from the 'Steaming Rates < 100,000 lb/hr' condition.

#### BASES

APPLICABILITY These limits are applicable, as specified, at all times when fuel is in the reactor vessel.

#### ACTIONS

#### <u>A.1 and B.1</u>

A two week per year allowance for exceeding the normal chemistry limits is allowed to give the opportunity for the reactor water cleanup system to return the water chemistry to normal after a transient chemical intrusion.

### <u>C.1</u>

These chemistry limits take into account factors of corrosion that may not be affected by the amount of chloride ion.

#### D.1 and D.2

The major benefit of Cold Shutdown is to reduce the temperature dependent corrosion rates and provide time for the cleanup system to reestablish the purity of the reactor coolant.

### <u>E.1</u>

Immediate ACTIONS are taken to bring coolant chemistry within limits.

TRM Revision <del>0,</del> 16 March 31, 2000

## TR 3.3 INSTRUMENTATION

TR 3.3.9 Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation

LCO 3.3.9 There shall be at least one OPERABLE Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer instrument with alarm setpoint set to ensure the limit of TRM LCO 3.7.2 is not exceeded.

APPLICABILITY: During main condenser offgas treatment system operation

NOTENOTE	
TRM LCO 3.0.3 is not applicable.	

### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	No OPERABLE Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer instruments.	A.1 <u>OR</u>	Install a temporary monitor	4 hours
		A.2.1 <u>A</u> I	Take grab samples <u>ND</u>	4 hours from discovery of no OPERABLE instrument
		A.2.2	Analyze the sample for explosive concentration of hydrogen.	AND Every 4 hours thereafter 4 hours following grab sample

## Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation TR 3.3.9

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.3.9.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	24 hours
TSR 3.3.9.2	Perform CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST.	92 days
TSR 3.3.9.3	NOTE Shall include use of standard gas samples containing a nominal zero volume percent hydrogen (compressed air), and a nominal one volume percent hydrogen, balance nitrogen.	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	Once per OPERATING CYCLE

Coolant Chemistry TR 3.4.1

## ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
D.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Conditions A, B, or C not met.	D.1 <u>AND</u>	Initiate an orderly shutdown.	Immediately
	<u>OR</u> Conductivity > 10 μmho/cm at 25°C.	D.2	Be in MODE 4.	As rapidly as cooldown rate permits
	<u>OR</u>			
	Chloride concentration > 0.5 ppm.			
	<u>OR</u>			
	Conductivity or chloride concentration limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A exceeded.			
E.	Coolant chemistry limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column C or D exceeded.	E.1	Initiate action to restore coolant chemistry within limits.	Immediately

## Table 3.4.1-1 Coolant Chemistry Limits<sup>(1)</sup>

CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS	COLUMN A APPLICABLE CONDITION Prior To Startup And At Steaming Rates < 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN B APPLICABLE CONDITION Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN C APPLICABLE CONDITION Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except During Startup Condition	COLUMN D <sup>(2)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Noble Metal Chemical Application and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup
CHLORIDE (ppm)	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.1
CONDUCTIVITY (µmho/cm at 25°C)	≤ 2.0	≤ 1.0	≤ 10.0	≤ 20.0
рН	5.6-8.6	5.6-8.6	5.3-8.6	4.3-9.9

<sup>(1)</sup> When there is no fuel in the reactor vessel, Technical Requirement reactor coolant chemistry limits do not apply.

<sup>(2)</sup> During the Noble Metal Chemical Application and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup, CONDITIONS A, B, C, and D (including Required Actions and Completion Times) do not apply.

APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS       The hydrogen concentration of the gases from the air ejector is maintained below the flammable limit by maintaining adequate steam flow for dilution at all times. The pressure of the steam supplied to the first and third stage steam jet air ejectors is monitored. The steam jet air ejector inlet and effluent are automatically isolated on low steam supply pressure. The preheaters are heated with steam, rather than electrically, to eliminate presence of potential ignition sources and to limit the temperature of the gases in the event of cessation of gas flow. The recombiner temperatures are monitored and an alarm is actuated to indicate any deterioration of performance. A hydrogen analyzer downstream of the recombiners provides an additional check on recombiner performance.         LCO 3.3.9       These instruments are required to alert the operator of explosive conditions within the offgas system, and prompt the operator to comply with Technical Requirements 3.7.2         APPLICABILITY       The hydrogen buildup in the offgas system will stop when the main condenser offgas system is removed from service. Hence, this requirement is only applicable during main condenser offgas treatment system operation.         The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 63 of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50.         ACTIONS       A.1 and A.2 Continued operation of the main condenser offgas treatment system is allowed provided adequate backup information is obtained from grab samples or a temporary monitor as required by ACTION A.		
LCO 3.3.9       These instruments are required to alert the operator of explosive conditions within the offgas system, and prompt the operator to comply with Technical Requirements 3.7.2         APPLICABILITY       The hydrogen buildup in the offgas system will stop when the main condenser offgas system is removed from service. Hence, this requirement is only applicable during main condenser offgas treatment system operation.         The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 63 of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50.         ACTIONS       A.1 and A.2         Continued operation of the main condenser offgas treatment system is allowed provided adequate backup information is obtained from grab samples or a temporary monitor as required by ACTION A.	APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	The hydrogen concentration of the gases from the air ejector is maintained below the flammable limit by maintaining adequate steam flow for dilution at all times. The pressure of the steam supplied to the first and third stage steam jet air ejectors is monitored. The steam jet air ejector inlet and effluent are automatically isolated on low steam supply pressure. The preheaters are heated with steam, rather than electrically, to eliminate presence of potential ignition sources and to limit the temperature of the gases in the event of cessation of gas flow. The recombiner temperatures are monitored and an alarm is actuated to indicate any deterioration of performance. A hydrogen analyzer downstream of the recombiners provides an additional check on recombiner performance.
APPLICABILITY       The hydrogen buildup in the offgas system will stop when the main condenser offgas system is removed from service. Hence, this requirement is only applicable during main condenser offgas treatment system operation.         The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 63 of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50.         ACTIONS       A.1 and A.2         Continued operation of the main condenser offgas treatment system is allowed provided adequate backup information is obtained from grab samples or a temporary monitor as required by ACTION A.	LCO 3.3.9	These instruments are required to alert the operator of explosive conditions within the offgas system, and prompt the operator to comply with Technical Requirements 3.7.2
ACTIONS <u>A.1 and A.2</u> Continued operation of the main condenser offgas treatment system is allowed provided adequate backup information is obtained from grab samples or a temporary monitor as required by ACTION A.	APPLICABILITY	The hydrogen buildup in the offgas system will stop when the main condenser offgas system is removed from service. Hence, this requirement is only applicable during main condenser offgas treatment system operation. The OPERABILITY and use of this instrumentation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criteria 63 of Appendix A to 10 CFR 50.
	ACTIONS	<u>A.1 and A.2</u> Continued operation of the main condenser offgas treatment system is allowed provided adequate backup information is obtained from grab samples or a temporary monitor as required by ACTION A.

BASES

LCO 3.4.1 Since oxygen may be at higher concentrations at low steaming rates, the chloride concentration limit is lower than at higher-(continued) steaming rates when the oxygen content is lower. However, the conductivity is allowed to be at a higher level provided it is not caused from chloride ions due to the fact that the dissolved gases may result in higher conductivity. During startup or hot standby conditions, the reactor water cleanup system may be more efficient since the makeup from feedwater is very low. Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr At steaming rates greater than 100,000 lb/hr, the boiling rates are significant enough to strip away dissolved oxygen, but high enough to start concentrating dissolved ions. Because the dissolved oxygen is being effectively removed, the chloride ion limits are relaxed. However, because the reactor is now acting as a concentrator for ionic impurities and particulates, the conductivity limits are made more stringent. Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except During Startup These are the baseline chemistry limits for water in contact with fuel. They are the same as the spent fuel pool with the extra limitation of pH. Noble Metal Chemical Application (NMCA) and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup During NMCA, the chemicals added to the reactor coolant (which

contain the noble metals) will increase conductivity and affect pH. Therefore, special chemistry parameter limits are used for the NMCA process and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup. The chloride limits for this condition are unchanged from the 'Steaming Rates < 100,000 lb/hr' condition.

APPLICABILITY	These limits are applicable, as specified, at all times when	fuel is in
	the reactor vessel.	

### ACTIONS <u>A.1 and B.1</u>

A two week per year allowance for exceeding the normal chemistry limits is allowed to give the opportunity for the reactor water cleanup system to return the water chemistry to normal after a transient chemical intrusion.

### <u>C.1</u>

These chemistry limits take into account factors of corrosion that may not be affected by the amount of chloride ion.

### D.1 and D.2

The major benefit of Cold Shutdown is to reduce the temperature dependent corrosion rates and provide time for the cleanup system to reestablish the purity of the reactor coolant.

### <u>E.1</u>

Immediate ACTIONS are taken to bring coolant chemistry within limits.

**Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 17** 

Snubbers

.

.

TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS		TR 3.7.4				
TR 3.7.4 Snubbers						
LCO 3.7.4 During a All safe	-CO 3.7.4 During all MODES of operation, all snubbers shall be OPERABLE. All safety-related snubbers are listed in plant procedures.					
APPLICABILITY: MODES	1, 2, 3, 4, 5 when the associated sy uired to be OPERABLE.	vstem/component is				
Snubbers located inside the dr OPERABLE whenever fuel is ir and RCIC piping, in the drywel steam line plugs are installed in	ywell on reactor vessel attached pipi in the reactor vessel. Snubbers on th l, are exempt from the operability rec in the reactor vessel.	ing shall be le Main Steam, HPCI, quirement when the				
ACTIONS	NOTE					
Separate condition entry is allo	wed for each system/train - not per s	snubber.				
CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME				
A. One or more snubber(s) inoperable	A.1.1 Replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to OPERABLE status.	72 hours				
	AND					
		(continued)				

.

÷

Snubbers

ACTIONS

r

.

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more snubber(s) inoperable (continued).	1.	NOTES Only required if the snubber(s) do not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	
·		2.	The evaluation must ensure the inoperable snubber did not adversely affect the supported component or system during the previous operating cycle.	
		A.1.2	Perform an engineering evaluation on the supported component or system.	72 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		A.2	Declare the supported system inoperable. (Refer to applicable TS and TRM LCOs).	72 hours

.

.

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

····	FREQUENCY	
TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)	c. Fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage are functional. The discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic.	
	Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspection shall be classified unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers irrespective of type that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per the criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	
	A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the system or train shall be declared inoperable. Additionally, snubbers attached to sections of safety related systems that have experienced unexpected potentially damaging transients since the last inspection period shall be evaluated for the possibility of concealed damage and functionally tested, if applicable, to confirm OPERABILITY. Snubbers which have been made inoperable as the result of unexpected transients, isolated damage, or other random	

(continued)

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)	events, when the provisions of TSR 3.7.4.5 and TSR 3.7.4.6 have been met and any other appropriate corrective action implemented, shall not be counted in determining the next visual inspection interval.	
TSR 3.7.4.2	Perform an in-place or bench functional test of a representative sample of 10% of the total of each type of safety-related snubber(s).	24 months
	a. The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments, and the range of size and capacity of snubbers within the types;	
	<ul> <li>The representative sample should be weighed to include more snubbers from severe service areas such as near heavy equipment;</li> </ul>	
	c. The stroke setting and the security of fasteners for attachment of the snubbers to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage shall be verified.	
		(continued)

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	FREQUENCY	
TSR 3.7.4.2 (continued)	Functional Test Acceptance Criteria:	
	The snubber functional test shall verify that	t:
	a. Activation (restraining action) is achieve in both tension and compression within the specified range, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel.	ed and a second s
,	<ul> <li>Snubber bleed or release, where required, is present in both compression and tension within the specified range.</li> </ul>	1
	c. For mechanical snubbers, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is not great enough to overstress the supported component or system during thermal movement, or to indicate impending failure of the snubbe	r.
	<ul> <li>For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement shall be verified.</li> </ul>	
	e. Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.	d

(continued)

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	FREQUENCY	
TSR 3.7.4.3	A failure analysis shall be made of each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2 to determine the cause of the failure. The result of this analysis shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in the subsequent lot in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers which may be subject to the same failure mode. Selection of snubbers for future testing may also be based on the failure analysis.	Once for each discovery of snubber failure to meet functional test acceptance criteria
	For each failed snubber, perform in-place or bench functional test on an additional lot equal to 10% of the remainder of that type of snubber. Testing shall continue until no additional inoperable snubbers are found within subsequent lots or all snubbers of the original test type are tested or all suspect snubbers identified by the failure analysis have been tested, as applicable. The functional test criteria shall be as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	
	Prior to functional testing the discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic. The result of the evaluation will be used to select other suspect snubbers for verifying the attachment fasteners, as applicable.	Once for each discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners

(continued)

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	FREQUENCY		
TSR 3.7.4.4	NOTE This testing is independent of the requirements of TSR 3.7.4.3.	· .	
	For any snubber which fails to lockup or fails to move (i.e., frozen in place), evaluate the cause. If caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, perform in-place or bench functional test of all snubbers of the same design, subject to the same defect. The functional test acceptance criteria shall be as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once for each discovery of snubber failure to lockup or failure to move	
TSR 3.7.4.5	Perform an engineering evaluation on the component or system which is restrained by the snubber(s) found inoperable due to not meeting their functional test acceptance criteria as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once for each discovery of an inoperable snubber	
TSR 3.7.4.6	Verify replacement snubbers and snubbers having repairs which might affect the functional test results meet the test criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once prior to installation in the unit for each replacement snubber and	
	<ul> <li>These snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service; and</li> </ul>	each snubber which has repairs which might affect	
	<ul> <li>b. The functional test must have been performed within the 12 months prior to being installed in the unit.</li> </ul>	functional test results	

## TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

TR 3.7.4 Snubbers

BASES

BACKGROUND	Snubbers are designed to prevent unrestrained component or system motion under dynamic loads as might occur during an earthquake or severe transient, while allowing normal thermal motion during startup and shutdown. The consequence of an inoperable snubber is an increase in the probability of structural damage to the component or system as a result of a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. An inoperable snubber (ex: failed by locked in place) may cause damage to the supported component or system from normal operating modes such as thermal operation. It is, therefore, required that all snubbers required to protect the primary coolant system or any other safety related component or system be OPERABLE during MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) action statements establish allowable outage times for components or systems addressed by the Limiting Conditions of Operation (LCO) for snubbers. These time limits are applicable when a snubber must be removed from service to perform required surveillance tests. For snubbers, the allowable outage time is 72 hours. Table 3.7.4-1, "Snubber Visual Inspection Interval" was issued to all nuclear plant license holders by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) under Generic Letter (GL) 90-09. This was added to the old Technical Specification and approved by the NRC under Technical Specification Amendment 210.
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	When a snubber is removed from a component or system for surveillance testing or maintenance activity the snubber is declared inoperable since it cannot perform its intended function while removed. This type of inoperability is not a failure and does not require an engineering evaluation to be performed. If a snubber is found to be inoperable during testing, an engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether the mode of failure of the snubber(s) has adversely affected any safety-related component or system, to which the snubber(s) are attached during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service. This engineering evaluation does not relate to the component or system capability to withstand a seismic event or severe transients.

Snubbers B 3.7.4

Snul	bb	e	'S
В	3.	7.	4

······································		
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS (continued)	Removal for surveillance testing is not modes of failure for snubbers are (locked force, does not activate, no lockup, high bleed, no bleed, or damage to the snub snubber is found to be inoperable, by fa acceptance criteria as stated in TSR 3. evaluation shall be performed to determ supported component or system during cycle with the snubber inoperable, and system remains capable of meeting its a OPERABILITY of the affected system(s limited amount of time (72 hours) is allo component or system to be considered adequate protection during seismic or of dynamic loads may not be provided with Any degradation in seismic or dynamic inoperable snubber(s) was taken into ac 72 hour allowable time, because the pro- during relatively low probability events. and 5 snubbers may be removed from s surveillance testing to satisfy the require	a mode of failure. The ed in place, high drag h lockup, low lockup, high ober hardware). Once a ailing its functional test 7.4.2, an engineering nine the effects on the the previous unit operating to assure the component or designed service and the b. Additionally, only a owed for the supported OPERABLE, since other events initiating h the snubber inoperable. protection due to an ccount in establishing the otection is required only During MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, service for functional ed testing interval.
LCO 3.7.4	During all MODES of operation, all snuk The number of snubbers on each unit and are too numerous to list in this Technica surveillance 4.6.H series lists the snubb	obers shall be OPERABLE. nd shared systems at BFN al Requirement. The bers that are required.
APPLICABILITY	During all MODES of operation, all snub All MODES are applicable for this requir affect a wide variety of components and the systems are required in one or more MODES are covered since every MODE or more of the supported components or	obers shall be OPERABLE. rement since the snubbers systems, of which some of MODES of operation. All will be applicable for one systems.
	However, if a component or system affect required to be OPERABLE, then the snu OPERABLE, except as follows: the snul drywell on reactor vessel attached piping considered OPERABLE whenever fuel is The snubbers on Main Steam, HPCI, and drywell are exempt from this requirement	cted by the snubber is not ubber is not required to be bbers located inside the g systems shall be s in the reactor vessel. d RCIC piping in the t when the steam line
BFN-UNIT 1	B 3.7-9	<b>TRM Revision <del>7,</del> 17</b> April 07, 2000

APPLICABILITY plugs are installed inside the reactor vessel. During the times the snubbers are not required to be OPERABLE for an inoperable (continued) system, the inoperable snubber(s) will be tracked to prevent declaring the system OPERABLE with unanalyzed inoperable snubbers. ACTIONS A.1 and A.2 A separate condition entry statement has been added to the ACTIONS allowing a separate condition to be entered for each system/train. The CONDITION statement does not look at how many snubbers are inoperable on a system/train, but requires that all of the inoperable snubbers be replaced within the 72 hours time limit or the system/train must be declared inoperable. A note is provided, in the Required Action section, to indicate that an engineering evaluation is not required if snubber(s) are removed for surveillance testing or maintenance, unless the snubber(s) do not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2. Because the protection is required only during relatively low probability events, a period of 72 hours is allowed to replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to an OPERABLE status, or the supported component, system, or train must be declared inoperable. The component, system, or train will not be declared inoperable unless the snubber(s) does not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2 and Required Action A.1.1 cannot be met. An engineering evaluation shall be performed on the supported component or system, only if the snubber(s) do not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2. The engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether the

mode of failure of the snubber(s) has adversely affected any safety-related component or system, to which the snubber(s) are attached during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service. The engineering evaluation does not relate to the component or system capability to withstand a seismic event or severe transient. Any degradation in seismic or dynamic protection due to an inoperable snubber(s) was taken into account in establishing the 72 hour allowable time.

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

A note is provided to indicate that each safety-related snubber (listed in plant procedures) shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the augmented inservice inspection program and requirements of this Technical Requirement.

An additional note is provided to indicate that in this Technical Requirement, "type of snubber" shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

The augmented inservice inspection program includes the following.

All safety-related snubbers are visually inspected for overall integrity and OPERABILITY. The visual inspection will include verification of proper orientation, adequate fluid level, if applicable, no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, proper attachment of the snubber to the component or system and structures, and no loose or missing fasteners. The removal of insulation or the verification of torque values for threaded fasteners is not required for visual inspections.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection. In accordance with Table 3.7.4-1, the number of inoperable snubbers found during a required inspection determines the time interval for the next required inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25 percent) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber in a visual inspection is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubber(s) that may be generically susceptible and OPERABILITY verified by inservice functional testing, if applicable, that snubber(s) may be reclassified as OPERABLE. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to the rejected snubber, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation, and

**BFN-UNIT 1** 

Snubbers B 3.7.4

#### BASES

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued) vibration. The inspection population or category may be established based on design features, or installed conditions which may be expected to be generic. Each of these inspection populations or categories may be inspected and tested separately unless an engineering analysis indicates the inspection population or category is improperly constituted. All suspect snubbers are subject to inspection and testing regardless of inspection population or category.

To verify snubber OPERABILITY, a functional test shall be performed once per 24 months.

These tests will include stroking of the snubbers to verify proper movement, activation, and bleed or release. Ten percent represents an adequate sample for such tests. Observed failures on these samples will require a failure analysis and testing of additional units. If the failure analysis results in the determination that the failure of a snubber to activate or to stroke (i.e., seized components) is the result of a manufacture or design deficiency, all snubbers subject to the same defect shall be functionally tested. Also, an engineering evaluation shall be performed to determine the effects on the supported component or system during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to ensure it remains capable of meeting its designed service. A thorough visual inspection of the snubber threaded attachments to the component or system and the anchorage will be made in conjunction with all required functional tests. The stroke setting of the snubbers selected for functional testing also will be verified.

Exemption from Visual Inspection or Functional Tests:

Permanent or other exemptions from visual inspections and/or functional testing for individual snubbers may be granted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and if applicable snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubber OPERABILITY for the applicable design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date. Snubbers so exempted shall continue to be listed in the plant instructions with footnotes indicating the extent of the exemptions.

#### BASES

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued) Snubber Service Life Program:

The service life of snubbers may be extended based on an evaluation of the records of functional tests, maintenance history, and environmental conditions to which the snubbers have been exposed.

The following will be implemented by the augmented inservice inspection program:

#### TSR 3.7.4.1

Visual Inspections:

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these populations or categories (inaccessible or accessible) may be inspected separately or jointly according to the schedule determined by Table 3.7.4-1. The visual inspection interval for a snubber population or category shall be determined based upon the criteria provided by Table 3.7.4-1. The first inspection interval determined using Table 3.7.4-1 criteria shall be based on the previous inspection interval as established by the requirements in effect before Technical Specification Amendment No. 210 was issued.

Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria:

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) the snubber has no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional, and (3) fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage are functional.

Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspection shall be classified unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers, irrespective of type, that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.

#### BASES

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)

A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the snubber shall be declared inoperable.

Additionally, snubbers attached to sections of safety-related systems that have experienced unexpected potentially damaging transients since the last inspection period shall be evaluated for the possibility of concealed damage and functionally tested, if applicable, to confirm OPERABILITY. Snubbers which have been made inoperable as the result of unexpected transients, isolated damage, or other random events, when the provisions of TSR 3.7.4.5 and 3.7.4.6 have been met and any other appropriate corrective action implemented, shall not be counted in determining the next visual inspection interval.

## <u>TSR 3.7.4.2</u>

Functional Test Schedule, Lot Size, and Composition:

Once per 24 months, a representative sample of 10% of the total of each type of safety-related snubbers in use in the plant shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test.

The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments, and the range of size and capacity of snubbers within the types. The representative sample should be weighed to include more snubbers from severe service areas such as near heavy equipment. The stroke setting and the security of fasteners for attachment of the snubbers to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage shall be verified on snubbers selected for functional tests.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

## TSR 3.7.4.2 (continued)

Functional Test Acceptance Criteria:

The snubber functional test shall verify that:

- a. Activation (restraining action) is achieved in both tension and compression within the specified range, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel.
- b. Snubber bleed, or release where required, is present in both compression and tension within the specified range.
- c. For mechanical snubbers, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is not great enough to overstress the supported component or system during thermal movement, or to indicate impending failure of the snubber.
- d. For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement shall be verified.
- e. Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.

### TSR 3.7.4.3

Functional Test Failure Analysis and Additional Test Lots:

A failure analysis shall be performed for each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria to determine the cause of the failure. The result of this analysis shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in the subsequent lot in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers which may be subject to the same failure mode. Selection of snubbers for future testing may also be based on the failure analysis.

### BASES

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.7.4.3 (continued)

For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria, an additional lot equal to 10 percent of the remainder of that type of snubber(s) shall be functionally tested. Testing shall continue until no additional inoperable snubbers are found within subsequent lots or all snubbers of the original functional test type have been tested or all suspect snubbers identified by the failure analysis have been tested, as applicable.

The discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic. The result of the evaluation will be used to select other suspect snubbers for verifying the attachment fasteners, as applicable.

### <u>TSR 3.7.4.4</u>

If any snubber selected for functional testing either fails to lockup or fails to move, i.e., frozen in place, the cause will be evaluated and if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, all snubbers of the same design, subject to the same defect, shall be functionally tested. This testing requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated above for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria.

### TSR 3.7.4.5

Functional Test Failure - Supported Component or System Analysis:

For the snubber(s) found inoperable, an engineering evaluation shall be performed on the component or system which is restrained | by the snubber(s) due to not meeting their functional test acceptance criteria. The purpose of this engineering evaluation shall be to determine if the component or system restrained by the snubber(s) was adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber(s), and in order to ensure that the restrained component or system remains capable of meeting the designed service.

BASES	В 3.7.4
	<u>TSR 3.7.4.6</u>
REQUIREMENTS (continued)	Functional Testing of Repaired and Spare Snubbers:
(continued)	Snubbers which fail the visual inspection or the functional test acceptance criteria shall be repaired or replaced. Replacement snubbers and snubbers having repairs which might affect the functional test results shall meet the functional test acceptance criteria before installation in the unit. These snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service, and the functional test must have been performed within 12 months prior to being installed in the unit.
REFERENCES	<ol> <li>BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)</li> </ol>

.

..

۳

Snubbers

TD 27 DI ANT OV	OTEMO			TR 3.7.4		
TR 3.7 PLAINT STSTEIVIS						
TR 3.7.4 Snubbe	TR 3.7.4 Snubbers					
LCO 3.7.4	During all Mo All safety-rel	ODES of op ated snubbe	eration, all snubbe ers are listed in pla	rs shall be OPERABLE. nt procedures.		
APPLICABILITY:	MODES 1, 2 required	, 3, 4, 5 whe to be OPEF	en the associated s RABLE.	ystem/component is		
Snubbers located ins OPERABLE wheneve and RCIC piping, in t steam line plugs are	ide the drywell er fuel is in the he drywell, are installed in the	on reactor reactor ves exempt from reactor ves	 vessel attached pip sel. Snubbers on t n the operability re sel.	bing shall be he Main Steam, HPCI, quirement when the		
ACTIONS		NOT				
Separate condition e	ntry is allowed	for each sys	stem/train - not per	snubber.		
CONDITIO	N	REQUI		COMPLETION TIME		
A. One or more snubber(s) in	A.	1.1 Repla inope to OF	ace or restore the erable snubber(s) PERABLE status.	72 hours		
	A	ND				
				(continued)		

.

.

•

Snubbers

# ACTIONS

.•

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more snubber(s) inoperable (continued).	1.	Only required if the snubber(s) do not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	
		2.	The evaluation must ensure the inoperable snubber did not adversely affect the supported component or system during the previous operating cycle.	
		A.1.2	Perform an engineering evaluation on the supported component or system.	72 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		A.2	Declare the supported system inoperable. (Refer to applicable TS and TRM LCOs).	72 hours

## **TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS**

	FREQUENCY	
TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)	<ul> <li>c. Fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage are functional. The discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic.</li> </ul>	
·	Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspection shall be classified unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers irrespective of type that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per the criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	
	A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the system or train shall be declared inoperable. Additionally, snubbers attached to sections of safety related systems that have	
	experienced unexpected potentially damaging transients since the last inspection period shall be evaluated for the possibility of concealed damage and functionally tested, if applicable, to confirm OPERABILITY. Snubbers which have been made inoperable as the result of unexpected transients, isolated damage, or other random	

(continued)

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	<b>.</b>	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)	ev ar otl im de int	vents, when the provisions of TSR 3.7.4.5 ad TSR 3.7.4.6 have been met and any her appropriate corrective action plemented, shall not be counted in termining the next visual inspection erval.	
TSR 3.7.4.2	Pe of tot sn	a representative sample of 10% of the al of each type of safety-related ubber(s).	24 months
	a.	functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments, and the range of size and capacity of snubbers within the types;	
	b.	The representative sample should be weighed to include more snubbers from severe service areas such as near heavy equipment;	
	с.	The stroke setting and the security of fasteners for attachment of the snubbers to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage shall be verified.	
			(continued)

(continuea)

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.2 (continued)	Functional Test Acceptance Criteria:	
	The snubber functional test shall verify that:	
	a. Activation (restraining action) is achieved in both tension and compression within the specified range, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel.	E
	<ul> <li>Snubber bleed or release, where required, is present in both compression and tension within the specified range.</li> </ul>	
	c. For mechanical snubbers, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is not great enough to overstress the supported component or system during thermal movement, or to indicate impending failure of the snubber	
	<ul> <li>For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement shall be verified.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.</li> </ul>	1

(continued)

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.3	A failure analysis shall be made of each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2 to determine the cause of the failure. The result of this analysis shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in the subsequent lot in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers which may be subject to the same failure mode. Selection of snubbers for future testing may also be based on the failure analysis.	Once for each discovery of snubber failure to meet functional test acceptance criteria
	For each failed snubber, perform in-place or bench functional test on an additional lot equal to 10% of the remainder of that type of snubber. Testing shall continue until no additional inoperable snubbers are found within subsequent lots or all snubbers of the original test type are tested or all suspect snubbers identified by the failure analysis have been tested, as applicable. The functional test criteria shall be as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	
	Prior to functional testing the discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic. The result of the evaluation will be used to select other suspect snubbers for verifying the attachment fasteners, as applicable.	Once for each discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners

(continued)

.

## TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.4	This testing is independent of the requirements of TSR 3.7.4.3.	
	For any snubber which fails to lockup or fails to move (i.e., frozen in place), evaluate the cause. If caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, perform in-place or bench functional test of all snubbers of the same design, subject to the same defect. The functional test acceptance criteria shall be as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once for each discovery of snubber failure to lockup or failure to move
TSR 3.7.4.5	Perform an engineering evaluation on the component or system which is restrained by the snubber(s) found inoperable due to not meeting their functional test acceptance criteria as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once for each discovery of an inoperable snubber
TSR 3.7.4.6	Verify replacement snubbers and snubbers having repairs which might affect the functional test results meet the test criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once prior to installation in the unit for each replacement snubber and
	<ul> <li>These snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service; and</li> </ul>	each snubber which has repairs which might affect
	<ul> <li>b. The functional test must have been performed within the 12 months prior to being installed in the unit.</li> </ul>	functional test results

## TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

TR 3.7.4 Snubbers

BASES

BACKGROUND	Snubbers are designed to prevent unrestrained component or system motion under dynamic loads as might occur during an earthquake or severe transient, while allowing normal thermal motion during startup and shutdown. The consequence of an inoperable snubber is an increase in the probability of structural damage to the component or system as a result of a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. An inoperable snubber (ex: failed by locked in place) may cause damage to the supported component or system from normal operating modes such as thermal operation. It is, therefore, required that all snubbers required to protect the primary coolant system or any other safety related component or system be OPERABLE during MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) action statements establish allowable outage times for components or systems addressed by the Limiting Conditions of Operation (LCO) for snubbers. These time limits are applicable when a snubber must be removed from service to perform required surveillance tests. For snubbers, the allowable outage time is 72 hours. Table 3.7.4-1, "Snubber Visual Inspection Interval" was issued to all nuclear plant license holders by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) under Generic Letter (GL) 90-09. This was added to the old Technical Specification and approved by the NRC under Technical Specification Amendment 225.
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	When a snubber is removed from a component or system for surveillance testing or maintenance activity the snubber is declared inoperable since it cannot perform its intended function while removed. This type of inoperability is not a failure and does not require an engineering evaluation to be performed. If a snubber is found to be inoperable during testing, an engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether the mode of failure of the snubber(s) has adversely affected any safety-related component or system, to which the snubber(s) are attached during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service. This engineering evaluation does not relate to the component or system capability to withstand a seismic event or severe transients.
BASES	B 3.7.4
--	---
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS (continued)	Removal for surveillance testing is not a mode of failure. The modes of failure for snubbers are (locked in place, high drag force, does not activate, no lockup, high lockup, low lockup, high bleed, no bleed, or damage to the snubber hardware). Once a snubber is found to be inoperable, by failing its functional test acceptance criteria as stated in TSR 3.7.4.2, an engineering evaluation shall be performed to determine the effects on the supported component or system during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service and the OPERABILITY of the affected system(s). Additionally, only a limited amount of time (72 hours) is allowed for the supported component or system to be considered OPERABLE, since adequate protection during seismic or other events initiating dynamic loads may not be provided with the snubber inoperable. Any degradation in seismic or dynamic protection due to an inoperable snubber(s) was taken into account in establishing the 72 hour allowable time, because the protection is required only during relatively low probability events. During MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 snubbers may be removed from service for functional surveillance testing to satisfy the required testing interval.
LCO 3.7.4	During all MODES of operation, all snubbers shall be OPERABLE. The number of snubbers on each unit and shared systems at BFN are too numerous to list in this Technical Requirement. The surveillance 4.6.H series lists the snubbers that are required.
APPLICABILITY	During all MODES of operation, all snubbers shall be OPERABLE. All MODES are applicable for this requirement since the snubbers affect a wide variety of components and systems, of which some of the systems are required in one or more MODES of operation. All MODES are covered since every MODE will be applicable for one or more of the supported components or systems.
	However, if a component or system affected by the snubber is not required to be OPERABLE, then the snubber is not required to be OPERABLE, except as follows: the snubbers located inside the drywell on reactor vessel attached piping systems shall be considered OPERABLE whenever fuel is in the reactor vessel. The snubbers on Main Steam, HPCI, and RCIC piping in the drywell are exempt from this requirement when the steam line

.

•

Snubbers

APPLICABILITY (continued) plugs are installed inside the reactor vessel. During the times the snubbers are not required to be OPERABLE for an inoperable system, the inoperable snubber(s) will be tracked to prevent declaring the system OPERABLE with unanalyzed inoperable snubbers.

#### ACTIONS

#### A.1 and A.2

A separate condition entry statement has been added to the ACTIONS allowing a separate condition to be entered for each system/train. The CONDITION statement does not look at how many snubbers are inoperable on a system/train, but requires that all of the inoperable snubbers be replaced within the 72 hours time limit or the system/train must be declared inoperable.

A note is provided, in the Required Action section, to indicate that an engineering evaluation is not required if snubber(s) are removed for surveillance testing or maintenance, unless the snubber(s) do not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.

Because the protection is required only during relatively low probability events, a period of 72 hours is allowed to replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to an OPERABLE status, or the supported component, system, or train must be declared inoperable. The component, system, or train will not be declared inoperable unless the snubber(s) does not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2 and Required Action A.1.1 cannot be met. An engineering evaluation shall be performed on the supported component or system, only if the snubber(s) do not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2. The engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether the mode of failure of the snubber(s) has adversely affected any safety-related component or system, to which the snubber(s) are attached during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service. The engineering evaluation does not relate to the component or system capability to withstand a seismic event or severe transient. Any degradation in seismic or dynamic protection due to an inoperable snubber(s) was taken into account in establishing the 72 hour allowable time.

# TECHNICAL<br/>SURVEILLANCE<br/>REQUIREMENTSA note is provided to indicate that each safety-related snubber<br/>(listed in plant procedures) shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by<br/>performance of the augmented inservice inspection program and<br/>requirements of this Technical Requirement.An additional note is provided to indicate that in this Technical<br/>Requirement, "type of snubber" shall mean snubbers of the same<br/>design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

The augmented inservice inspection program includes the following.

All safety-related snubbers are visually inspected for overall integrity and OPERABILITY. The visual inspection will include verification of proper orientation, adequate fluid level, if applicable, no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, proper attachment of the snubber to the component or system and structures, and no loose or missing fasteners. The removal of insulation or the verification of torque values for threaded fasteners is not required for visual inspections.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection. In accordance with Table 3.7.4-1, the number of inoperable snubbers found during a required inspection determines the time interval for the next required inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25 percent) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber in a visual inspection is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubber(s) that may be generically susceptible and OPERABILITY verified by inservice functional testing, if applicable, that snubber(s) may be reclassified as OPERABLE. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to the rejected snubber, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation, and

Snubbers B 3.7.4

#### BASES

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued) vibration. The inspection population or category may be established based on design features, or installed conditions which may be expected to be generic. Each of these inspection populations or categories may be inspected and tested separately unless an engineering analysis indicates the inspection population or category is improperly constituted. All suspect snubbers are subject to inspection and testing regardless of inspection population or category.

To verify snubber OPERABILITY, a functional test shall be performed once per 24 months.

These tests will include stroking of the snubbers to verify proper movement, activation, and bleed or release. Ten percent represents an adequate sample for such tests. Observed failures on these samples will require a failure analysis and testing of additional units. If the failure analysis results in the determination that the failure of a snubber to activate or to stroke (i.e., seized components) is the result of a manufacture or design deficiency, all snubbers subject to the same defect shall be functionally tested. Also, an engineering evaluation shall be performed to determine the effects on the supported component or system during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to ensure it remains capable of meeting its designed service. A thorough visual inspection of the snubber threaded attachments to the component or system and the anchorage will be made in conjunction with all required functional tests. The stroke setting of the snubbers selected for functional testing also will be verified.

Exemption from Visual Inspection or Functional Tests:

Permanent or other exemptions from visual inspections and/or functional testing for individual snubbers may be granted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and if applicable snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubber OPERABILITY for the applicable design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date. Snubbers so exempted shall continue to be listed in the plant instructions with footnotes indicating the extent of the exemptions.

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued) Snubber Service Life Program:

The service life of snubbers may be extended based on an evaluation of the records of functional tests, maintenance history, and environmental conditions to which the snubbers have been exposed.

The following will be implemented by the augmented inservice inspection program:

#### TSR 3.7.4.1

Visual Inspections:

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these populations or categories (inaccessible or accessible) may be inspected separately or jointly according to the schedule determined by Table 3.7.4-1. The visual inspection interval for a snubber population or category shall be determined based upon the criteria provided by Table 3.7.4-1. The first inspection interval determined using Table 3.7.4-1 criteria shall be based on the previous inspection interval as established by the requirements in effect before Technical Specification Amendment No. 225 was issued.

Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria:

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) the snubber has no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional, and (3) fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage are functional.

Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspection shall be classified unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers, irrespective of type, that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)

A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the snubber shall be declared inoperable.

Additionally, snubbers attached to sections of safety-related systems that have experienced unexpected potentially damaging transients since the last inspection period shall be evaluated for the possibility of concealed damage and functionally tested, if applicable, to confirm OPERABILITY. Snubbers which have been made inoperable as the result of unexpected transients, isolated damage, or other random events, when the provisions of TSR 3.7.4.5 and 3.7.4.6 have been met and any other appropriate corrective action implemented, shall not be counted in determining the next visual inspection interval.

#### <u>TSR 3.7.4.2</u>

Functional Test Schedule, Lot Size, and Composition:

Once per 24 months, a representative sample of 10% of the total of each type of safety-related snubbers in use in the plant shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test.

The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments, and the range of size and capacity of snubbers within the types. The representative sample should be weighed to include more snubbers from severe service areas such as near heavy equipment. The stroke setting and the security of fasteners for attachment of the snubbers to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage shall be verified on snubbers selected for functional tests.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.7.4.2 (continued)

Functional Test Acceptance Criteria:

The snubber functional test shall verify that:

- a. Activation (restraining action) is achieved in both tension and compression within the specified range, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel.
- b. Snubber bleed, or release where required, is present in both compression and tension within the specified range.
- c. For mechanical snubbers, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is not great enough to overstress the supported component or system during thermal movement, or to indicate impending failure of the snubber.
- d. For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement shall be verified.
- e. Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.

#### TSR 3.7.4.3

Functional Test Failure Analysis and Additional Test Lots:

A failure analysis shall be performed for each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria to determine the cause of the failure. The result of this analysis shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in the subsequent lot in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers which may be subject to the same failure mode. Selection of snubbers for future testing may also be based on the failure analysis.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

#### TSR 3.7.4.3 (continued)

For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria, an additional lot equal to 10 percent of the remainder of that type of snubber(s) shall be functionally tested. Testing shall continue until no additional inoperable snubbers are found within subsequent lots or all snubbers of the original functional test type have been tested or all suspect snubbers identified by the failure analysis have been tested, as applicable.

The discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic. The result of the evaluation will be used to select other suspect snubbers for verifying the attachment fasteners, as applicable.

#### <u>TSR 3.7.4.4</u>

If any snubber selected for functional testing either fails to lockup or fails to move, i.e., frozen in place, the cause will be evaluated and if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, all snubbers of the same design, subject to the same defect, shall be functionally tested. This testing requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated above for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria.

#### TSR 3.7.4.5

Functional Test Failure - Supported Component or System Analysis:

For the snubber(s) found inoperable, an engineering evaluation shall be performed on the component or system which is restrained by the snubber(s) due to not meeting their functional test acceptance criteria. The purpose of this engineering evaluation shall be to determine if the component or system restrained by the snubber(s) was adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber(s), and in order to ensure that the restrained component or system remains capable of meeting the designed service.

BASES	В 3.7.4
	<u>TSR 3.7.4.6</u>
REQUIREMENTS (continued)	Functional Testing of Repaired and Spare Snubbers:
, ,	Snubbers which fail the visual inspection or the functional test acceptance criteria shall be repaired or replaced. Replacement snubbers and snubbers having repairs which might affect the functional test results shall meet the functional test acceptance criteria before installation in the unit. These snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service, and the functional test must have been performed within 12 months prior to being installed in the unit.
REFERENCES	1. BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)

.

•

Snubbers

#### TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

#### TR 3.7.4 Snubbers

LCO 3.7.4 During all MODES of operation, all snubbers shall be OPERABLE. All safety-related snubbers are listed in plant procedures.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 when the associated system/component is required to be operable.

Snubbers located inside the drywell on reactor vessel attached piping shall be operable whenever fuel is in the reactor vessel. Snubbers on the Main Steam, HPCI, and RCIC piping, in the drywell, are exempt from the operability requirement when the steam line plugs are installed in the reactor vessel.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	One or more snubber(s) inoperable.	A.1.1	Replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to OPERABLE status.	72 hours
		AND		(continued)

# ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	. One or more snubber(s) inoperable (continued).		Only required if the snubber(s) do not meet the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	
		2.	The evaluation must ensure the inoperable snubber did not adversely affect the supported component or system during the previous operating cycle.	
		A.1.2	Perform an engineering evaluation on the supported component or system.	72 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		A.2	Declare the supported system inoperable. (Refer to applicable TS and TRM LCOs).	72 hours

# **TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS**

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)	<ul> <li>c. Fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage are functional. The discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic.</li> </ul>	· · ·
	Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspection shall be classified unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers irrespective of type that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per the criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.	
	A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the system or train shall be declared inoperable. Additionally, snubbers attached to sections of safety related systems that have experienced unexpected potentially damaging transients since the last inspection period shall be evaluated for the possibility of concealed damage and functionally tested, if applicable, to confirm	
	OPERABILITY. Snubbers which have been made inoperable as the result of unexpected transients, isolated damage, or other random	

(continued)

## **TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS**

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)	events, when the provisions of TSR 3.7.4.5 and TSR 3.7.4.6 have been met and any other appropriate corrective action implemented, shall not be counted in determining the next visual inspection interval.	
TSR 3.7.4.2	Perform an in-place or bench functional test of a representative sample of 10% of the total of each type of safety-related snubber(s).	24 months
	<ul> <li>The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments, and the range of size and capacity of snubbers within the types;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>b. The representative sample should be weighed to include more snubbers from severe service areas such as near heavy equipment;</li> </ul>	
	c. The stroke setting and the security of fasteners for attachment of the snubbers to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage shall be verified.	
		(continued)

(continuea)

# **TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS**

. .

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.2 (continued)	Functional Test Acceptance Criteria:	
	The snubber functional test shall verify that:	
	a. Activation (restraining action) is achieved in both tension and compression within the specified range, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel.	
	<ul> <li>Snubber bleed or release, where required, is present in both compression and tension within the specified range.</li> </ul>	
	c. For mechanical snubbers, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is not great enough to overstress the supported component or system during thermal movement, or to indicate impending failure of the snubber.	
	<ul> <li>For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement shall be verified.</li> </ul>	
	e. Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.	

(continued)

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.3	A failure analysis shall be made of each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2 to determine the cause of the failure. The result of this analysis shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in the subsequent lot in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers which may be subject to the same failure mode. Selection of snubbers for future testing may also be based on the failure analysis.	Once for each discovery of snubber failure to meet functional test acceptance criteria
	For each failed snubber, perform in-place or bench functional test on an additional lot equal to 10% of the remainder of that type of snubber. Testing shall continue until no additional inoperable snubbers are found within subsequent lots or all snubbers of the original test type are tested or all suspect snubbers identified by the failure analysis have been tested, as applicable. The functional test criteria shall be as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	
	Prior to functional testing the discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic. The result of the evaluation will be used to select other suspect snubbers for verifying the attachment fasteners, as applicable.	Once for each discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners

(continued)

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

		SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.7.4.4	Tł re	nis testing is independent of the equirements of TSR 3.7.4.3.	
L	Fo to ca fui de fui as	or any snubber which fails to lockup or fails move (i.e., frozen in place), evaluate the nuse. If caused by manufacturer or design efficiency, perform in-place or bench nctional test of all snubbers of the same esign, subject to the same defect. The nctional test acceptance criteria shall be specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once for each discovery of snubber failure to lockup or failure to move
TSR 3.7.4.5	Pe co the me cri	erform an engineering evaluation on the imponent or system which is restrained by a snubber(s) found inoperable due to not beeting their functional test acceptance iteria as specified in TSR 3.7.4.2.	Once for each discovery of an inoperable snubber
TSR 3.7.4.6	Ve ha fur TS	erify replacement snubbers and snubbers wing repairs which might affect the nctional test results meet the test criteria of SR 3.7.4.2.	Once prior to installation in the unit for each replacement snubber and
	a.	These snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service; and	each snubber which has repairs which might affect
	b.	The functional test must have been performed within the 12 months prior to being installed in the unit.	functional test results

# TR 3.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

Snubbers B 3.7.4

TR 3.7.4 Snubbers

BASES

٠

.

BACKGROUND	Snubbers are designed to prevent unrestrained component or system motion under dynamic loads as might occur during an earthquake or severe transient, while allowing normal thermal motion during startup and shutdown. The consequence of an inoperable snubber is an increase in the probability of structural damage to the component or system as a result of a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. An inoperable snubber (ex: failed by locked in place) may cause damage to the supported component or system from normal operating modes such as thermal operation. It is, therefore, required that all snubbers required to protect the primary coolant system or any other safety related component or system be OPERABLE during MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. The Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) action statements establish allowable outage times for components or systems addressed by the Limiting Conditions of Operation (LCO) for snubbers. These time limits are applicable when a snubber must be removed from service to perform required surveillance tests. For snubbers, the allowable outage time is 72 hours. Table 3.7.4-1, "Snubber Visual Inspection Interval" was issued to all nuclear plant license holders by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) under Generic Letter (GL) 90-09. This was added to the old Technical Specification and approved by the NRC under Technical Specification Amendment 183.
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS	When a snubber is removed from a component or system for surveillance testing or maintenance activity the snubber is declared inoperable since it cannot perform its intended function while removed. This type of inoperability is not a failure and does not require an engineering evaluation to be performed. If a snubber is found to be inoperable during testing, an engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether the mode of failure of the snubber(s) has adversely affected any safety-related component or system, to which the snubber(s) are attached during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service. This engineering evaluation does not relate to the component or system capability to withstand a seismic event or severe transients.

BASES	Snubbers B 3.7.4
APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSIS (continued)	Removal for surveillance testing is not a mode of failure. The modes of failure for snubbers are (locked in place, high drag force, does not activate, no lockup, high lockup, low lockup, high bleed, no bleed, or damage to the snubber hardware). Once a snubber is found to be inoperable, by failing its functional test acceptance criteria as stated in TSR 3.7.4.2, an engineering evaluation shall be performed to determine the effects on the supported component or system during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service and the OPERABILITY of the affected system(s). Additionally, only a limited amount of time (72 hours) is allowed for the supported component or system to be considered OPERABLE, since adequate protection during seismic or other events initiating dynamic loads may not be provided with the snubber inoperable. Any degradation in seismic or dynamic protection due to an inoperable snubber(s) was taken into account in establishing the 72 hour allowable time, because the protection is required only during relatively low probability events. During MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 snubbers may be removed from service for functional surveillance testing to satisfy the required testing interval.
LCO 3.7.4	During all MODES of operation, all snubbers shall be OPERABLE. The number of snubbers on each unit and shared systems at BFN are too numerous to list in this Technical Requirement. The surveillance 4.6.H series lists the snubbers that are required.
APPLICABILITY	During all MODES of operation, all snubbers shall be OPERABLE. All MODES are applicable for this requirement since the snubbers affect a wide variety of components and systems, of which some of the systems are required in one or more MODES of operation. All MODES are covered since every MODE will be applicable for one or more of the supported components or systems.
	However, if a component or system affected by the snubber is not required to be OPERABLE, then the snubber is not required to be OPERABLE, except as follows: the snubbers located inside the drywell on reactor vessel attached piping systems shall be considered OPERABLE whenever fuel is in the reactor vessel. The snubbers on Main Steam, HPCI, and RCIC piping in the drywell are exempt from this requirement when the steam line
DENLIMIT 2	

.

APPLICABILITY (continued) plugs are installed inside the reactor vessel. During the times the snubbers are not required to be OPERABLE for an inoperable system, the inoperable snubber(s) will be tracked to prevent declaring the system OPERABLE with unanalyzed inoperable snubbers.

#### ACTIONS <u>A.1 and A.2</u>

A separate condition entry statement has been added to the ACTIONS allowing a separate condition to be entered for each system/train. The CONDITION statement does not look at how many snubbers are inoperable on a system/train, but requires that all of the inoperable snubbers be replaced within the 72 hours time limit or the system/train must be declared inoperable.

A note is provided, in the Required Action section, to indicate that an engineering evaluation is not required if snubber(s) are removed for surveillance testing or maintenance, unless the snubber(s) do not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.

Because the protection is required only during relatively low probability events, a period of 72 hours is allowed to replace or restore the inoperable snubber(s) to an OPERABLE status, or the supported component, system, or train must be declared inoperable. The component, system, or train will not be declared inoperable unless the snubber(s) does not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2 and Required Action A.1.1 cannot be met. An engineering evaluation shall be performed on the supported component or system, only if the snubber(s) do not meet their functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2. The engineering evaluation is performed to determine whether the mode of failure of the snubber(s) has adversely affected any safety-related component or system, to which the snubber(s) are attached during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to assure the component or system remains capable of meeting its designed service. The engineering evaluation does not relate to the component or system capability to withstand a seismic event or severe transient. Any degradation in seismic or dynamic protection due to an inoperable snubber(s) was taken into account in establishing the 72 hour allowable time.

#### TECHNICAL A SURVEILLANCE (lis REQUIREMENTS pe

A note is provided to indicate that each safety-related snubber (listed in plant procedures) shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the augmented inservice inspection program and requirements of this Technical Requirement.

An additional note is provided to indicate that in this Technical Requirement, "type of snubber" shall mean snubbers of the same design and manufacturer, irrespective of capacity.

The augmented inservice inspection program includes the following.

All safety-related snubbers are visually inspected for overall integrity and OPERABILITY. The visual inspection will include verification of proper orientation, adequate fluid level, if applicable, no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, proper attachment of the snubber to the component or system and structures, and no loose or missing fasteners. The removal of insulation or the verification of torque values for threaded fasteners is not required for visual inspections.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection. In accordance with Table 3.7.4-1, the number of inoperable snubbers found during a required inspection determines the time interval for the next required inspection. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed (nominal time less 25 percent) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

When the cause of the rejection of a snubber in a visual inspection is clearly established and remedied for that snubber and for any other snubber(s) that may be generically susceptible and OPERABILITY verified by inservice functional testing, if applicable, that snubber(s) may be reclassified as OPERABLE. Generically susceptible snubbers are those which are of a specific make or model and have the same design features directly related to the rejected snubber, or are similarly located or exposed to the same environmental conditions such as temperature, radiation, and

Snubbers B 3.7.4

#### BASES

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued) vibration. The inspection population or category may be established based on design features, or installed conditions which may be expected to be generic. Each of these inspection populations or categories may be inspected and tested separately unless an engineering analysis indicates the inspection population or category is improperly constituted. All suspect snubbers are subject to inspection and testing regardless of inspection population or category.

To verify snubber OPERABILITY, a functional test shall be performed once per 24 months.

These tests will include stroking of the snubbers to verify proper movement, activation, and bleed or release. Ten percent represents an adequate sample for such tests. Observed failures on these samples will require a failure analysis and testing of additional units. If the failure analysis results in the determination that the failure of a snubber to activate or to stroke (i.e., seized components) is the result of a manufacture or design deficiency, all snubbers subject to the same defect shall be functionally tested. Also, an engineering evaluation shall be performed to determine the effects on the supported component or system during the previous unit operating cycle with the snubber inoperable, and to ensure it remains capable of meeting its designed service. A thorough visual inspection of the snubber threaded attachments to the component or system and the anchorage will be made in conjunction with all required functional tests. The stroke setting of the snubbers selected for functional testing also will be verified.

Exemption from Visual Inspection or Functional Tests:

Permanent or other exemptions from visual inspections and/or functional testing for individual snubbers may be granted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and if applicable snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubber OPERABILITY for the applicable design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date. Snubbers so exempted shall continue to be listed in the plant instructions with footnotes indicating the extent of the exemptions.

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued) Snubber Service Life Program:

The service life of snubbers may be extended based on an evaluation of the records of functional tests, maintenance history, and environmental conditions to which the snubbers have been exposed.

The following will be implemented by the augmented inservice inspection program:

#### TSR 3.7.4.1

Visual Inspections:

Snubbers are categorized as inaccessible or accessible during reactor operation. Each of these populations or categories (inaccessible or accessible) may be inspected separately or jointly according to the schedule determined by Table 3.7.4-1. The visual inspection interval for a snubber population or category shall be determined based upon the criteria provided by Table 3.7.4-1. The first inspection interval determined using Table 3.7.4-1 criteria shall be based on the previous inspection interval as established by the requirements in effect before Technical Specification Amendment No. 183 was issued.

Visual Inspection Acceptance Criteria:

Visual inspections shall verify that (1) the snubber has no visible indications of damage or impaired OPERABILITY, (2) attachments to the foundation or supporting structure are functional, and (3) fasteners for the attachment of the snubber to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage are functional.

Snubbers which appear inoperable as a result of visual inspection shall be classified unacceptable and may be reclassified acceptable for the purpose of establishing the next visual inspection interval, provided that (1) the cause of the rejection is clearly established and remedied for that particular snubber and for other snubbers, irrespective of type, that may be generically susceptible; and (2) the affected snubber is functionally tested in the as-found condition and determined OPERABLE per the functional test acceptance criteria of TSR 3.7.4.2.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.7.4.1 (continued)

A review and evaluation shall be performed and documented to justify continued operation with an unacceptable snubber. If continued operation cannot be justified, the snubber shall be declared inoperable.

Additionally, snubbers attached to sections of safety-related systems that have experienced unexpected potentially damaging transients since the last inspection period shall be evaluated for the possibility of concealed damage and functionally tested, if applicable, to confirm OPERABILITY. Snubbers which have been made inoperable as the result of unexpected transients, isolated damage, or other random events, when the provisions of TSR 3.7.4.5 and 3.7.4.6 have been met and any other appropriate corrective action implemented, shall not be counted in determining the next visual inspection interval.

#### TSR 3.7.4.2

Functional Test Schedule, Lot Size, and Composition:

Once per 24 months, a representative sample of 10% of the total of each type of safety-related snubbers in use in the plant shall be functionally tested either in place or in a bench test.

The representative sample selected for functional testing shall include the various configurations, operating environments, and the range of size and capacity of snubbers within the types. The representative sample should be weighed to include more snubbers from severe service areas such as near heavy equipment. The stroke setting and the security of fasteners for attachment of the snubbers to the component or system and to the snubber anchorage shall be verified on snubbers selected for functional tests.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.7.4.2 (continued)

Functional Test Acceptance Criteria:

The snubber functional test shall verify that:

- a. Activation (restraining action) is achieved in both tension and compression within the specified range, except that inertia dependent, acceleration limiting mechanical snubbers may be tested to verify only that activation takes place in both directions of travel.
- b. Snubber bleed, or release where required, is present in both compression and tension within the specified range.
- c. For mechanical snubbers, the force required to initiate or maintain motion of the snubber is not great enough to overstress the supported component or system during thermal movement, or to indicate impending failure of the snubber.
- d. For snubbers specifically required not to displace under continuous load, the ability of the snubber to withstand load without displacement shall be verified.
- e. Testing methods may be used to measure parameters indirectly or parameters other than those specified if those results can be correlated to the specified parameters through established methods.

#### TSR 3.7.4.3

Functional Test Failure Analysis and Additional Test Lots:

A failure analysis shall be performed for each failure to meet the functional test acceptance criteria to determine the cause of the failure. The result of this analysis shall be used, if applicable, in selecting snubbers to be tested in the subsequent lot in an effort to determine the OPERABILITY of other snubbers which may be subject to the same failure mode. Selection of snubbers for future testing may also be based on the failure analysis.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

#### TSR 3.7.4.3 (continued)

For each snubber that does not meet the functional test acceptance criteria, an additional lot equal to 10 percent of the remainder of that type of snubber(s) shall be functionally tested. Testing shall continue until no additional inoperable snubbers are found within subsequent lots or all snubbers of the original functional test type have been tested or all suspect snubbers identified by the failure analysis have been tested, as applicable.

The discovery of loose or missing attachment fasteners will be evaluated to determine whether the cause may be localized or generic. The result of the evaluation will be used to select other suspect snubbers for verifying the attachment fasteners, as applicable.

#### TSR 3.7.4.4

If any snubber selected for functional testing either fails to lockup or fails to move, i.e., frozen in place, the cause will be evaluated and if caused by manufacturer or design deficiency, all snubbers of the same design, subject to the same defect, shall be functionally tested. This testing requirement shall be independent of the requirements stated above for snubbers not meeting the functional test acceptance criteria.

#### TSR 3.7.4.5

Functional Test Failure - Supported Component or System Analysis:

For the snubber(s) found inoperable, an engineering evaluation shall be performed on the component or system which is restrained | by the snubber(s) due to not meeting their functional test acceptance criteria. The purpose of this engineering evaluation shall be to determine if the component or system restrained by the snubber(s) was adversely affected by the inoperability of the snubber(s), and in order to ensure that the restrained component or system remains capable of meeting the designed service.

BASES	Snubbers B 3.7.4
TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)	TSR 3.7.4.6 Functional Testing of Repaired and Spare Snubbers:
( , )	Snubbers which fail the visual inspection or the functional test acceptance criteria shall be repaired or replaced. Replacement snubbers and snubbers having repairs which might affect the functional test results shall meet the functional test acceptance criteria before installation in the unit. These snubbers shall have met the acceptance criteria subsequent to their most recent service, and the functional test must have been performed within 12 months prior to being installed in the unit.
REFERENCES	<ol> <li>BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)</li> </ol>

\_\_\_\_

.

•

**Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 18** 

# Unit 3 Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation Calibration Frequency

and

Unit 3 Core Operating Limits Report Update

# Offgas Hydrogen Analyzer Instrumentation TR 3.3.9

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE		FREQUENCY
TSR 3.3.9.1	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	24 hours
TSR 3.3.9.2	Perform CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST.	92 days
TSR 3.3.9.3	NOTE Shall include use of standard gas samples containing a nominal zero volume percent hydrogen (compressed air), and a nominal one volume percent hydrogen, balance nitrogen.	
	Perform CHANNEL CALIBRATION.	92 days

TVA Nuclear Fuel Core Operating Limits Report

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 1

TRM Revision 18 <

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3, Cycle 10

# CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY Nuclear Fuel Division BWR Fuel Engineering Department

Prepared By: Date: 7-13-00 Earl E. Riley, Engineering Specialist **BWR Fuel Engineering** Verified By: 13/00 Date: Alan L. Bruce, Nuclear Engineer **BWR Fuel Engineering** Approved By: Date: T. A. Keys, Manager **BWR Fuel Engineering Reviewed By:** Date: actor Engineering Supervisor **Reviewed By:** 4-14-00 Date: **PORC** Chairman

Revision 0 (4/14/2000)

Initial Release Pages Affected: All TVA Nuclear Fuel Core Operating Limits Report TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 2 -----

# **Revision Log**

<u>Revision</u>

0

<u>Date</u>

**Description** 

Affected Pages

4/14/2000

Initial Release for New Cycle

All

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

This Core Operating Limits Report for Browns Ferry Unit 3, Cycle 10 is prepared in accordance with the requirements of Browns Ferry Technical Specification 5.6.5. The core operating limits presented here were developed using NRC-approved methods (References 1 and 2). Results from the reload analyses for Browns Ferry Unit 3, Cycle 10 are documented in Reference 3.

The following core operating limits are included in this report:

- a. Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (APLHGR) Limit (Technical Specifications 3.2.1 and 3.7.5)
- b. Linear Heat Generation Rate (LHGR) Limit (Technical Specification 3.2.3)
- c. Minimum Critical Power Ratio Operating Limit (OLMCPR) (Technical Specifications 3.2.2, 3.3.4.1, and 3.7.5)
- d. Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Flow Biased Rod Block Trip Setting (Technical Requirements Manual Section 5.3.1 and Table 3.3.4-1)
- e. Rod Block Monitor (RBM) Trip Setpoints and Operability (Technical Specification Table 3.3.2.1-1)
- f. Shutdown Margin (SDM) Limit (Technical Specification 3.1.1)

#### 2. APLHGR LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 3.2.1 AND 3.7.5)

The APLHGR limits for full power and flow conditions for each type of fuel as a function of exposure are shown in Figures 1-6. The APLHGR limits for the GE11 and GE13 assemblies are for the most limiting lattice (excluding natural uranium) at each exposure point. The specific values for each lattice are given in Reference 4.

These APLHGR limits are adjusted for off-rated power and flow conditions using the ARTS factors, MAPFAC(P) and MAPFAC(F). The reduced power factor, MAPFAC(P), is given in Figure 7. Similarly, adjustments for reduced flow operation are performed using the MAPFAC(F) corrections given in Figure 8. Both factors are multipliers used to reduce the standard APLHGR limit. The most limiting power-adjusted or flow-adjusted value is taken as the APLHGR operating limit for the off-rated condition.

The APLHGR limits in figures 1-6 are applicable for both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. The off-rated power and flow corrections in figures 7 and 8 bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service operation. No corrections are required to the APLHGR limits for TBOOS for either rated or off-rated operation.

For Single Recirculation Loop Operation (SLO), the most limiting of either the SLO multiplier or the off-rated MAPFAC correction is used to reduce the exposure dependent APLHGR limit. The SLO multiplier to be applied to this cycle is 0.84 (reference 3). It is not necessary to apply both the off-rated MAPFAC and SLO multiplier corrections at the same time.

# 3. LHGR LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.2.3)

The LHGR limit is fuel type dependent. For unit 3 cycle 10 these limits are the same for all fuel types in the core, as shown below:

Fuel Type	LHGR Limit
GE11	14.4 kw/ft
GE13	14.4 kw/ft

# 4. OLMCPR (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 3.2.2, 3.3.4.1, AND 3.7.5)

a. The MCPR Operating Limit for rated power and flow conditions, OLMCPR(100), is equal to the fuel type and exposure dependent limit shown in Figures 9 and 10. Figure 9 applies to exposure up to 2846 MWD/ST prior to EOR (end of full power capability at rated flow with normal feedwater temperature) after which Figure 10 shall be used. It is acceptable to use the more restrictive Figure 10 limits at any point in the cycle. For cycle 10, only GE13 results are supplied since they bound all bundle types. As noted in Figures 9 and 10, an adder of 0.02 is applied for single loop operation. The actual OLMCPR(100) value is dependent upon the scram time testing results, as described below (ref. 10):

$$\tau = 0.0$$
 or  $\frac{\tau_{ave} - \tau_B}{\tau_A - \tau_B}$ , whichever is greater

where;  $T_A = 1.096$  sec (analytical Option A scram time limit - based on dropout time for notch position 36)

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ave} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}}{n}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{B} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + 1.65 * \boldsymbol{\sigma} * \left[\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{n}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where;  $\mu = 0.830$  sec (mean scram time used in transient analysis - based on dropout time for notch position 36)

- $\sigma = 0.019 \text{ sec} (\text{standard deviation of } \mu)$
- N = Total number of active rods measured in Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement SR3.1.4.1
- n = Number of surveillance rod tests performed to date in cycle
- $\tau_i$  = Scram time (dropout time) from fully withdrawn to notch position 36 for the i<sup>th</sup> rod
- b. Option A OLMCPR limits ( $\tau$ =1.0) shall be used prior to the determination of  $\tau$  in accordance with SR 3.1.4.1.
- c. For off-rated power and flow conditions, power-adjusted and flow-adjusted operating limits are determined from Figures 11 and 12, respectively. The most limiting power-dependent or flow-dependent value is taken as the OLMCPR for the off-rated condition.

d. OLMCPR limits and off-rated corrections are provided for Recirculation Pump Trip out-of-service (RPTOOS) or Turbine Bypass out-of-service (TBOOS) conditions (ref. 5). These events are analyzed separately and the core is not analyzed for both systems Out-Of-Service at the same time.

#### 5. APRM FLOW BIASED ROD BLOCK TRIP SETTING (TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS MANUAL SECTION 5.3.1 AND TABLE 3.3.4-1)

The APRM Rod Block trip setting shall be:

S <sub>RB</sub>	$\leq$	$(0.66(W-\Delta W) + 61\%)$	Allowable Value
S <sub>RB</sub>	$\leq$	(0.66(W-∆W) + 59%)	Nominal Trip Setpoint (NTSP)

where:

- $S_{RB} = Rod Block setting in percent of rated thermal power (3458 MWt)$ 
  - W = Loop recirculation flow rate in percent of rated
- $\Delta W$  = Difference between two-loop and single-loop effective recirculation flow at the same core flow ( $\Delta W$ =0.0 for two-loop operation)

The APRM Rod Block trip setting is clamped at a maximum allowable value of 115% (corresponding to a NTSP of 113%).
# 6. ROD BLOCK MONITOR (RBM) TRIP SETPOINTS AND OPERABILITY (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION TABLE 3.3.2.1-1)

The RBM trip setpoints and applicable power ranges shall be as follows (refs. 7-9):

RBM Trip Setpoint	Allowable Value (AV)	Nominal Trip Setpoint (NTSP)	
LPSP	27%	25%	
IPSP	62%	60%	
HPSP	82%	80%	
LTSP - unfiltered	118.7%	117.0%	
- filtered	117.7%	116.0%	(1
ITSP - unfiltered	113.7%	112.0%	
- filtered	112.9%	111.2%	(1
HTSP - unfiltered	108.7%	107.0%	
- filtered	107.9%	106.2%	(1
DTSP	90%	92%	

- Notes: (1) These setpoints are based upon a MCPR operating limit of 1.29 using a safety limit of 1.10. This is consistent with a MCPR operating limit of 1.25 using a safety limit of 1.07, as reported in references 6, 7, and 8. These setpoints bound the cycle specific Option B MCPR operating limit of 1.30.
  - (2) The unfiltered setpoints are consistent with a nominal RBM filter setting of 0.0 seconds (reference 8). The filtered setpoints are consistent with a nominal RBM filter setting ≤ 0.5 seconds (reference 7).

The RBM setpoints in Technical Specification Table 3.3.2.1-1 are applicable when:

THERMAL POWER	Applicable	Notes from	
(% Rated)	MCPR <sup>(1)</sup>	Table 3.3.2.1-1	
$\geq$ 27% and < 90%	< 1.75	(a), (b), (f), (h)	dua
	< 1.78	(a), (b), (f), (h)	sing
<u>≥</u> 90%	< 1.44	(g)	dua

dual loop operation single loop operation

dual loop operation (2)

- Notes: (1) The given MCPR operating limits are adjusted to correspond to a MCPR safety limit of 1.10 for dual loop operation (1.12 for single loop operation). The values shown correspond to operating limits of 1.70 and 1.40 given the original 1.07 MCPR safety limit used in reference 6.
  - (2) Greater than 90% rated power is not attainable in single loop operation.

5. I

# 7. SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.1.1)

The core shall be subcritical with the following margin with the strongest OPERABLE control rod fully withdrawn and all other OPERABLE control rods fully inserted.

SDM  $\geq$  0.38% dk/k

### 8. REFERENCES

- 1. NEDE-24011-P-A-13, "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel", August 1996.
- 2. NEDE-24011-P-A-13-US, "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel (Supplement for United States)", August 1996.
- 3. J11-03589SRLR Rev. 0, "Supplemental Reload Licensing Report for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3 Reload 9 Cycle 10", March 2000.
- 4. J11-03589MAPL Rev. 0, "Lattice-Dependent MAPLHGR Report for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 3 Reload 9 Cycle 10", March 2000.
- 5. NEDC-32774P Rev. 1, "Safety Analyses for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Units 1, 2, and 3 Turbine Bypass and End-of-Cycle Recirculation Pump Trip Out-Of-Service", dated September 1997.
- 6. NEDC-32433P, "Maximum Extended Load Line Limit and ARTS Improvement Program Analyses for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1, 2, and 3", dated April 1995.
- EDE-28-0990 Rev. 3 Supplement E, "PRNM (APRM, RBM, and RFM) Setpoint Calculations [ARTS/MELLL (NUMAC) - Power-Uprate Condition] for Tennessee Valley Authority Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant", dated October 1997.
- EDE-28-0990 Rev. 2 Supplement E, "PRNM (APRM, RBM, and RFM) Setpoint Calculations [ARTS/MELLL (NUMAC) - Power-Uprate Condition] for Tennessee Valley Authority Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant", dated October 1997.
- GE Letter LB#: 262-97-133, "Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Rod Block Monitor Setpoint Clarification - GE Proprietary Information", dated September 12, 1997. [L32 970912 800]
- 10.GE Letter JAB-T8019a, "Technical Specification Changes for Implementation of Advanced Methods", dated June 4, 1998. [L32 980608 800]
- 11.GE Letter 262-00-021-01, "TVA Unit 3 Cycle 10 MCPR(F) Limits", dated April 4, 2000. [L32 000406 803]



Figure 1 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB414-15GZ (GE13)

Most	Limiting	Lattice
for Eacl	h Exposi	ire Point

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)
0.0	10.40	7.0	11.43	25.0	10.96
0.2	10.46	8.0	11.54	30.0	10.35
1.0	10.56	9.0	11.67	35.0	9.75
2.0	10.71	10.0	11.81	40.0	9.08
3.0	10.88	12.5	11.89	45.0	8.35
4.0	11.04	15.0	11.95	50.0	7.62
5.0	11.18	17.5	11.80	55.0	6.91
6.0	11.31	20.0	11.55	57.81	6.52



Figure 2 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB400-13GZ1 (GE13)

N	lost I	Limiting	Lat	tice
for	Each	n Expos	ure	Point

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Lîmit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)
0.0	10.61	7.0	11.77	25.0	11.23
0.2	10.64	8.0	11.94	30.0	10.47
1.0	10.72	9.0	12.13	35.0	9.73
2.0	10.87	10.0	12.30	40.0	8.94
3.0	11.05	12.5	12.47	45.0	8.19
4.0	11.26	15.0	12.39	50.0	7.51
5.0	11.46	17.5	12.18	55.0	6.87
6.0	11.61	20.0	11.89	58.61	6.44



Figure 3 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9HTB386-12GZ (GE13)

N	lost	Limit	ing :	Lat	tice	
for	Each	n Exp	oosu	ıre	Point	

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)
0.0	10.83	7.0	12.03	25.0	10.94
0.2	10.94	8.0	12.19	30.0	10.24
1.0	11.08	9.0	12.36	35.0	9.55
2.0	11.25	10.0	12.51	40.0	8.87
3.0	11.41	12.5	12.53	45.0	8.20
4.0	11.57	15.0	12.27	50.0	7.53
5.0	11.72	17.5	11.95	55.0	6.85
6.0	11.88	20.0	11.62	57.62	6.48

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 15

TVA Nuclear Fuel Core Operating Limits Report



Figure 4 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9HTB372-11GZ (GE13)

Mo	st L	imiting	Lat	lice
for Ea	ach	Expos	ure	Point

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Lîmit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)
0.0	10.71	7.0	12.10	25.0	11.08
0.2	10.77	8.0	12.26	30.0	10.33
1.0	10.89	9.0	12.36	35.0	9.61
2.0	11.06	10.0	12.50	40.0	8.92
3.0	11.25	12.5	12.73	45.0	8.26
4.0	11.44	15.0	12.66	50.0	7.61
5.0	11.65	17.5	12.26	55.0	6.95
6.0	11.87	20.0	11.87	57.79	6.58

These values apply to both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service.



Figure 5 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE11-P9HUB323-8G4.0 (GE11)

N	flost L	imiting	Lat	tice
for	Each	Expos	ure	Point

Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)
0.0	10.81	7.0	12.38	25.0	10.97
0.2	10.83	8.0	12.51	30.0	10.25
1.0	10.91	9.0	12.65	35.0	9.57
2.0	11.11	10.0	12.79	40.0	8.93
3.0	11.36	12.5	12.71	45.0	8.25
4.0	11.63	15.0	12.39	50.0	7.51
5.0	11.93	17.5	12.07	55.0	6.58
6.0	12.25	20.0	11.72	57.72	6.10



Figure 6 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE11-P9HUB323-5G5.0/4G4.0 (GE11)

N	lost	_imitir	ng Lat	tice
for	Each	1 Expe	osure	Point

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)
0.0	10.41	7.0	12.21	25.0	10.97
0.2	10.44	8.0	12.42	30.0	10.25
1.0	10.54	9.0	12.64	35.0	9.57
2.0	10.75	10.0	12.82	40.0	8.93
3.0	11.01	12.5	12.70	45.0	8.24
4.0	11.30	15.0	12.38	50.0	7.49
5.0	11.62	17.5	12.06	55.0	6.55
6.0	11.95	20.0	11.73	57.70	6.08

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 18





MAPLHGR(P) = MAPFAC(P) x MAPLHGRstd MAPLHGRstd = Standard MAPLHGR Limits

For	25% ≥ P	: NO THERMAL LIMITS MONITORING REQUIRED
For	25% <u>&lt;</u> P < 30%	: MAPFAC(P) = 0.60 + 0.005(P-30%) For $\leq$ 50% CORE FLOW : MAPFAC(P) = 0.46 + 0.005(P-30%) For > 50% CORE FLOW
For	30% ≤ P	: MAPFAC(P) = 1.0 + 0.005224(P-100%)

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 19





MAPLHGR(F) = MAPFAC(F) x MAPLHGRstd MAPLHGRstd = Standard MAPLHGR Limits MAPFAC(F) = MINIMUM( 1.0 , Af \* Wc /100 + Bf)

Wc = % Rated Core Flow

Af and Bf are Constants Given Below:

Maximum Core Flow (% Rated)	Af	Bf
102.5	0.6784	0.4861
107.0	0.6758	0.4574

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service.

The 102.5% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 100% rated flow. The 107% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 105% rated flow (ICF).

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 20

> Option B Tau=0.0

> > 1.30

1.34

1.33

1.39

1.38





Exposure Range	Out-Of-Service	Option /
C10 to (EOR-2846 MWD/ST)	na	1.35 (1)

Notes

BOO

BOC10 to (EOR-2846 MWD/ST)

BOC10 to (EOR-2846 MWD/ST)

1. Use this value prior to performing scram time testing per SR 3.1.4.1.

Either Turbine Bypass or Recirculation Pump Trip may be Out-Of-Service. The core is not analyzed for both TBOOS and RPTOOS at the same time.

Turbine Bypass (TBOOS)

**Recirculation Pump Trip (RPTOOS)** 

3. The values shown are for dual recirculation loop operation. Increase any value shown by 0.02 for Single Loop Operation (SLO).

4. EOR refers to the end of Full Power Capability at Rated Flow with normal Feedwater Heating.

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 21



Optional for All Cycle Exposures - Required after EOR-2846 MWD/ST is reached (see note 4)



	Exposure Range	Out-Of-Service	Option A Tau=1.0	Option B Tau=0.0
Ì	BOC10 to EOC10	па	1.34 (1)	1.31
	BOC10 to EOC10	Turbine Bypass (TBOOS)	1.39	1.36
	BOC10 to EOC10	Recirculation Pump Trip (RPTOOS)	1.43	1 35

Recirculation Pump Trip (RPTOOS)

1.43

1.35

Notes

1. Use this value prior to performing scram time testing per SR 3.1.4.1.

- 2. Either Turbine Bypass or Recirculation Pump Trip may be Out-Of-Service. The core is not analyzed for both TBOOS and RPTOOS at the same time.
- 3. The values shown are for dual recirculation loop operation. Increase any value shown by 0.02 for Single Loop Operation (SLO).
- 4. EOR refers to the end of Full Power Capability at Rated Flow with normal Feedwater Heating.

*!* .

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 22



Figure 11 Power Dependent MCPR(P) Limits

FOI	$25\% \leq P < Pbypass$	: (Pbypass = 30%)		
		: Kp = [Kbyp + 0.02(30%-P)]/OLMCPR(100)		
		Turbine Bypass and RPT In-Service,		
		or RPT Out-Of-Service (RPTOOS)		
		Kbyp = 1.97 For $\leq$ 50% CORE FLOW		
		Kbyp = 2.21 For > 50% CORE FLOW		
		<u>Turbine Bypass Out-Of-Service (TBOOS)</u>		
		Kbyp = 2.01 For $\leq$ 50% CORE FLOW		
		Kbyp = 3.01 For > 50% CORE FLOW		
For	30% ≤ P<45%	: Kp = 1.28 + 0.01340(45%-P)		
For	<b>45%</b> ≤ P < 60%	: Kp = 1.15 + 0.00867(60%-P)		
For	60% ≤ P	: Kp = 1.00 + 0.00375(100%-P)		

Note: Either Turbine Bypass or Recirculation Pump Trip may be Out-Of-Service. The core is not analyzed for both TBOOS and RPTOOS at the same time.

· . ,.

TVA-COLR-BF3C10 Revision 0, Page 23 (Final)





For Wc  $\geq$  30%

: MCPR(F) = MAX(1.23, Af\*Wc/100 + Bf)

Wc = % Rated Core Flow

Af and Bf are Constants Given Below:

Maximum Core Flow (% Rated)	Af	Bf
102.5	-0.587	1.701
107.0	-0.603	1.745

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service.

These values bound both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service

Either Turbine Bypass or Recirculation Pump Trip may be Out-Of-Service. The core is not analyzed for a combination of TBOOS and RPTOOS.

The 102.5% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 100% rated flow. The 107% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 105% rated flow (ICF). **Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 19** 

**Electric Board Room Chillers** 

(revision pending-no pages enclosed)

Technical Requirements Manual

**Revision 20** 

Changes to Match Technical Specifications No. 403 Changes

		Frequency 1 4	
1.0	USE AND	O APPLICATION	
1.4	Frequenc	у У	
PURP	DSE	The purpose of this section is to define the proper use and application of Frequency requirements.	
DESCF	RIPTION	Each Technical Surveillance Requirement (TSR) has a specified Frequency in which the Surveillance must be met in order to meet the associated Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO). An understanding of the correct application of the specified Frequency is necessary for compliance with the TSR.	
		The "specified Frequency" is referred to throughout this section and each of the Requirements of Section 3.0, TSR Applicability. The "specified Frequency" consists of the requirements of the Frequency column of each TSR, as well as certain Notes in the Surveillance column that modify performance requirements.	
		Sometimes special situations dictate when the requirements of a Surveillance are to be met. They are "otherwise stated" conditions allowed by TSR 3.0.1. They may be stated as clarifying Notes in the Surveillance, as part of the Surveillance, or both.	ŀ
		Situations where a Surveillance could be required (i.e., its Frequency could expire), but where it is not possible or not desired that it be performed until sometime after the associated LCO is within its Applicability, represent potential TSR 3.0.4 conflicts. To avoid these conflicts, the TSR (i.e., the Surveillance or the Frequency) is stated such that it is only "required" when it can be and should be performed. With a TSR satisfied, TSR 3.0.4 imposes no restriction.	
		The use of "met" or "performed" in these instances conveys specific meanings. A Surveillance is "met" only when the acceptance criteria are satisfied. Known failure of the requirements of a Surveillance, even without a Surveillance specifically being "performed," constitutes a Surveillance not "met." "Performance" refers only to the requirement to specifically determine the ability to meet the acceptance criteria.	

DESCRIPTION (continued)	Some Surveillances contain notes that modify the Frequency of performance or the conditions during which the acceptance criteria must be satisfied. For these Surveillances, the MODE entry restrictions of TR 3.0.4 may not apply. Such a Surveillance is not required to be performed prior to entering a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the associated LCO if any of the following three conditions are satisfied:			
	a.	The Surveillance is not required to be met in other specified condition to be entered; or	n the MODE or	
	b.	The Surveillance is required to be met in the specified condition to be entered, but has be within the specified Frequency (i.e., it is cur not to be failed; or	e MODE or other een performed rent) and is known	
	c. The Surveillance is required to be met, but not perform the MODE or other specified condition to be entered known not to be failed.		not performed, in entered, and is	
	Exa situ	amples 1.4-3, 1.4-4, 1.4-5, and 1.4-6 discusse uations.	es these special	
EXAMPLES	The Fre	e following examples illustrate the various wa equencies are specified. In these examples, t LCO (LCO not shown) is MODES 1, 2, and 3	ys that he Applicability of 3.	
	EXAMPLE 1.4-1			
	SL	JRVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS		
SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY			FREQUENCY	
	P	erform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	

Example 1.4-1 contains the type of TSR most often encountered in the Technical Requirements (TR). The Frequency specifies an interval (12 hours) during which the associated Surveillance must be performed at least one time. Performance of the Surveillance initiates the subsequent interval. Although the Frequency is stated as 12 hours, an extension of the time interval to 1.25 times the

# EXAMPLES EXAMPLE 1.4-1 (continued)

interval specified in the Frequency is allowed by TSR 3.0.2 for operational flexibility. The measurement of this interval continues at all times, even when the TSR is not required to be met per TSR 3.0.1 (such as when the equipment is inoperable, a variable is outside specified limits, or the unit is outside the Applicability of the LCO). If the interval specified by TSR 3.0.2 is exceeded while the unit is in a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the LCO, and the performance of the Surveillance is not otherwise modified (refer to Examples 1.4-3 and 1.4-4), then TSR 3.0.3 becomes applicable.

If the interval as specified by TSR 3.0.2 is exceeded while the unit is not in a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the LCO for which performance of the TSR is required, the Surveillance must be performed within the Frequency requirements of TSR 3.0.2 prior to entry into the MODE or other specified condition. Failure to do so would result in a violation of TSR 3.0.4.

## EXAMPLE 1.4-4

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
Only required to be met in MODE 1.	
Verify LEAKAGE rates are within limits.	24 hours

Example 1.4-4 specifies that the requirements of this Surveillance do not have to be met until the unit is in MODE 1. The interval measurement for the Frequency of this Surveillance continues at all times, as described in Example 1.4-1. However, the Note constitutes an "otherwise stated" exception to the Applicability of this Surveillance. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 24 hour (plus the extension allowed by TSR 3.0.2) interval, but the unit was not in MODE 1, there would be no failure of the TSR nor failure to meet the LCO. Therefore, no violation of TSR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES, even with the 24 hour Frequency exceeded, provided the MODE change was not made into MODE 1. Prior to entering MODE 1 (assuming again that the 24 hour Frequency were not met), TSR 3.0.4 would require satisfying the TSR.

# EXAMPLE 1.4-5

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
Only required to be performed in MODE 1.	
Perform complete cycle of the valve.	7 days

The interval continues, whether or not the unit operation is in MODE 1, 2, or 3 (the assumed Applicability of the associated LCO) between performances.

As the Note modifies the required <u>performance</u> of the Surveillance, the Note is construed to be part of the "specified Frequency." Should the 7 day interval be exceeded while operation is not in MODE 1, this Note allows entry into and operation in MODES 2 and 3 to perform the Surveillance. The Surveillance is still considered to be performed within the "specified Frequency" if completed prior to entering MODE 1. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 7 day (plus the extension allowed by TR 3.0.2) interval, but operation was not in MODE 1, it would not constitute a failure of the SR or failure to meet the LCO. Also, no violation of TR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES, even with the 7 day Frequency not met, provided operation does not result in entry into MODE 1.

Once the unit reaches MODE 1, the requirement for the Surveillance to be performed within its specified Frequency applies and would require that the Surveillance had been performed. If the Surveillance were not performed prior to entering MODE 1, there would then be a failure to perform a Surveillance within the specified Frequency, and the provisions of TR 3.0.3 would apply.

### EXAMPLE 1.4-6

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
Not required to be met in MODE 3.	
Verify parameter is within limits.	24 hours

Example 1.4-6 specifies that the requirements of this Surveillance do not have to be met while the unit is in MODE 3 (the assumed Applicability of the associated LCO is MODES 1, 2, and 3). The interval measurement for the Frequency of this Surveillance continues at all times, as described in Example 1.4-1. However, the Note constitutes an "otherwise stated" exception to the Applicability of this Surveillance. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 24 hour interval (plus the extension allowed by TR 3.0.2), and the unit was in MODE 3, there would be no failure of the TR nor failure to meet the LCO. Therefore, no violation of TR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES to enter MODE 3, even with the 24 hour Frequency exceeded, provided the MODE change does not result in entry into MODE 2. Prior to entering MODE 2 (assuming again that the 24 hour Frequency were not met), TR 3.0.4 would require satisfying the TR.

· .	LCO Applicability
3.0 LIN	ITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) APPLICABILITY
LCO 3.0.1	TRM LCOs shall be met during the MODES or other specified conditions in the Applicability, except as provided in TRM LCO 3.0.2.
LCO 3.0.2	Upon discovery of a failure to meet a TRM LCO, the Required Actions of the associated Conditions shall be met, except as provided in TRM LCO 3.0.5 and TRM LCO 3.0.6.
	If the TRM LCO is met or is no longer applicable prior to expiration of the specified Completion Time(s), completion of the Required Action(s) is not required, unless otherwise stated.
LCO 3.0.3	When a TRM LCO is not met and the associated ACTIONS are not met, an associated ACTION is not provided, or if directed by the associated ACTIONS, the unit shall be placed in a MODE or other specified condition in which the TRM LCO is not applicable. Action shall be initiated within 1 hour to place the unit, as applicable, in:
	a. MODE 2 within 10 hours;
	b. MODE 3 within 13 hours; and
	c. MODE 4 within 37 hours.
	Exceptions to this Requirement are stated in the individual Requirements.
	Where corrective measures are completed that permit operation in accordance with the TRM LCO or ACTIONS, completion of the ACTIONS required by TRM LCO 3.0.3 is not required.
	TRM LCO 3.0.3 is only applicable in MODES 1, 2, and 3.
LCO 3.0.4	When a TRM LCO is not met, entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability shall not be made except when the associated ACTIONS to be entered permit continued operation in the MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability for an unlimited period of time. This

# 3.0 LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) APPLICABILITY

LCO 3.0.4 (continued)	Requirement shall not prevent changes in MODES or other specified conditions in the Applicability that are required to comply with ACTIONS or that are a part of a shutdown of the unit.
	Exceptions to this Requirement are stated in the individual Requirements.
	TRM LCO 3.0.4 is only applicable for entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability in MODES 1, 2, and 3.
LCO 3.0.5	Equipment removed from service or declared inoperable to comply with ACTIONS may be returned to service under administrative control solely to perform testing required to demonstrate its OPERABILITY or the OPERABILITY of other equipment. This is an exception to TRM LCO 3.0.2 for the system returned to service under administrative control to perform the testing required to demonstrate OPERABILITY.
LCO 3.0.6	When a supported system TRM LCO is not met solely due to a support system LCO not being met, the Conditions and Required Actions associated with this supported system are not required to be entered. Only the support system LCO ACTIONS are required to be entered. This is an exception to TRM LCO 3.0.2 for the supported system. In this event, an evaluation shall be performed in accordance with Technical Specification 5.5.11, "Safety Function Determination Program (SFDP)." If a loss of safety function is determined to exist by this program, the appropriate Conditions and Required Actions of the LCO in which the loss of safety function system's Required Action directs a supported system to be declared inoperable or directs entry into Conditions and Required Actions shall be entered in accordance with LCO 3.0.2.

# TR 5.0 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

# TR 5.1 Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) Control Program

This Program provides a means for controlling changes to the Technical Requirements Manual and the Bases. The CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR) included in Appendix B is not considered to be part of the TRM.

# TR 5.1.1 Changes or additions to the Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) shall be made under appropriate administrative controls and reviews.

TR 5.1.2 Licensees may make changes to the TRM without prior NRC approval provided the changes have been determined not to be candidates for inclusion in the Technical Specifications (TS) or not to require NRC approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59. The changes to the TRM shall include the following:

- a. Screening the change against the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2)(ii).
- b. The change does not require approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59.

TR 5.1.3 The Technical Requirements Control Program shall contain provisions to ensure that changes to the TRM are accurately reflected in the FSAR as appropriate.

TR 5.1.4 Proposed changes that do not meet the criteria of TR 5.1.2 shall be reviewed and approved by the NRC prior to implementation. Changes or additions to the TRM implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 50.71(e).

		Frequency
1.0	USE AND /	APPLICATION
1.4	Frequency	
PURPC	SE	The purpose of this section is to define the proper use and application of Frequency requirements.
DESCR	IPTION	Each Technical Surveillance Requirement (TSR) has a specified Frequency in which the Surveillance must be met in order to meet the associated Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO). An understanding of the correct application of the specified Frequency is necessary for compliance with the TSR.
		The "specified Frequency" is referred to throughout this section and each of the Requirements of Section 3.0, TSR Applicability. The "specified Frequency" consists of the requirements of the Frequency column of each TSR, as well as certain Notes in the Surveillance column that modify performance requirements.
		Sometimes special situations dictate when the requirements of a Surveillance are to be met. They are "otherwise stated" conditions allowed by TSR 3.0.1. They may be stated as clarifying Notes in the Surveillance, as part of the Surveillance, or both.
		Situations where a Surveillance could be required (i.e., its Frequency could expire), but where it is not possible or not desired that it be performed until sometime after the associated LCO is within its Applicability, represent potential TSR 3.0.4 conflicts. To avoid these conflicts, the TSR (i.e., the Surveillance or the Frequency) is stated such that it is only "required" when it can be and should be performed. With a TSR satisfied, TSR 3.0.4 imposes no restriction.
		The use of "met" or "performed" in these instances conveys specific meanings. A Surveillance is "met" only when the acceptance criteria are satisfied. Known failure of the requirements of a Surveillance, even without a Surveillance specifically being "performed," constitutes a Surveillance not "met." "Performance" refers only to the requirement to specifically determine the ability to meet the acceptance criteria.

DESCRIPTION (continued)	Some Surveillances contain notes that m performance or the conditions during whi must be satisfied. For these Surveillance restrictions of TR 3.0.4 may not apply. So required to be performed prior to entering specified condition in the Applicability of the of the following three conditions are satistic	odify the Frequency of ch the acceptance criteria es, the MODE entry uch a Surveillance is not g a MODE or other the associated LCO if any fied:	
	<ul> <li>The Surveillance is not required to be other specified condition to be entere</li> </ul>	e met in the MODE or d; or	
	<ul> <li>b. The Surveillance is required to be me specified condition to be entered, but within the specified Frequency (i.e., it not to be failed; or</li> </ul>	et in the MODE or other has been performed t is current) and is known	
	c. The Surveillance is required to be met, but not performed, in the MODE or other specified condition to be entered, and is known not to be failed.		
	Examples 1.4-3, 1.4-4, 1.4-5, and 1.4-6 di situations.	iscusses these special	
EXAMPLES	The following examples illustrate the varion Frequencies are specified. In these examples the LCO (LCO not shown) is MODES 1, 2	ous ways that pples, the Applicability of , and 3.	
	EXAMPLE_1.4-1		
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS		
	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY	
	Perform CHANNEL CHECK.	12 hours	

Example 1.4-1 contains the type of TSR most often encountered in the Technical Requirements (TR). The Frequency specifies an interval (12 hours) during which the associated Surveillance must be performed at least one time. Performance of the Surveillance initiates the subsequent interval. Although the Frequency is stated as 12 hours, an extension of the time interval to 1.25 times the

# EXAMPLES <u>EXAMPLE 1.4-1 (continued)</u>

interval specified in the Frequency is allowed by TSR 3.0.2 for operational flexibility. The measurement of this interval continues at all times, even when the TSR is not required to be met per TSR 3.0.1 (such as when the equipment is inoperable, a variable is outside specified limits, or the unit is outside the Applicability of the LCO). If the interval specified by TSR 3.0.2 is exceeded while the unit is in a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the LCO, and the performance of the Surveillance is not otherwise modified (refer to Examples 1.4-3 and 1.4-4), then TSR 3.0.3 becomes applicable.

If the interval as specified by TSR 3.0.2 is exceeded while the unit is not in a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the LCO for which performance of the TSR is required, the Surveillance must be performed within the Frequency requirements of TSR 3.0.2 prior to entry into the MODE or other specified condition. Failure to do so would result in a violation of TSR 3.0.4.

# EXAMPLE 1.4-4

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
Only required to be met in MODE 1.	
Verify LEAKAGE rates are within limits.	24 hours

Example 1.4-4 specifies that the requirements of this Surveillance do not have to be met until the unit is in MODE 1. The interval measurement for the Frequency of this Surveillance continues at all times, as described in Example 1.4-1. However, the Note constitutes an "otherwise stated" exception to the Applicability of this Surveillance. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 24 hour (plus the extension allowed by TSR 3.0.2) interval, but the unit was not in MODE 1, there would be no failure of the TSR nor failure to meet the LCO. Therefore, no violation of TSR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES, even with the 24 hour Frequency exceeded, provided the MODE change was not made into MODE 1. Prior to entering MODE 1 (assuming again that the 24 hour Frequency were not met), TSR 3.0.4 would require satisfying the TSR.

# EXAMPLE 1.4-5

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
Only required to be performed in MODE 1.	
Perform complete cycle of the valve.	7 days

The interval continues, whether or not the unit operation is in MODE 1, 2, or 3 (the assumed Applicability of the associated LCO) between performances.

As the Note modifies the required <u>performance</u> of the Surveillance, the Note is construed to be part of the "specified Frequency." Should the 7 day interval be exceeded while operation is not in MODE 1, this Note allows entry into and operation in MODES 2 and 3 to perform the Surveillance. The Surveillance is still considered to be performed within the "specified Frequency" if completed prior to entering MODE 1. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 7 day (plus the extension allowed by TR 3.0.2) interval, but operation was not in MODE 1, it would not constitute a failure of the SR or failure to meet the LCO. Also, no violation of TR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES, even with the 7 day Frequency not met, provided operation does not result in entry into MODE 1.

Once the unit reaches MODE 1, the requirement for the Surveillance to be performed within its specified Frequency applies and would require that the Surveillance had been performed. If the Surveillance were not performed prior to entering MODE 1, there would then be a failure to perform a Surveillance within the specified Frequency, and the provisions of TR 3.0.3 would apply.

# EXAMPLE 1.4-6

# SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
Not required to be met in MODE 3.	
Verify parameter is within limits.	24 hours

Example 1.4-6 specifies that the requirements of this Surveillance do not have to be met while the unit is in MODE 3 (the assumed Applicability of the associated LCO is MODES 1, 2, and 3). The interval measurement for the Frequency of this Surveillance continues at all times, as described in Example 1.4-1. However, the Note constitutes an "otherwise stated" exception to the Applicability of this Surveillance. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 24 hour interval (plus the extension allowed by TR 3.0.2), and the unit was in MODE 3, there would be no failure of the TR nor failure to meet the LCO. Therefore, no violation of TR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES to enter MODE 3, even with the 24 hour Frequency exceeded, provided the MODE change does not result in entry into MODE 2. Prior to entering MODE 2 (assuming again that the 24 hour Frequency were not met), TR 3.0.4 would require satisfying the TR.

• •		LCO Applicability
3.0 LIMIT	ING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) A	PPLICABILITY
LCO 3.0.1	TRM LCOs shall be met during the MC conditions in the Applicability, except a LCO 3.0.2.	DES or other specified as provided in TRM
LCO 3.0.2	Upon discovery of a failure to meet a T Actions of the associated Conditions sl provided in TRM LCO 3.0.5 and TRM L	RM LCO, the Required hall be met, except as _CO 3.0.6.
	If the TRM LCO is met or is no longer a expiration of the specified Completion the Required Action(s) is not required, stated.	applicable prior to Time(s), completion of unless otherwise
LCO 3.0.3	When a TRM LCO is not met and the a are not met, an associated ACTION is a directed by the associated ACTIONS, th in a MODE or other specified condition is not applicable. Action shall be initiat place the unit, as applicable, in:	issociated ACTIONS not provided, or if he unit shall be placed in which the TRM LCO red within 1 hour to
	a. MODE 2 within 10 hours;	
	b. MODE 3 within 13 hours; and	1
	c. MODE 4 within 37 hours.	
	Exceptions to this Requirement are stat Requirements.	ted in the individual
	Where corrective measures are comple operation in accordance with the TRM I completion of the ACTIONS required by required.	eted that permit LCO or ACTIONS, y TRM LCO 3.0.3 is not
	TRM LCO 3.0.3 is only applicable in M0	ODES 1, 2, and 3.
LCO 3.0.4	When a TRM LCO is not met, entry into specified condition in the Applicability s except when the associated ACTIONS continued operation in the MODE or oth in the Applicability for an unlimited period	o a MODE or other shall not be made to be entered permit ner specified condition od of time. This
BFN-UNIT 2	3.0-1	TRM Revision <del>0,</del> 20 March 13, 2001

# 3.0 LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) APPLICABILITY

LCO 3.0.4	Requirement shall not prevent changes in MODES or other
(continued)	specified conditions in the Applicability that are required to comply
	with ACTIONS or that are a part of a shutdown of the unit.

Exceptions to this Requirement are stated in the individual Requirements.

TRM LCO 3.0.4 is only applicable for entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability in MODES 1, 2, and 3.

LCO 3.0.5 Equipment removed from service or declared inoperable to comply with ACTIONS may be returned to service under administrative control solely to perform testing required to demonstrate its OPERABILITY or the OPERABILITY of other equipment. This is an exception to TRM LCO 3.0.2 for the system returned to service under administrative control to perform the testing required to demonstrate OPERABILITY.

### LCO 3.0.6

When a supported system TRM LCO is not met solely due to a support system LCO not being met, the Conditions and Required Actions associated with this supported system are not required to be entered. Only the support system LCO ACTIONS are required to be entered. This is an exception to TRM LCO 3.0.2 for the supported system. In this event, an evaluation shall be performed in accordance with Technical Specification 5.5.11, "Safety Function Determination Program (SFDP)." If a loss of safety function is determined to exist by this program, the appropriate Conditions and Required Actions of the LCO in which the loss of safety function exists are required to be entered. When a support system's Required Action directs a supported system to be declared inoperable or directs entry into Conditions and Required Actions for a supported system, the applicable Conditions and Required Actions shall be entered in accordance with LCO 3.0.2. TR 5.0 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

# TR 5.1 Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) Control Program

This Program provides a means for controlling changes to the Technical Requirements Manual and the Bases. The CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR) included in Appendix B is not considered to be part of the TRM.

TR 5.1.1 Changes or additions to the Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) shall be made under appropriate administrative controls and reviews. TR 5.1.2 Licensees may make changes to the TRM without prior NRC approval provided the changes have been determined not to be candidates for inclusion in the Technical Specifications (TS) or not to require NRC approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59. The changes to the TRM shall include the following: Screening the change against the criteria contained in 10 CFR a. 50.36(c)(2)(ii). The change does not require approval pursuant to b. 10 CFR 50 59 TR 5.1.3 The Technical Requirements Control Program shall contain provisions to ensure that changes to the TRM are accurately reflected in the FSAR as appropriate. TR 5.1.4 Proposed changes that do not meet the criteria of TR 5.1.2 shall be reviewed and approved by the NRC prior to implementation. Changes or additions to the TRM implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 50.71(e).

		Frequency			
1.0	USE AND A	APPLICATION 1.4			
1.4	Frequency				
PURPOS	SE	The purpose of this section is to define the proper use and application of Frequency requirements.			
DESCRI	PTION	Each Technical Surveillance Requirement (TSR) has a specified Frequency in which the Surveillance must be met in order to meet the associated Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO). An understanding of the correct application of the specified Frequency is necessary for compliance with the TSR.			
·		The "specified Frequency" is referred to throughout this section and each of the Requirements of Section 3.0, TSR Applicability. The "specified Frequency" consists of the requirements of the Frequency column of each TSR, as well as certain Notes in the Surveillance column that modify performance requirements.			
		Sometimes special situations dictate when the requirements of a Surveillance are to be met. They are "otherwise stated" conditions allowed by TSR 3.0.1. They may be stated as clarifying Notes in the Surveillance, as part of the Surveillance, or both.			
		Situations where a Surveillance could be required (i.e., its Frequency could expire), but where it is not possible or not desired that it be performed until sometime after the associated LCO is within its Applicability, represent potential TSR 3.0.4 conflicts. To avoid these conflicts, the TSR (i.e., the Surveillance or the Frequency) is stated such that it is only "required" when it can be and should be performed. With a TSR satisfied, TSR 3.0.4 imposes no restriction.			
		The use of "met" or "performed" in these instances conveys specific meanings. A Surveillance is "met" only when the acceptance criteria are satisfied. Known failure of the requirements of a Surveillance, even without a Surveillance specifically being "performed," constitutes a Surveillance not "met." "Performance" refers only to the requirement to specifically determine the ability to meet the acceptance criteria.			
DESCRIPTION (continued)	Some Surveillances contain notes that modify the Frequency of performance or the conditions during which the acceptance criteria must be satisfied. For these Surveillances, the MODE entry restrictions of TR 3.0.4 may not apply. Such a Surveillance is not required to be performed prior to entering a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the associated LCO if any of the following three conditions are satisfied:				
----------------------------	--	---	---------------	--	--
	a.	The Surveillance is not required to be met in other specified condition to be entered; or	n the MODE or		
	The Surveillance is required to be met in the specified condition to be entered, but has be within the specified Frequency (i.e., it is current to be failed; or	n the MODE or other as been performed current) and is known			
	c. The Surveillance is required to be met, but not performed, in the MODE or other specified condition to be entered, and is known not to be failed.				
	Examples 1.4-3, 1.4-4, 1.4-5, and 1.4-6 discusses these spec situations.				
EXAMPLES	The following examples illustrate the various ways that Frequencies are specified. In these examples, the Applicability of the LCO (LCO not shown) is MODES 1, 2, and 3.				
	EXAMPLE 1.4-1				
	SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS				
	SURVEILLANCE FREQUENCY				
	Perform CHANNEL CHECK. 12 hours				

Example 1.4-1 contains the type of TSR most often encountered in the Technical Requirements (TR). The Frequency specifies an interval (12 hours) during which the associated Surveillance must be performed at least one time. Performance of the Surveillance initiates the subsequent interval. Although the Frequency is stated as 12 hours, an extension of the time interval to 1.25 times the

#### EXAMPLES EXAMPLE 1.4-1 (continued)

interval specified in the Frequency is allowed by TSR 3.0.2 for operational flexibility. The measurement of this interval continues at all times, even when the TSR is not required to be met per TSR 3.0.1 (such as when the equipment is inoperable, a variable is outside specified limits, or the unit is outside the Applicability of the LCO). If the interval specified by TSR 3.0.2 is exceeded while the unit is in a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the LCO, and the performance of the Surveillance is not otherwise modified (refer to Examples 1.4-3 and 1.4-4), then TSR 3.0.3 becomes applicable.

If the interval as specified by TSR 3.0.2 is exceeded while the unit is not in a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability of the LCO for which performance of the TSR is required, the Surveillance must be performed within the Frequency requirements of TSR 3.0.2 prior to entry into the MODE or other specified condition. Failure to do so would result in a violation of TSR 3.0.4.

#### **EXAMPLES**

#### EXAMPLE 1.4-4

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
NOTE Only required to be met in MODE 1.	
Verify LEAKAGE rates are within limits.	24 hours

Example 1.4-4 specifies that the requirements of this Surveillance do not have to be met until the unit is in MODE 1. The interval measurement for the Frequency of this Surveillance continues at all times, as described in Example 1.4-1. However, the Note constitutes an "otherwise stated" exception to the Applicability of this Surveillance. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 24 hour (plus the extension allowed by TSR 3.0.2) interval, but the unit was not in MODE 1, there would be no failure of the TSR nor failure to meet the LCO. Therefore, no violation of TSR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES, even with the 24 hour Frequency exceeded, provided the MODE change was not made into MODE 1. Prior to entering MODE 1 (assuming again that the 24 hour Frequency were not met), TSR 3.0.4 would require satisfying the TSR.

#### EXAMPLES

#### EXAMPLE 1.4-5

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
NOTE Only required to be performed in MODE 1.	
Perform complete cycle of the valve.	7 days

The interval continues, whether or not the unit operation is in MODE 1, 2, or 3 (the assumed Applicability of the associated LCO) between performances.

As the Note modifies the required <u>performance</u> of the Surveillance, the Note is construed to be part of the "specified Frequency." Should the 7 day interval be exceeded while operation is not in MODE 1, this Note allows entry into and operation in MODES 2 and 3 to perform the Surveillance. The Surveillance is still considered to be performed within the "specified Frequency" if completed prior to entering MODE 1. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 7 day (plus the extension allowed by TR 3.0.2) interval, but operation was not in MODE 1, it would not constitute a failure of the SR or failure to meet the LCO. Also, no violation of TR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES, even with the 7 day Frequency not met, provided operation does not result in entry into MODE 1.

Once the unit reaches MODE 1, the requirement for the Surveillance to be performed within its specified Frequency applies and would require that the Surveillance had been performed. If the Surveillance were not performed prior to entering MODE 1, there would then be a failure to perform a Surveillance within the specified Frequency, and the provisions of TR 3.0.3 would apply.

#### EXAMPLES

#### EXAMPLE 1.4-6

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
Not required to be met in MODE 3.	
Verify parameter is within limits.	24 hours

Example 1.4-6 specifies that the requirements of this Surveillance do not have to be met while the unit is in MODE 3 (the assumed Applicability of the associated LCO is MODES 1, 2, and 3). The interval measurement for the Frequency of this Surveillance continues at all times, as described in Example 1.4-1. However, the Note constitutes an "otherwise stated" exception to the Applicability of this Surveillance. Therefore, if the Surveillance were not performed within the 24 hour interval (plus the extension allowed by TR 3.0.2), and the unit was in MODE 3, there would be no failure of the TR nor failure to meet the LCO. Therefore, no violation of TR 3.0.4 occurs when changing MODES to enter MODE 3, even with the 24 hour Frequency exceeded, provided the MODE change does not result in entry into MODE 2. Prior to entering MODE 2 (assuming again that the 24 hour Frequency were not met), TR 3.0.4 would require satisfying the TR.

• •	LCO Applicability
3.0 LIMI <sup>-</sup>	3.0 TING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (LCO) APPLICABILITY
LCO 3.0.1	TRM LCOs shall be met during the MODES or other specified conditions in the Applicability, except as provided in TRM LCO 3.0.2.
LCO 3.0.2	Upon discovery of a failure to meet a TRM LCO, the Required Actions of the associated Conditions shall be met, except as provided in TRM LCO 3.0.5 and TRM LCO 3.0.6.
	If the TRM LCO is met or is no longer applicable prior to expiration of the specified Completion Time(s), completion of the Required Action(s) is not required, unless otherwise stated.
LCO 3.0.3	When a TRM LCO is not met and the associated ACTIONS are not met, an associated ACTION is not provided, or if directed by the associated ACTIONS, the unit shall be placed in a MODE or other specified condition in which the TRM LCO is not applicable. Action shall be initiated within 1 hour to place the unit, as applicable, in:
	a. MODE 2 within 10 hours;
	b. MODE 3 within 13 hours; and
	c. MODE 4 within 37 hours.
	Exceptions to this Requirement are stated in the individual Requirements.
	Where corrective measures are completed that permit operation in accordance with the TRM LCO or ACTIONS, completion of the ACTIONS required by TRM LCO 3.0.3 is not required.
	TRM LCO 3.0.3 is only applicable in MODES 1, 2, and 3.
LCO 3.0.4	When a TRM LCO is not met, entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability shall not be made except when the associated ACTIONS to be entered permit continued operation in the MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability for an unlimited period of time. This

.

LCO 3.0.4 (continued)	Requirement shall not prevent changes in MODES or other specified conditions in the Applicability that are required to comply with ACTIONS or that are a part of a shutdown of the unit.			
	Exceptions to this Requirement are stated in the individual Requirements.			
	TRM LCO 3.0.4 is only applicable for entry into a MODE or other specified condition in the Applicability in MODES 1, 2, and 3.			
LCO 3.0.5	Equipment removed from service or declared inoperable to comply with ACTIONS may be returned to service under administrative control solely to perform testing required to demonstrate its OPERABILITY or the OPERABILITY of other equipment. This is an exception to TRM LCO 3.0.2 for the system returned to service under administrative control to perform the testing required to demonstrate OPERABILITY.			
LCO 3.0.6	When a supported system TRM LCO is not met solely due to a support system LCO not being met, the Conditions and Required Actions associated with this supported system are not required to be entered. Only the support system LCO ACTIONS are required to be entered. This is an exception to TRM LCO 3.0.2 for the supported system. In this event, an evaluation shall be performed in accordance with Technical Specification 5.5.11, "Safety Function Determination Program (SFDP)." If a loss of safety function is determined to exist by this program, the appropriate Conditions and Required Actions of the LCO in which the loss of safety function system's Required Action directs a supported system to be declared inoperable or directs entry into Conditions and Required Actions shall be entered in accordance with LCO 3.0.2.			

3.0

#### TR 5.0 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

#### TR 5.1 Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) Control Program

This Program provides a means for controlling changes to the Technical Requirements Manual and the Bases. The CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR) included in Appendix B is not considered to be part of the TRM.

# TR 5.1.1 Changes or additions to the Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) shall be made under appropriate administrative controls and reviews.

TR 5.1.2 Licensees may make changes to the TRM without prior NRC approval provided the changes have been determined not to be candidates for inclusion in the Technical Specifications (TS) or not to require NRC approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59. The changes to the TRM shall include the following:

- a. Screening the change against the criteria contained in 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2)(ii).
- b. The change does not require approval pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59.

# TR 5.1.3 The Technical Requirements Control Program shall contain provisions to ensure that changes to the TRM are accurately reflected in the FSAR as appropriate.

TR 5.1.4 Proposed changes that do not meet the criteria of TR 5.1.2 shall be reviewed and approved by the NRC prior to implementation. Changes or additions to the TRM implemented without prior NRC approval shall be provided to the NRC on a frequency consistent with 10 CFR 50.71(e).

Technical Requirements Manual

**Revision 21** 

**Reactor Coolant Chemistry** 

# TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

- TR 3.4.1 Coolant Chemistry
- LCO 3.4.1 Reactor coolant chemistry shall be maintained within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.
- APPLICABILITY: According to Table 3.4.1-1

# ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
<b>A</b> .	Conductivity greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B but ≤ 10 µmho/cm at 25°C.	A.1	Verify by administrative means that conductivity has not been > 1.0 $\mu$ mho/cm at 25°C for > 2 weeks in the past year.	Immediately
B.	Chloride concentration greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B or E but $\leq 0.5$ ppm.	B.1	Verify by administrative means that chloride concentration has not been > 0.2 ppm for > 2 weeks in the past year.	Immediately
C.	pH not within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A, B, and E.	C.1	Restore pH to within limits.	24 hours

(continued)

Coolant Chemistry TR 3.4.1

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.4.1.3	Verify reactor coolant conductivity and chloride concentration within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A.	Once during startup prior to pressurizing the reactor above atmospheric pressure
TSR 3.4.1.4	NOTE Only required when the reactor is operating in MODES 1 or 2.	96 hours <u>AND</u>
	Verify chloride ion content and pH within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.	8 hours whenever the reactor conductivity is >1.0 μmho/cm at 25°C (not required for Column E.)
TSR 3.4.1.5	NOTE Only required when the reactor is not pressurized with fuel in the reactor vessel.	
	Verify conductivity, chloride ion content, and pH within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.	96 hours

BASES	;
-------	---

LCO 3.4.1 (continued)	Operation of Hydrogen Water Chemistry (HWC) following Noble
	Metal Chemical Application (NMCA)

During the first several weeks of HWC operation following NMCA, the hydrogen added to reactor coolant converts corrosion products (primarily iron) to a soluble form which increases conductivity and affects pH. Soluble corrosion products are not IGSCC initiators or contributors and, therefore, susceptibility to IGSCC is not increased. To aid in maintaining high HWC availability, special conductivity limits were added for returning HWC to service following NMCA. The chloride and lower pH limits for this condition are unchanged from 'Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr.' The higher pH limit is increased from 8.6 to 8.8 to include possible pH values at a conductivity limit of 2.0  $\mu$ mho/cm. Due to the benign effects of increased conductivity due to soluble metals, the time limit of 2 weeks per year for conductivity > 1.0  $\mu$ mho/cm and sampling for chloride and pH every 8 hours when conductivity is > 1.0  $\mu$ mho/cm

#### BASES

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.4.1.1

Reactor coolant conductivity shall be monitored continuously. If the continuous monitor becomes inoperable, the conductivity shall be checked every 4 hours when the reactor is not in MODE 4 or 5 and every 8 hours when the reactor is in MODE 4 or 5.

#### TSR 3.4.1.2

The continuous conductivity monitor shall be checked with an inline flow cell every 7 days. If conductivity is > 1.0 micromhos/cm, this frequency is increased to 24 hours.

#### TSR 3.4.1.3

During startup and prior to pressurizing the reactor, conductivity and chloride concentration shall be verified to be within limits.

#### TSR 3.4.1.4

When the reactor is in MODE 1 or 2, the chloride ion content and pH shall be verified to be within limits every 96 hours. When the reactor is in MODE 1 or 2 and conductivity is > 1.0 micromhos/cm (except when in Table 3.4.1-1 Column E), the chloride ion content and pH shall be verified to be within limits every 8 hours.

# <u>TSR 3.4.1.5</u>

During conditions with no steaming, there are much slower chemistry changes to the reactor water. Analyzing water samples every 96 hours is prudent and conservative.

# REFERENCES 1. BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)

# Table 3.4.1-1 Coolant Chemistry Limits<sup>(1)</sup>

CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS	COLUMN A APPLICABLE CONDITION Prior To Startup And At Steaming Rates < 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN B APPLICABLE CONDITION Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN C APPLICABLE CONDITION Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except During Startup Condition	COLUMN D <sup>(2)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Noble Metal Chemical Application and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup	COLUMN E <sup>(3)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Operation of HWC Following Noble Metal Chemical Application
CHLORIDE (ppm)	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2
CONDUCTIVITY (µmho/cm at 25°C)	≤ 2.0	≤ 1.0	≤ 10.0	≤ 20.0	≤ 2.0
рН	5.6-8.6	5.6-8.6	5.3-8.6	4.3-9.9	5.6-8.8

<sup>(1)</sup> When there is no fuel in the reactor vessel, Technical Requirement reactor coolant chemistry limits do not apply.

(2)

During the Noble Metal Chemical Application and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup, CONDITIONS A, B, C, and D (including Required Actions and Completion Times) do not apply.

(3)

During operation of HWC following the Noble Metal Chemical Application, CONDITION A (including Required Action and Completion Time) does not apply.

# TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

- TR 3.4.1 Coolant Chemistry
- LCO 3.4.1 Reactor coolant chemistry shall be maintained within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.
- APPLICABILITY: According to Table 3.4.1-1

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME	
<b>A</b> .	Conductivity greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B but $\leq$ 10 $\mu$ mho/cm at 25°C.	A.1	Verify by administrative means that conductivity has not been > 1.0 $\mu$ mho/cm at 25°C for > 2 weeks in the past year.	Immediately	
B.	Chloride concentration greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B or E but $\leq 0.5$ ppm.	B.1	Verify by administrative means that chloride concentration has not been > 0.2 ppm for > 2 weeks in the past year.	Immediately	
C.	pH not within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A, B, and E.	C.1	Restore pH to within limits.	24 hours	

(continued)

Coolant Chemistry TR 3.4.1

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.4.1.3	Verify reactor coolant conductivity and chloride concentration within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A.	Once during startup prior to pressurizing the reactor above atmospheric pressure
TSR 3.4.1.4	NOTENOTE Only required when the reactor is operating in MODES 1 or 2.	96 hours <u>AND</u>
	Verify chloride ion content and pH within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.	8 hours whenever the reactor conductivity is >1.0 μmho/cm at 25°C (not required for Column E.)
TSR 3.4.1.5	NOTE Only required when the reactor is not pressurized with fuel in the reactor vessel.	
	Verify conductivity, chloride ion content, and pH within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.	96 hours

# Table 3.4.1-1 Coolant Chemistry Limits<sup>(1)</sup>

CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS	COLUMN A APPLICABLE CONDITION Prior To Startup And At Steaming Rates < 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN B APPLICABLE CONDITION Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr	COLUMN C APPLICABLE CONDITION Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except During Startup Condition	COLUMN D <sup>(2)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Noble Metal Chemical Application and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup	COLUMN E <sup>(3)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Operation of HWC Following Noble Metal Chemical Application	
CHLORIDE (ppm)	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	
CONDUCTIVITY (µmho/cm at 25°C)	≤ 2.0	≤ 1.0	≤ 10.0	≤ 20.0	≤ 2.0	
pН	5.6-8.6	5.6-8.6	5.3-8.6	4.3-9.9	5.6-8.8	

(1)

When there is no fuel in the reactor vessel, Technical Requirement reactor coolant chemistry limits do not apply.

(2) During the Noble Metal Chemical Application and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup, CONDITIONS A, B, C, and D (including Required Actions and Completion Times) do not apply.

<sup>(3)</sup> During operation of HWC following the Noble Metal Chemical Application, CONDITION A (including Required Action and Completion Time) does not apply.

LCO 3.4.1 (continued)	<u>Operation of Hydrogen Water Chemistry (HWC) following Noble</u> Metal Chemical Application (NMCA)				
	During the first several weeks of HWC operation following NMCA, the hydrogen added to reactor coolant converts corrosion products (primarily iron) to a soluble form which increases conductivity and affects pH. Soluble corrosion products are not IGSCC initiators or contributors and, therefore, susceptibility to IGSCC is not increased. To aid in maintaining high HWC availability, special conductivity limits were added for returning HWC to service following NMCA. The chloride and lower pH limits for this condition are unchanged from 'Steaming Rates > 100 000 lb/br.' The bigher				
	pH limit is increased from 8.6 to 8.8 to include possible pH values at a conductivity limit of 2.0 $\mu$ mho/cm. Due to the benign effects of increased conductivity due to soluble metals, the time limit of 2 weeks per year for conductivity > 1.0 $\mu$ mho/cm and sampling for chloride and pH every 8 hours when conductivity is > 1.0 $\mu$ mho/cm				

do not apply to this condition.

#### BASES

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.4.1.1

Reactor coolant conductivity shall be monitored continuously. If the continuous monitor becomes inoperable, the conductivity shall be checked every 4 hours when the reactor is not in MODE 4 or 5 and every 8 hours when the reactor is in MODE 4 or 5.

# TSR 3.4.1.2

The continuous conductivity monitor shall be checked with an inline flow cell every 7 days. If conductivity is > 1.0 micromhos/cm, this frequency is increased to 24 hours.

#### TSR 3.4.1.3

During startup and prior to pressurizing the reactor, conductivity and chloride concentration shall be verified to be within limits.

# TSR 3.4.1.4

When the reactor is in MODE 1 or 2, the chloride ion content and pH shall be verified to be within limits every 96 hours. When the reactor is in MODE 1 or 2 and conductivity is > 1.0 micromhos/cm (except when in Table 3.4.1-1 Column E), the chloride ion content and pH shall be verified to be within limits every 8 hours.

# <u>TSR 3.4.1.5</u>

During conditions with no steaming, there are much slower chemistry changes to the reactor water. Analyzing water samples every 96 hours is prudent and conservative.

# REFERENCES 1. BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)

# TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

TR 3.4.1	Coolant Chemistry	
----------	-------------------	--

- LCO 3.4.1 Reactor coolant chemistry shall be maintained within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.
- APPLICABILITY: According to Table 3.4.1-1

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Conductivity greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B but $\leq$ 10 $\mu$ mho/cm at 25°C.	A.1	Verify by administrative means that conductivity has not been > 1.0 $\mu$ mho/cm at 25°C for > 2 weeks in the past year.	Immediately
Chloride concentration greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B or E but $\leq 0.5$ ppm.	B.1	Verify by administrative means that chloride concentration has not been > 0.2 ppm for > 2 weeks in the past year.	Immediately
pH not within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A, B, and E.	C.1	Restore pH to within limits.	24 hours
	CONDITION Conductivity greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B but $\leq 10$ µmho/cm at 25°C. Chloride concentration greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B or E but $\leq 0.5$ ppm. pH not within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A, B, and E.	CONDITIONA.1Conductivity greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B but $\leq 10$ µmho/cm at 25°C.A.1Chloride concentration greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B or E but $\leq 0.5$ ppm.B.1pH not within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A, B, and E.C.1	CONDITIONREQUIRED ACTIONConductivity greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B but $\leq 10$ $\mu$ mho/cm at 25°C.A.1Verify by administrative means that conductivity has not been > 1.0 $\mu$ mho/cm at 25°C for > 2 weeks in the past year.Chloride concentration greater than the limit of Table 3.4.1-1 Column B or E but $\leq 0.5$ ppm.B.1Verify by administrative means that chloride concentration has not been > 0.2 ppm for > 2 weeks in the past year.pH not within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A, B, and E.C.1Restore pH to within limits.

(continued)

Coolant Chemistry TR 3.4.1

# TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

	SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
TSR 3.4.1.3	Verify reactor coolant conductivity and chloride concentration within limits of Table 3.4.1-1 Column A.	Once during startup prior to pressurizing the reactor above atmospheric pressure
TSR 3.4.1.4	Only required when the reactor is operating in MODES 1 or 2.	96 hours <u>AND</u> 8 hours whenever the
	Verity chloride ion content and pH within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.	reactor conductivity is >1.0 μmho/cm at 25°C (not required for Column E.)
TSR 3.4.1.5	Only required when the reactor is not pressurized with fuel in the reactor vessel.	
	Verify conductivity, chloride ion content, and pH within the limits of Table 3.4.1-1.	96 hours

# Table 3.4.1-1 Coolant Chemistry Limits<sup>(1)</sup>

CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS	COLUMN A       COLUMN B         APPLICABLE       APPLICABLE CONDITION         CONDITION       Steaming Rates         Prior To Startup       > 100,000 lb/hr         And At Steaming       Rates         < 100,000 lb/hr		COLUMN C APPLICABLE CONDITION Reactor Not Pressurized With Fuel In Reactor Vessel, Except During Startup Condition	COLUMN D <sup>(2)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Noble Metal Chemical Application and Subsequent Reactor Coolant Cleanup	COLUMN E <sup>(3)</sup> APPLICABLE CONDITION Operation of HWC Following Noble Metal Chemical Application	
CHLORIDE (ppm)	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.1	≤ 0.2	
CONDUCTIVITY (µmho/cm at 25°C)	≤ 2.0	≤ 1.0	≤ 10.0	≤ 20.0	≤ 2.0	
рН	5.6-8.6	5.6-8.6	5.3-8.6	4.3-9.9	5.6-8.8	

(1)

When there is no fuel in the reactor vessel, Technical Requirement reactor coolant chemistry limits do not apply.

(2) During the Noble Metal Chemical Application and subsequent reactor coolant cleanup, CONDITIONS A, B, C, and D (including Required Actions and Completion Times) do not apply.

(3) During operation of HWC following the Noble Metal Chemical Application, CONDITION A (including Required Action and Completion Time) does not apply.

LCO 3.4.1	Operation of Hydrogen Water Chemistry (HWC) following I	Noble
(continued)	Metal Chemical Application (NMCA)	

During the first several weeks of HWC operation following NMCA, the hydrogen added to reactor coolant converts corrosion products (primarily iron) to a soluble form which increases conductivity and affects pH. Soluble corrosion products are not IGSCC initiators or contributors and, therefore, susceptibility to IGSCC is not increased. To aid in maintaining high HWC availability, special conductivity limits were added for returning HWC to service following NMCA. The chloride and lower pH limits for this condition are unchanged from 'Steaming Rates > 100,000 lb/hr.' The higher pH limit is increased from 8.6 to 8.8 to include possible pH values at a conductivity limit of 2.0  $\mu$ mho/cm. Due to the benign effects of increased conductivity due to soluble metals, the time limit of 2 weeks per year for conductivity > 1.0  $\mu$ mho/cm and sampling for chloride and pH every 8 hours when conductivity is > 1.0  $\mu$ mho/cm do not apply to this condition.

#### TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

TSR 3.4.1.1

Reactor coolant conductivity shall be monitored continuously. If the continuous monitor becomes inoperable, the conductivity shall be checked every 4 hours when the reactor is not in MODE 4 or 5 and every 8 hours when the reactor is in MODE 4 or 5.

# TSR 3.4.1.2

The continuous conductivity monitor shall be checked with an inline flow cell every 7 days. If conductivity is > 1.0 micromhos/cm, this frequency is increased to 24 hours.

# <u>TSR 3.4.1.3</u>

During startup and prior to pressurizing the reactor, conductivity and chloride concentration shall be verified to be within limits.

# <u>TSR 3.4.1.4</u>

When the reactor is in MODE 1 or 2, the chloride ion content and pH shall be verified to be within limits every 96 hours. When the reactor is in MODE 1 or 2 and conductivity is > 1.0 micromhos/cm (except when in Table 3.4.1-1 Column E), the chloride ion content and pH shall be verified to be within limits every 8 hours.

# TSR 3.4.1.5

During conditions with no steaming, there are much slower chemistry changes to the reactor water. Analyzing water samples every 96 hours is prudent and conservative.

REFERENCES 1. BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)

**Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 22** 

**Structural Integrity** 

#### TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

TR 3.4.3 Structural Integrity

LCO 3.4.3 The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 equivalent components shall be maintained in accordance with TSR 3.4.3.1, TSR 3.4.3.2, and TSR 3.4.3.3 throughout the life of the plant.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

#### ACTIONS

-----NOTE-----NOTE------NOTE------NOTE------

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
А.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	A.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	48 hours
		<u> 0</u>		
		A.2	Isolate the affected component(s)	48 hours
В.	Required Action and	B.1	Be in MODE 3.	12 hours
	associated Completion Time of Condition A	<u> 0R</u>		
	not met.	B.2	Be in MODE 4.	36 hours
				(continued)

Structural Integrity TR 3.4.3

ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
C.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 4 or 5.	C.1	Initiate action to determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	Immediately
		AND		
		C.2.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	Prior to entering MODE 2 or 3
		OR		
		C.2.2	Isolate the affected components from service.	Prior to entering MODE 2 or 3

\_\_\_\_\_

LCO 3.4.3 The standards set by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers and the requirements by the NRC to meet those standards are assured by compliance with these Technical Requirements.

# APPLICABILITY The only time these requirements may be temporarily suspended is when the reactor has been shutdown for a period of six months or more. The suspension will only allow an extension in the 10 year testing cycle for the amount of time the reactor was shutdown.

# ACTIONS

# A.1, B.1, B.2, C.1, and C.2

On finding an indication of a non-through-wall defect in a Class 1, 2, or 3 system, investigation is required. Pending completion of these investigations, no Technical Requirement (TR) is considered not met. For Class 1, 2, and high-energy Class 3 components with through-wall pressure boundary defects, structural integrity is assumed compromised. For the low-energy Class 3 components with through-wall defects, further investigation and evaluation is allowed.

At such time as the above evaluations determine that an indication represents a threat to structural integrity, a corrective action document will be written. In addition, the LCO will be considered not met and the specified Actions taken. If the indication is found to impact the OPERABILITY of the component or system, the appropriate corrective action based on the reactor MODE will be taken, which may include isolation of the affected component and, if applicable, any required Technical Specifications LCOs entered for the inoperable component. An acceptable means of exiting the TR LCO, though not explicitly stated as an Action, is to restore the structural integrity of the component by means of repair, replacement, adjustment, or modification.

Regarding the determination of corrective actions, additional requirements for the repair and replacement of ASME Section XI components is provided in Part D of SPP-9.1, "ASME Section XI."

ACTIONS	<u>A.1, B.1, B.2, C.1, and C.2</u> (continued) The provisions of Technical Specifications (TS) Section 3.4.4, Reactor Coolant System Leakage, must be adhered to in Modes 1, 2, and 3, and nothing in this TRM section should be construed to					
	z, ali	ter or affect the requirements of TS 3.4.4.				
	<u>T8</u>	SR 3.4.3.1, TSR 3.4.3.2, and TSR 3.4.3.3				
REQUIREMENTS	Th rea ma an	These surveillance requirements ensure that structural integrity of required components is inspected and maintained as required to meet regulatory requirements and detect reactor vessel component and piping defects.				
REFERENCES	1.	BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)				
	2.	BFNP FSAR Subsection 4.12, Inservice Inspection and Testing				
	3.	Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Coolant Systems, Section XI, ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code				
	4.	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III (1968 Edition)				
	5.	American Society for Nondestructive Testing No. SNT-TC-1A (1968 Edition)				

BASES

# TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

TR 3.4.3 Structural Integrity

LCO 3.4.3 The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 equivalent components shall be maintained in accordance with TSR 3.4.3.1 and TSR 3.4.3.2 throughout the life of the plant.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	A.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	48 hours
		<u> </u>		
		A.2	Isolate the affected component(s)	48 hours
В.	Required Action and	B.1	Be in MODE 3.	12 hours
	associated Completion Time of Condition A	<u>OR</u>		
	not met.	B.2	Be in MODE 4.	36 hours
				(continued)

Structural Integrity TR 3.4.3

ACTIONS

, ·

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
C.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 4 or 5.	C.1	Initiate action to determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		C.2.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	Prior to entering MODE 2 or 3
		<u>OR</u>		
		C.2.2	Isolate the affected components from service.	Prior to entering MODE 2 or 3

#### LCO 3.4.3 The standards set by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers and the requirements by the NRC to meet those standards are assured by compliance with these Technical Requirements.

#### APPLICABILITY The only time these requirements may be temporarily suspended is when the reactor has been shutdown for a period of six months or more. The suspension will only allow an extension in the 10 year testing cycle for the amount of time the reactor was shutdown.

# ACTIONS

# A.1, B.1, B.2, C.1, and C.2

On finding an indication of a non-through-wall defect in a Class 1, 2, or 3 system, investigation is required. Pending completion of these investigations, no Technical Requirement (TR) is considered not met. For Class 1, 2, and high-energy Class 3 components with through-wall pressure boundary defects, structural integrity is assumed compromised. For the low-energy Class 3 components with through-wall defects, further investigation and evaluation is allowed.

At such time as the above evaluations determine that an indication represents a threat to structural integrity, a corrective action document will be written. In addition, the LCO will be considered not met and the specified Actions taken. If the indication is found to impact the OPERABILITY of the component or system, the appropriate corrective action based on the reactor MODE will be taken, which may include isolation of the affected component and, if applicable, any required Technical Specifications LCOs entered for the inoperable component. An acceptable means of exiting the TR LCO, though not explicitly stated as an Action, is to restore the structural integrity of the component by means of repair, replacement, adjustment, or modification.

Regarding the determination of corrective actions, additional requirements for the repair and replacement of ASME Section XI components is provided in Part D of SPP-9.1, "ASME Section XI."

BASES		Structural Integrity B 3.4.3				
ACTIONS	<u>A.1, B.1, B.2, C.1, and C.2</u> (continued)					
	The provisions of Technical Specifications (TS) Section 3.4.4, Reactor Coolant System Leakage, must be adhered to in Modes 1, 2, and 3, and nothing in this TRM section should be construed to alter or affect the requirements of TS 3.4.4.					
TECHNICAL	TSR 3.4.3.1 and TSR 3.4.3.2					
REQUIREMENTS	Th ree me an	nese surveillance requirements ensure that structural integrity of quired components is inspected and maintained as required to set regulatory requirements and detect reactor vessel component ad piping defects.				
REFERENCES	1.	BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)				
	2.	BFNP FSAR Subsection 4.12, Inservice Inspection and Testing				
	3.	Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Coolant Systems, Section XI, ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code				
	4.	ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III (1968 Edition)				
	5.	American Society for Nondestructive Testing No. SNT-TC-1A (1968 Edition)				

.

# TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

TR 3.4.3 Structural Integrity

LCO 3.4.3 The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 equivalent components shall be maintained in accordance with TSR 3.4.3.1 and TSR 3.4.3.2 throughout the life of the plant.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

#### ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
A.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	A.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	48 hours
		<u> 0R</u>		
		A.2	Isolate the affected component(s)	48 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1	Be in MODE 3.	12 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		B.2	Be in MODE 4.	36 hours

(continued)

Structural Integrity TR 3.4.3

ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
C.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 4 or 5.	C.1	Initiate action to determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	Immediately
		<u>AND</u>		
		C.2.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	Prior to entering MODE 2 or 3
OR				
		C.2.2	Isolate the affected components from service.	Prior to entering MODE 2 or 3

#### LCO 3.4.3 The standards set by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers and the requirements by the NRC to meet those standards are assured by compliance with these Technical Requirements.

#### APPLICABILITY The only time these requirements may be temporarily suspended is when the reactor has been shutdown for a period of six months or more. The suspension will only allow an extension in the 10 year testing cycle for the amount of time the reactor was shutdown.

# ACTIONS

# A.1, B.1, B.2, C.1, and C.2

On finding an indication of a non-through-wall defect in a Class 1, 2, or 3 system, investigation is required. Pending completion of these investigations, no Technical Requirement (TR) is considered not met. For Class 1, 2, and high-energy Class 3 components with through-wall pressure boundary defects, structural integrity is assumed compromised. For the low-energy Class 3 components with through-wall defects, further investigation and evaluation is allowed.

At such time as the above evaluations determine that an indication represents a threat to structural integrity, a corrective action document will be written. In addition, the LCO will be considered not met and the specified Actions taken. If the indication is found to impact the OPERABILITY of the component or system, the appropriate corrective action based on the reactor MODE will be taken, which may include isolation of the affected component and, if applicable, any required Technical Specifications LCOs entered for the inoperable component. An acceptable means of exiting the TR LCO, though not explicitly stated as an Action, is to restore the structural integrity of the component by means of repair, replacement, adjustment, or modification.

Regarding the determination of corrective actions, additional requirements for the repair and replacement of ASME Section XI components is provided in Part D of SPP-9.1, "ASME Section XI."
BASES		Structural Integrity B 3.4.3
ACTIONS	<u>A.</u> Th Re 2, alt	<u>1, B.1, B.2, C.1, and C.2</u> (continued) the provisions of Technical Specifications (TS) Section 3.4.4, eactor Coolant System Leakage, must be adhered to in Modes 1, and 3, and nothing in this TRM section should be construed to er or affect the requirements of TS 3.4.4.
TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	<u>TS</u> Th rec me an	ese surveillance requirements ensure that structural integrity of quired components is inspected and maintained as required to bet regulatory requirements and detect reactor vessel component d piping defects.
REFERENCES	1. 2. 3. 4.	<ul> <li>BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)</li> <li>BFNP FSAR Subsection 4.12, Inservice Inspection and Testing</li> <li>Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Coolant Systems, Section XI, ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code</li> <li>ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III (1968 Edition)</li> <li>American Society for Nondestructive Testing No. SNT-TC-1A (1968 Edition)</li> </ul>

.

**Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 23** 

**TRM Revision 22 Correction** 

and

Unit 2 Core Operating Limits Report Revision

	Structural Integrity
TR 3.4 REACTOR	COOLANT SYSTEM
TR 3.4.3 Structure	al Integrity
LCO 3.4.3	The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 equivalent components shall be maintained in accordance with TSR 3.4.3.1, TSR 3.4.3.2, and TSR 3.4.3.3 throughout the life of the plant.
APPLICABILITY:	MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.
ACTIONS	
د های های و به	NOTE

Separate Condition entry is allowed for each event.

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
Α.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	A.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	48 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		A.2	Isolate the affected component(s)	48 hours
В.	Required Action and	B.1	Be in MODE 3.	12 hours
	associated Completion Time of Condition A	AND		
	not met.	B.2	Be in MODE 4.	36 hours
		•		(continued)

## TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

TR 3.4.3 Structural Integrity

LCO 3.4.3 The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 equivalent components shall be maintained in accordance with TSR 3.4.3.1 and TSR 3.4.3.2 throughout the life of the plant.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

#### ACTIONS

Separate Condition entry is allowed for each event.

CONDITION			REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	A.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	48 hours
		<u>OR</u>		
		A.2	Isolate the affected component(s)	48 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A	B.1 <u>AND</u>	Be in MODE 3.	12 hours
	not met.	B.2	Be in MODE 4.	36 hours

(continued)

**BFN-UNIT 2** 

## TR 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

TR 3.4.3 Structural Integrity

LCO 3.4.3 The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 equivalent components shall be maintained in accordance with TSR 3.4.3.1 and TSR 3.4.3.2 throughout the life of the plant.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

ACTIONS

CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION		COMPLETION TIME
А.	Requirements of the LCO not met in MODE 1, 2, or 3.	A.1	Determine that the structural integrity non- compliance has not adversely affected the OPERABILITY of the affected component(s).	48 hours
	-	<u>OR</u> A.2	Isolate the affected component(s)	48 hours
В.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1 <u>AND</u> B.2	Be in MODE 3. Be in MODE 4.	12 hours 36 hours
		L		(continued)

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 1

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 2, Cycle 12

## CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY Nuclear Fuel Division BWR Fuel Engineering Department

Prepared By:	Earl E. Riley, Engineering Specialist BWR Fuel Engineering	Date: <u>3/14/01</u>
Verified By:	Alan L. Bruce, Nuclear Engineer BWR Fuel Engineering	Date: <u>3/14/01</u>
Approved By:	J. T. Robert, Manager BWR Fuel Engineering	Date: <u>3/14/01</u>
Reviewed By:	Reactor Engineering Supervisor	Date: 3/14/01
Reviewed By:	PORC Chairman	Date: 3/15/0/

Revision 0 (3/14/2001)

Initial Release Pages Affected: All

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 2

## **Revision Log**

Revision Date

0

3/14/2001

Description Initial Release for New Cycle Affected Pages

:

All

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This Core Operating Limits Report for Browns Ferry Unit 2, Cycle 12 is prepared in accordance with the requirements of Browns Ferry Technical Specification 5.6.5. The core operating limits presented here were developed using NRC-approved methods (References 1 and 2). Results from the reload analyses for Browns Ferry Unit 2, Cycle 12 are documented in Reference 3.

The following core operating limits are included in this report:

- a. Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (APLHGR) Limit (Technical Specifications 3.2.1 and 3.7.5)
- b. Linear Heat Generation Rate (LHGR) Limit (Technical Specification 3.2.3)
- c. Minimum Critical Power Ratio Operating Limit (OLMCPR) (Technical Specifications 3.2.2, 3.3.4.1, and 3.7.5)
- d. Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Flow Biased Rod Block Trip Setting (Technical Requirements Manual Section 5.3.1 and Table 3.3.4-1)
- e. Rod Block Monitor (RBM) Trip Setpoints and Operability (Technical Specification Table 3.3.2.1-1)
- f. Shutdown Margin (SDM) Limit (Technical Specification 3.1.1)

فمرزعها مأ

## 2. APLHGR LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 3.2.1 AND 3.7.5)

The APLHGR limits for full power and flow conditions for each type of fuel as a function of exposure are shown in Figures 1-5. The APLHGR limits for the GE13 assemblies are for the most limiting lattice (excluding natural uranium) at each exposure point. The specific values for each lattice are given in Reference 4.

These APLHGR limits are adjusted for off-rated power and flow conditions using the ARTS factors, MAPFAC(P) and MAPFAC(F). The reduced power factor, MAPFAC(P), is given in Figure 6. Similarly, adjustments for reduced flow operation are performed using the MAPFAC(F) corrections given in Figure 7. Both factors are multipliers used to reduce the standard APLHGR limit. The most limiting power-adjusted or flow-adjusted value is taken as the APLHGR operating limit for the off-rated condition.

The APLHGR limits in Figures 1-5 are applicable for both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. The off-rated power and flow corrections in Figures 6 and 7 bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service operation. No corrections are required to the APLHGR limits for TBOOS for either rated or off-rated operation.

The APLHGR limits in Figures 1-5 are applicable for both Recirculation Pump Trip (RPT) In-Service and Out-Of-Service. The off-rated power and flow corrections in Figures 6 and 7 bound both RPT In-Service and Out-Of-Service operation. No corrections are required to the APLHGR limits for RPTOOS for either rated or off-rated operation.

For Single Recirculation Loop Operation (SLO), the most limiting of either the SLO multiplier or the off-rated MAPFAC correction is used to reduce the exposure dependent APLHGR limit. The SLO multiplier to be applied to this cycle is 0.84 (reference 3). It is not necessary to apply both the off-rated MAPFAC and SLO multiplier corrections at the same time.

• • •

a second second second

# 3. LHGR LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.2.3)

The LHGR limit is fuel type dependent. For Unit 2 Cycle 12 there is only one fuel type in the core. The limit for this type is shown below:

Fuel Type	LHGR Limit
GE13	14.4 kw/ft

## 4. OLMCPR (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 3.2.2, 3.3.4.1, AND 3.7.5)

a. **Rated Limits - OLMCPR(100):** The MCPR Operating Limit for rated power and flow conditions, OLMCPR(100), is equal to the fuel type and exposure dependent limit shown in Figures 8 and 9. These figures apply to GE13 fuel which is the only fuel type in the Unit 2 Cycle 12 Core.

Figure 8 applies to exposure up to 2000 MWD/ST prior to EOR (end of full power capability at rated flow with normal feedwater temperature) after which Figure 9 shall be used. It is acceptable to use the more restrictive Figure 9 limits at any point in the cycle.

As noted in Figures 8 and 9, an adder of 0.03 is applied for single loop operation.

The actual OLMCPR(100) value is dependent upon the scram time testing results, as described below (ref. 10):

$$\tau = 0.0$$

 $\frac{\tau_{ave} - \tau_B}{\tau_A - \tau_B} , \text{ whichever is greater}$ 

where;  $\tau_A = 1$ 

or

= 1.096 sec (analytical Option A scram time limit - based on dropout time for notch position 36)

$$\tau_{ave} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i}}{n}$$
$$\tau_{B} = \mu + 1.65 * \sigma * \left[\frac{N}{n}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where;  $\mu = 0.830$  sec (mean scram time used in transient analysis - based on dropout time for notch position 36)

 $\sigma = 0.019 \text{ sec} \text{ (standard deviation of } \mu\text{)}$ 

- N = Total number of active rods measured in Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement SR3.1.4.1
- n = Number of surveillance rod tests performed to date in cycle
- $\tau_i$  = Scram time (dropout time) from fully withdrawn to notch position 36 for the i<sup>th</sup> rod
- b. Startup Limits: Option A OLMCPR limits ( $\tau = 1.0$ ) shall be used prior to the determination of  $\tau$  in accordance with SR 3.1.4.1.

1

- c. **Off-Rated Limits:** For off-rated power and flow conditions, power-adjusted and flow-adjusted operating limits are determined from Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The most limiting power-dependent or flow-dependent value is taken as the OLMCPR for the off-rated condition.
- d. Equipment Out-Of-Service OLMCPR Limits: Rated power OLMCPR(100) limits are provided for Recirculation Pump Trip out-of-service (RPTOOS), Turbine Bypass out-of-service (TBOOS), and the combined RPTOOS/TBOOS condition in Figures 8 and 9 (reference 5). Additionally an off-rated MCPR(P) correction from Figure 10 (reference 5) shall be applied for TBOOS when the power is below Pbypass.
- e. Single Loop Operation (SLO) Limits: As noted in section 4.a above, a correction of 0.03 is to be applied to the OLMCPR(100) limits for SLO as described in the footnote of Figures 8 and 9. The same adder applies to the off-rated MCPR(F) limit as noted in the footnote to Figure 11 and to the OLMCPR value below Pbypass from Figure 10.

#### 5. APRM FLOW BIASED ROD BLOCK TRIP SETTING (TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS MANUAL SECTION 5.3.1 AND TABLE 3.3.4-1)

The APRM Rod Block trip setting shall be:

S <sub>RB</sub>	≤	$(0.66(W-\Delta W) + 61\%)$	Allowable Value
S <sub>RB</sub>	≤	(0.66(W-∆W) + 59%)	Nominal Trip Setpoint (NTSP)

where:

<u>بر</u> :

- $S_{RB} =$  Rod Block setting in percent of rated thermal power (3458 MWt)
  - W = Loop recirculation flow rate in percent of rated
- $\Delta W$  = Difference between two-loop and single-loop effective recirculation flow at the same core flow ( $\Delta W$ =0.0 for two-loop operation)

The APRM Rod Block trip setting is clamped at a maximum allowable value of 115% (corresponding to a NTSP of 113%).

## 6. ROD BLOCK MONITOR (RBM) TRIP SETPOINTS AND OPERABILITY (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION TABLE 3.3.2.1-1)

The RBM trip setpoints an	d applicable power r	ranges shall be as follo	ws (refs. 7-9):
---------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----------------

RBM Trip Setpoint	Allowable Value	Nominal Trip Setpoint	
LPSP	27%	25%	
IPSP	62%	60%	1
HPSP	82%	80%	]
LTSP - unfiltered - filtered	118.7%	117.0%	(1),(2
ITSP - unfiltered	113.7%	112.0%	- 
HTSP - unfiltered	108.7%	107.0%	
- filtered	107.9%	106.2%	(1),(2
DTSP	90%	92%	1

- Notes: (1) These setpoints are based upon a MCPR operating limit of <u>1.25</u> using a safety limit of 1.07, as reported in references 6, 7, and 8. These setpoints bound the cycle specific minimum Option B MCPR operating limit of 1.29.
  - (2) The unfiltered setpoints are consistent with a nominal RBM filter setting of 0.0 seconds (reference 8). The filtered setpoints are consistent with a nominal RBM filter setting ≤ 0.5 seconds (reference 7).

The RBM setpoints in Technical Specification Table 3.3.2.1-1 are applicable when:

	THERMAL POWER (% Rated)	Applicable MCPR <sup>(1)</sup>	Notes from Table 3.3.2.1-1	
	$\geq$ 27% and < 90%	< 1.70 < 1.75	(a), (b), (f), (h) (a), (b), (f), (h)	dual loop operation single loop operation
Ī	≥ 90%	< 1.40	(g)	dual loop operation <sup>(2)</sup>

Notes: (1) The MCPR values shown correspond to a SLMCPR of 1.07 for dual recirculation loop operation and 1.10 for single loop operation.

(2) Greater than 90% rated power is not attainable in single loop operation.

•

## 7. SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.1.1)

The core shall be subcritical with the following margin with the strongest OPERABLE control rod fully withdrawn and all other OPERABLE control rods fully inserted.

SDM  $\geq 0.38\%$  dk/k

#### 8. REFERENCES

- 1. NEDE-24011-P-A-14, "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel", June 2000.
- 2. NEDE-24011-P-A-14-US, "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel (Supplement for United States)", June 2000.
- 3. J11-03718-10-SRLR Rev. 0, "Supplemental Reload Licensing Report for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 2 Reload 11 Cycle 12", January 2001.
- 4. J11-03718-10-MAPL Rev. 0, "Lattice-Dependent MAPLHGR Report for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 2 Reload 11 Cycle 12", January 2001.
- 5. NEDC-32774P Supplement 1 Revision 0, "Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Units 1, 2, and 3 Turbine Bypass and End-of-Cycle Recirculation Pump Trip Combination Mode Out-Of-Service", dated February 2001.
- 6. NEDC-32433P, "Maximum Extended Load Line Limit and ARTS Improvement Program Analyses for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1, 2, and 3", dated April 1995.
- EDE-28-0990 Rev. 3 Supplement E, "PRNM (APRM, RBM, and RFM) Setpoint Calculations [ARTS/MELLL (NUMAC) - Power-Uprate Condition] for Tennessee Valley Authority Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant", dated October 1997.
- EDE-28-0990 Rev. 2 Supplement E, "PRNM (APRM, RBM, and RFM) Setpoint Calculations [ARTS/MELLL (NUMAC) - Power-Uprate Condition] for Tennessee Valley Authority Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant", dated October 1997.
- GE Letter LB#: 262-97-133, "Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Rod Block Monitor Setpoint Clarification - GE Proprietary Information", dated September 12, 1997. [L32 970912 800]
- 10.GE Letter JAB-T8019a, "Technical Specification Changes for Implementation of Advanced Methods", dated June 4, 1998. [L32 980608 800]



Figure 1 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9HTB384-12G4.0 (GE13)

Most	Limiting	Lattice
for Eacl	n Exposi	ure Point

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure (GWD/ST)	Limit (kw/ft)	Exposure (GWD/ST)	Limit (kw/ft)	Exposure (GWD/ST)	Limit (kw/ft)
0.0	10.09	7.0	11.71	25.0	10.75
0.2	10.15	8.0	11.95	30.0	10.43
1.0	10.31	9.0	12.16	35.0	10.06
2.0	10.52	10.0	12.28	40.0	9.72
3.0	10.75	12.5	12.16	45.0	9.38
4.0	10.99	15.0	11.88	50.0	9.03
5.0	11.25	17.5	11.56	55.0	8.66
6.0	11.48	20.0	11.24	55.98	8.58



Figure 2 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB406-13GZ (GE13)

Most Limiting Lattice	
for Each Exposure Point	

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Lîmit	Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/STI	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	GWD/ST1	(kw/ft)
0.0	10.66	7.0	11.75	25.0	11.33
0.2	10.69	8.0	11.87	30.0	10.76
1.0	10.76	9.0	11.99	35.0	10.29
2.0	10.89	10.0	12.12	40.0	9.85
3.0	11.06	12.5	12.16	45.0	9.45
4.0	11.24	15.0	12.14	50.0	9.03
5.0	11.45	17.5	12.03	55.0	8.66
6.0	11.61	20.0	11.78	59.01	8.38



Figure 3 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB401-14GZ (GE13)

Most	Limiting	Lattice
for Each	n Exposi	ure Point

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/SII)	LKW/ID	IST ICAN DIST.	IKW/TO	IGWD/SIL	IKW/IT)
0.0	10.49	7.0	11.44	25.0	11.10
0.2	10.52	8.0	11.59	30.0	10.55
1.0	10.59	9.0	11.72	35.0	10.15
2.0	10.74	10.0	11.85	40.0	9.80
3.0	10.90	12.5	11.84	45.0	9.47
4.0	11.02	15.0	11.84	50.0	9.01
5.0	11.15	17.5	11.83	55.0	8.56
6.0	11.29	20.0	11.71	58.59	8.23

94.5 A



Figure 4 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB391-13GZ (GE13)

Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR	Average Planar	LHGR
Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit	Exposure	Limit
(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)
0.0	10.75	7.0	11.45	25.0	10.79
0.2	10.80	8.0	11.55	30.0	10.24
1.0	10.87	9.0	11.66	35.0	9.85
2.0	10.96	10.0	11.77	40.0	9.49
3.0	11.06	12.5	11.71	45.0	9.15
4.0	11.15	15.0	11.63	50.0	8.78
5.0	11.25	17.5	11.55	55.0	8.45
6.0	11.35	20.0	11.39	57.82	8.27

Most Limiting Lattice for Each Exposure Point



Figure 5 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB412-2G7.0/11G5.0 (GE13)

N	lost	Limitin	g Lat	tice
or	Eacl	h Expo	sure	Point

Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)
0.0	10.38	7.0	11.58	25.0	11.12
0.2	10.45	8.0	11.73	30.0	10.78
1.0	10.57	9.0	11.86	35.0	10.46
2.0	10.72	10.0	12.00	40.0	10.01
3.0	10.88	12.5	12.03	45.0	9.49
4.0	11.05	15.0	11.96	50.0	9.04
5.0	11.22	17.5	11.80	55.0	8.66
6.0	11.40	20.0	11.59	57.99	8.45

The APLHGR limits shown are for dual recirculation loop operation. For single loop operation, these values should be multiplied by the most limiting of either 0.84 or the MAPFAC correction, as described in Section 2.

.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 17





MAPLHGR(P) = MAPFAC(P) x MAPLHGRstd MAPLHGRstd = Standard MAPLHGR Limits

N. 4. 2

For	25% > P	: NO THERMAL LIMITS MONITORING REQUIRED NO LIMITS SPECIFIED			
For	25% <u>&lt;</u> P < 30%	: MAPFAC(P) = 0.60 + 0.005(P-30%) For ≤ 50% CORE FLOW : MAPFAC(P) = 0.46 + 0.005(P-30%) For > 50% CORE FLOW			
For	30% <u>≤</u> P	: MAPFAC(P) = 1.0 + 0.005224(P-100%)			

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service These values bound both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 18





FOR Wc (% Rated Core Flow) >= 30%

MAPLHGR(F) = MAPFAC(F) x MAPLHGRstd MAPLHGRstd = Standard MAPLHGR Limits MAPFAC(F) = MINIMUM( 1.0 , Af \* Wc /100 + Bf)

Af	and	Bf	are	Constants	Given	Below:
----	-----	----	-----	-----------	-------	--------

Maximum Core Flow (% Rated)	A1	Bf
102.5	0.6784	0.4861
107.0	0.6758	0.4574

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values bound both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service.

The 102.5% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 100% rated flow. The 107% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 105% rated flow (ICF).

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 19

## Figure 8 MCPR Operating Limit for All GE13 Bundles

For Cycle Exposures up to EOR-2000 MWD/ST (see note 3)



Exposure Range	Out-Of-Service	Option A (1) Tau=1.0	Option B Tau=0.0
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	na	1.31	1.29
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	Turbine Bypass (TBOOS)	1.35	1.30
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	Recirculation Pump Trip (RPTOOS)	1.35	1.30
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	TBOOS and RPTOOS	1.39	1.34

#### Notes

1. Use this value prior to performing scram time testing per SR 3.1.4.1.

- 2. The values shown are for dual recirculation loop operation (1.07 SLMCPR). Increase any value shown by 0.03 for Single Loop Operation (SLO:SLMCPR=1.10).
- 3. EOR refers to the end of Full Power Capability at Rated Flow with normal Feedwater Heating.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 20

## Figure 9 MCPR Operating Limit for All GE13 Bundles

Optional for All Cycle Exposures - Required after EOR-2000 MWD/ST is reached (see note 3)



Exposure Range	Out-Of-Service	Option A (1) Tau=1.0	Option B Tau=0.0
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	na	1.31	1.29
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	Turbine Bypass (TBOOS)	1.35	1.32
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	Recirculation Pump Trip (RPTOOS)	1.40	1.32
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	TBOOS and RPTOOS	1.43	1.35

Notes

1. Use this value prior to performing scram time testing per SR 3.1.4.1.

2. The values shown are for dual recirculation loop operation (1.07 SLMCPR). Increase any value shown by 0.03 for Single Loop Operation (SLO:SLMCPR=1.10).

3. EOR refers to the end of Full Power Capability at Rated Flow with normal Feedwater Heating.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 21

TVA Nuclear Fuel Core Operating Limits Report



Figure 10 Power Dependent MCPR(P) Limits

OPERATING LIMIT MCPR(P) = Kp \* OLMCPR(100)

For	P < 25%	: NO THERMAL LIMITS MONITORING REQUIRED NO LIMITS SPECIFIED
For	25% <pre> 25% <p< td=""><td>: (Pbypass = 30%)</td></p<></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	: (Pbypass = 30%)
		: Kp = [Kbyp + 0.02(30%-P)]/OLMCPR(100)
		Turbine Bypass and RPT in-Service, or RPT Out-Of-Service (RPTOOS)Kbyp = 1.92For $\leq$ 50% CORE FLOWKbyp = 2.15For $>$ 50% CORE FLOWTurbine Bypass Out-Of-Service (TBOOS)Kbyp = 1.96For $\leq$ 50% CORE FLOWKbyp = 2.93For $>$ 50% CORE FLOW
For	30% <u>≤</u> P < 45%	: Kp = 1.28 + 0.01340(45%-P)
For	45% ≤ P<60%	: Kp = 1.15 + 0.00867(60%-P)

For 60% ≤ P : Kp = 1.00 + 0.00375(100%-P)

.\_....¥

Note: The OLMCPR below Pbypass is based upon the dual recirculation loop SLMCPR of 1.07. Add 0.03 to the OLMCPR in Single Loop Operation (SLO - SLMCPR=1.10).

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 0, Page 22 (Final)



Figure 11 Flow Dependent MCPR Operating Limit - MCPR(F)

For Wc <u>></u> 30%

: MCPR(F) = MAX(1.20, Af Wc/100 + Bf)

Wc = % Rated Core Flow

Af and Bf are Constants Given Below:

Maximum Core Flow (% Rated)	Į	BI
102.5	-0.571	1.655
107.0	-0.586	1.697

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values bound both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service

The 102.5% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 100% rated flow. The 107% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 105% rated flow (ICF).

This figure is based upon the dual recirculation loop operation SLMCPR of 1.07. Add 0.03 to these values for Single Loop Operation (SLO - SLMCPR=1.10). **Technical Requirements Manual** 

**Revision 24** 

Unit 3 Residual Heat Removal System Cross Connect

## TR 3.5 EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEMS

## TR 3.5.1 RHR Cross-Connect

LCO 3.5.1 Two RHR pumps and associated heat exchangers and valves on an adjacent unit must be OPERABLE and capable of supplying cross-connect capability.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3

---NOTE-----

Because cross-connect capability is not a short-term requirement, a component is not considered inoperable if cross-connect capability can be restored to service within 5 hours.

## ACTIONS

	CONDITION		REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. One required RHR pump or associated heat exchanger located on the unit cross-connection in the adjacent unit		NOTE The associated diesel generator must remain OPERABLE for the remaining RHR pump. Otherwise, Condition B shall be entered.		• •
reaso valve pipe b	reason (including valve inoperability, pipe break, etc.).	A.1	Restore required pump and associated heat exchanger to OPERABLE status.	30 days
B.	RHR cross-connection flow or heat removal capability is lost.	B.1	Restore RHR cross-connection flow and heat removal capability.	20 days
C.	Required Action and associated Completion Time of Conditions A or B is not met.	C.1	Enter TRM LCO 3.0.3.	Immediately

### ACTIONS

<u>A.1</u>

Should one of the required RHR pumps or associated heat exchangers located on the unit cross-connection in the adjacent unit become inoperable, an equal capability for long-term fluid makeup to the reactor and for cooling of the containment remains OPERABLE. Because of the availability of an equal makeup and cooling capability, a 30-day repair period is justified.

## <u>B.1</u>

Should the capability for providing flow through the cross-connect lines be lost, a 20-day repair time is allowed before shutdown is required. This repair time is justified based on the very small probability for ever needing RHR pumps and heat exchangers to supply an adjacent unit.

## <u>C.1</u>

The inability to repair the cross-connect capability within the • required completion time requires the affected unit be shutdown in accordance with TRM LCO 3.0.3.

TECHNICAL SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS	TSR 3.5.1.1 The RHR pumps on the adjacent units which supply cross-connec capability are required to be demonstrated to be OPERABLE in accordance with the Inservice Testing Program.	
REFERENCES	1.	BFN Technical Specifications (version prior to standardized version)
	2.	Section 4.8, 4.12, and Appendix F.7.15 of BFN FSAR
	3.	Design Criteria BFN-50-7074, "Residual Heat Removal System - Units 2 and 3"

Technical Requirements Manual

**Revision 25** 

Unit 2 Core Operating Limits Report Revision

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 1

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 2, Cycle 12

## CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY Nuclear Fuel Division BWR Fuel Engineering Department

Prepared By:

Verified By:

Earl E. Riley, Engineering Specialist BWR Fuel Engineering

Date: 4-20-01

Date: 4/20/01

Thomas D. Beu, Fuel Project Manager BWR Fuel Engineering

Approved By:

20/01 Date: 4

James T. Robert, Manager BWR Fuel Engineering

Reviewed By:

Date: 4/20/01

M. Keck, Supervisor Browns Ferry Reactor Engineering

Reviewed By:

Date: PORC Chairman

.

## **Revision Log**

<u>Revision</u>	<u>Date</u>	Description	Affected Pages
0	3/14/2001	Initial Release for New Cycle	All
1	4/20/2001	Revise Table under Figure 9 to correct typographical error BF PER 01-004088-000	1, 2, and 20

1

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 3

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

This Core Operating Limits Report for Browns Ferry Unit 2, Cycle 12 is prepared in accordance with the requirements of Browns Ferry Technical Specification 5.6.5. The core operating limits presented here were developed using NRC-approved methods (References 1 and 2). Results from the reload analyses for Browns Ferry Unit 2, Cycle 12 are documented in Reference 3.

The following core operating limits are included in this report:

- a. Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate (APLHGR) Limit (Technical Specifications 3.2.1 and 3.7.5)
- b. Linear Heat Generation Rate (LHGR) Limit (Technical Specification 3.2.3)
- c. Minimum Critical Power Ratio Operating Limit (OLMCPR) (Technical Specifications 3.2.2, 3.3.4.1, and 3.7.5)
- d. Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Flow Biased Rod Block Trip Setting (Technical Requirements Manual Section 5.3.1 and Table 3.3.4-1)
- e. Rod Block Monitor (RBM) Trip Setpoints and Operability (Technical Specification Table 3.3.2.1-1)

1.5

f. Shutdown Margin (SDM) Limit (Technical Specification 3.1.1)

#### 2. APLHGR LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 3.2.1 AND 3.7.5)

The APLHGR limits for full power and flow conditions for each type of fuel as a function of exposure are shown in Figures 1-5. The APLHGR limits for the GE13 assemblies are for the most limiting lattice (excluding natural uranium) at each exposure point. The specific values for each lattice are given in Reference 4.

These APLHGR limits are adjusted for off-rated power and flow conditions using the ARTS factors, MAPFAC(P) and MAPFAC(F). The reduced power factor, MAPFAC(P), is given in Figure 6. Similarly, adjustments for reduced flow operation are performed using the MAPFAC(F) corrections given in Figure 7. Both factors are multipliers used to reduce the standard APLHGR limit. The most limiting power-adjusted or flow-adjusted value is taken as the APLHGR operating limit for the off-rated condition.

The APLHGR limits in Figures 1-5 are applicable for both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. The off-rated power and flow corrections in Figures 6 and 7 bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service operation. No corrections are required to the APLHGR limits for TBOOS for either rated or off-rated operation.

The APLHGR limits in Figures 1-5 are applicable for both Recirculation Pump Trip (RPT) In-Service and Out-Of-Service. The off-rated power and flow corrections in Figures 6 and 7 bound both RPT In-Service and Out-Of-Service operation. No corrections are required to the APLHGR limits for RPTOOS for either rated or off-rated operation.

For Single Recirculation Loop Operation (SLO), the most limiting of either the SLO multiplier or the off-rated MAPFAC correction is used to reduce the exposure dependent APLHGR limit. The SLO multiplier to be applied to this cycle is 0.84 (reference 3). It is not necessary to apply both the off-rated MAPFAC and SLO multiplier corrections at the same time.



## 3. LHGR LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.2.3)

The LHGR limit is fuel type dependent. For Unit 2 Cycle 12 there is only one fuel type in the core. The limit for this type is shown below:

Fuel Type	LHGR Limit
GE13	14.4 kw/ft

1
## 4. OLMCPR (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 3.2.2, 3.3.4.1, AND 3.7.5)

a. **Rated Limits - OLMCPR(100):** The MCPR Operating Limit for rated power and flow conditions, OLMCPR(100), is equal to the fuel type and exposure dependent limit shown in Figures 8 and 9. These figures apply to GE13 fuel which is the only fuel type in the Unit 2 Cycle 12 Core.

Figure 8 applies to exposure up to 2000 MWD/ST prior to EOR (end of full power capability at rated flow with normal feedwater temperature) after which Figure 9 shall be used. It is acceptable to use the more restrictive Figure 9 limits at any point in the cycle.

As noted in Figures 8 and 9, an adder of 0.03 is applied for single loop operation.

The actual OLMCPR(100) value is dependent upon the scram time testing results, as described below (ref. 10):

$$\tau = 0.0$$
 or  $\frac{\tau_{ave} - \tau_B}{\tau_A - \tau_B}$ , whichever is greater

where;  $\tau_A = 1.096$  sec

 (analytical Option A scram time limit - based on dropout time for notch position 36)

$$\tau_{ave} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i}}{n}$$
$$\tau_{B} = \mu + 1.65 * \sigma * \left[\frac{N}{n}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where;  $\mu = 0.830$  sec (mean scram time used in transient analysis - based on dropout time for notch position 36)

- $\sigma = 0.019 \text{ sec}$  (standard deviation of  $\mu$ )
- N = Total number of active rods measured in Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement SR3.1.4.1
- n = Number of surveillance rod tests performed to date in cycle
- $\tau_i$  = Scram time (dropout time) from fully withdrawn to notch position 36 for the i<sup>th</sup> rod
- b. Startup Limits: Option A OLMCPR limits ( $\tau = 1.0$ ) shall be used prior to the determination of  $\tau$  in accordance with SR 3.1.4.1.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1. Page 7

- c. Off-Rated Limits: For off-rated power and flow conditions, power-adjusted and flow-adjusted operating limits are determined from Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The most limiting power-dependent or flow-dependent value is taken as the OLMCPR for the off-rated condition.
- d. Equipment Out-Of-Service OLMCPR Limits: Rated power OLMCPR(100) limits are provided for Recirculation Pump Trip out-of-service (RPTOOS), Turbine Bypass out-of-service (TBOOS), and the combined RPTOOS/TBOOS condition in Figures 8 and 9 (reference 5). Additionally an off-rated MCPR(P) correction from Figure 10 (reference 5) shall be applied for TBOOS when the power is below Pbypass.
- e. Single Loop Operation (SLO) Limits: As noted in section 4.a above, a correction of 0.03 is to be applied to the OLMCPR(100) limits for SLO as described in the footnote of Figures 8 and 9. The same adder applies to the off-rated MCPR(F) limit as noted in the footnote to Figure 11 and to the OLMCPR value below Pbypass from Figure 10.

## 5. APRM FLOW BIASED ROD BLOCK TRIP SETTING (TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS MANUAL SECTION 5.3.1 AND TABLE 3.3.4-1)

The APRM Rod Block trip setting shall be:

S <sub>RB</sub>	≤	$(0.66(W-\Delta W) + 61\%)$	Allowable Value
S <sub>RB</sub>	≤	(0.66(W-∆W) + 59%)	Nominal Trip Setpoint (NTSP)

where:

- $S_{RB} = Rod Block setting in percent of rated thermal power (3458 MWt)$ 
  - W = Loop recirculation flow rate in percent of rated

1.5

 $\Delta W$  = Difference between two-loop and single-loop effective recirculation flow at the same core flow ( $\Delta W$ =0.0 for two-loop operation)

The APRM Rod Block trip setting is clamped at a maximum allowable value of 115% (corresponding to a NTSP of 113%).

## 6. ROD BLOCK MONITOR (RBM) TRIP SETPOINTS AND OPERABILITY (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION TABLE 3.3.2.1-1)

The RBM trip setpoints and applicable power ranges shall be as follows (refs. 7-9):

<b>RBM Trip Setpoint</b>	Allowable Value (AV)	Nominal Trip Setpoint (NTSP)	
LPSP	27%	25%	1.
IPSP	62%	60%	
HPSP	82%	80%	
LTSP - unfiltered - filtered	118.7% 117.7%	117.0% 116.0%	(1).(2
ITSP - unfiltered - filtered	113.7% 112.9%	112.0% 111.2%	(1),(2
HTSP - unfiltered - filtered	108.7% 107.9%	107.0% 106.2%	(1),(2
DTSP	90%	92%	]

- Notes: (1) These setpoints are based upon a MCPR operating limit of <u>1.25</u> using a safety limit of 1.07, as reported in references 6, 7, and 8. These setpoints bound the cycle specific minimum Option B MCPR operating limit of 1.29.
  - (2) The unfiltered setpoints are consistent with a nominal RBM filter setting of 0.0 seconds (reference 8). The filtered setpoints are consistent with a nominal RBM filter setting ≤ 0.5 seconds (reference 7).

The RBM setpoints in Technical Specification Table 3.3.2.1-1 are applicable when:

THERMAL POWER	Applicable	Notes from	
(% Rated)	MCPR <sup>(1)</sup>	Table 3.3.2.1-1	
$\geq$ 27% and < 90%	< 1.70	(a), (b), (f), (h)	dual loop
	< 1.75	(a), (b), (f), (h)	single loo
≥ 90%	< 1.40	(g)	dual loop

single loop operation

operation

dual loop operation (2)

- Notes: (1) The MCPR values shown correspond to a SLMCPR of 1.07 for dual recirculation loop operation and 1.10 for single loop operation.
  - (2) Greater than 90% rated power is not attainable in single loop operation.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 10

## 7. SHUTDOWN MARGIN (SDM) LIMIT (TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3.1.1)

The core shall be subcritical with the following margin with the strongest OPERABLE control rod fully withdrawn and all other OPERABLE control rods fully inserted.

 $SDM \ge 0.38\% dk/k$ 

## 8. REFERENCES

- 1. NEDE-24011-P-A-14, "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel", June 2000.
- 2. NEDE-24011-P-A-14-US, "General Electric Standard Application for Reactor Fuel (Supplement for United States)", June 2000.
- 3. J11-03718-10-SRLR Rev. 0, "Supplemental Reload Licensing Report for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 2 Reload 11 Cycle 12", January 2001.
- 4. J11-03718-10-MAPL Rev. 0, "Lattice-Dependent MAPLHGR Report for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 2 Reload 11 Cycle 12", January 2001.
- 5. NEDC-32774P Supplement 1 Revision 0, "Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Units 1, 2, and 3 Turbine Bypass and End-of-Cycle Recirculation Pump Trip Combination Mode Out-Of-Service", dated February 2001.
- 6. NEDC-32433P, "Maximum Extended Load Line Limit and ARTS Improvement Program Analyses for Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Unit 1, 2, and 3", dated April 1995.
- EDE-28-0990 Rev. 3 Supplement E, "PRNM (APRM, RBM, and RFM) Setpoint Calculations [ARTS/MELLL (NUMAC) - Power-Uprate Condition] for Tennessee Valley Authority Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant", dated October 1997.
- EDE-28-0990 Rev. 2 Supplement E, "PRNM (APRM, RBM, and RFM) Setpoint Calculations [ARTS/MELLL (NUMAC) - Power-Uprate Condition] for Tennessee Valley Authority Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant", dated October 1997.
- GE Letter LB#: 262-97-133, "Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Rod Block Monitor Setpoint Clarification - GE Proprietary Information", dated September 12, 1997. [L32 970912 800]
- 10.GE Letter JAB-T8019a, "Technical Specification Changes for Implementation of Advanced Methods", dated June 4, 1998. [L32 980608 800]



Figure 1 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9HTB384-12G4.0 (GE13)

Most L	imiting L	attice
for Each	Exposur	e Point

Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)
0.0	10.09	7.0	11.71	25.0	10.75
0.2	10.15	8.0	11.95	30.0	10.43
1.0	10.31	9.0	12.16	35.0	10.06
2.0	10.52	, ∿_ 10.0	12.28	40.0	9.72
3.0	10.75	12.5	12.16	45.0	9.38
4.0	10.99	15.0	11.88	50.0	9.03
5.0	11.25	17.5	11.56	55.0	8.66
6.0	11.48	20.0	11.24	55.98	8.58

These values apply to both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values apply to both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service.



Figure 2 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB406-13GZ (GE13)

Most L	imiting	Lattice	
for Each	Exposi	are Poin	ıt

Average Planar Exposure	LHGR Limit	Average Planar Exposure	LHGR Limit	Average Planar Exposure	LHGR Limit
IGWUIST		(GWU/SI)	(KW/R)	rewoisti	CKW/TTI
0.0	10.66	1.0	11./5	25.0	11.33
0.2	10.69	8.0	11.87	30.0	10.76
1.0	10.76	9.0	11.99	35.0	10.29
2.0	10.89	10.0	12.12	40.0	9.85
3.0	11.06	12.5	12.16	45.0	9.45
4.0	11.24	15.0	12.14	50.0	9.03
5.0	11.45	17.5	12.03	55.0	8.66
6.0	11.61	20.0	11.78	59.01	8.38

These values apply to both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values apply to both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service.



Figure 3 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB401-14GZ (GE13)

Most L	Imiting Lattice	
for Each	Exposure Point	

Average Planar LHGR Exposure Limit		Average Planar LHGR Exposure Limit		Average Planar LHGR Exposure Limit		
0.0	10.49	7.0	11.44	25.0	11.10	
0.2	10.52	8.0	11.59	30.0	10.55	
1.0	10.59	9.0	11.72	35.0	10.15	
2.0	10.74	···. 10.0	11.85	40.0	9.80	
3.0	10.90	12.5	11.84	45.0	9.47	
4.0	11.02	15.0	11.84	50.0	9.01	
5.0	11.15	17.5	11.83	55.0	8.56	
6.0	11.29	20.0	11.71	58.59	8.23	

These values apply to both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values apply to both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service.



Figure 4 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB391-13GZ (GE13)

#### Most Limiting Lattice for Each Exposure Point

Average Planar LHGR		Average Planar	Average Planar LHGR		Average Planar LHGR	
(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(icw/ft)	(GWD/ST)	(kw/ft)	
0.0	10.75	7.0	11.45	25.0	10.79	
0.2	10.80	8.0	11.55	30.0	10.24	
1.0	10.87	9.0	11.66	35.0	9.85	
2.0	10.96	10.0	11.77	40.0	9,49	
3.0	11.06	12.5	11.71	45.0	9.15	
4.0	11.15	15.0	11.63	50.0	8.78	
5.0	11.25	17.5	11.55	55.0	8.45	
6.0	11.35	20.0	11.39	57.82	8.27	

These values apply to both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values apply to both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service.



Figure 5 APLHGR Limits for Bundle Type GE13-P9DTB412-2G7.0/11G5.0 (GE13)

Most	Limiting	Lattice
for Eac	h Exposi	ure Point

Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)	Average Planar Exposure (GWD/ST)	LHGR Limit (kw/ft)
0.0	10.38	7.0	11.58	25.0	11.12
0.2	10.45	8.0	11.73	30.0	10.78
1.0	10.57	9.0	11.86	35.0	10.46
2.0	10.72	10.0	12.00	40.0	10.01
3.0	10.88	12.5	12.03	45.0	9.49
4.0	11.05	15.0	11.96	50.0	9.04
5.0	11.22	17.5	11.80	55.0	8.66
6.0	11.40	20.0	11.59	57.99	8.45

These values apply to both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values apply to both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 17





MAPLHGR(P) = MAPFAC(P) x MAPLHGRstd

MAPLHGRstd = Standard MAPLHGR Limits

For	25% > P	NO THERMAL LIMITS MONITORING REQUIRED		
For	25% <u>&lt;</u> P < 30%	: MAPFAC(P) = $0.60 + 0.005(P-30\%)$ For $\leq 50\%$ CORE FLOW : MAPFAC(P) = $0.46 + 0.005(P-30\%)$ For $> 50\%$ CORE FLOW		
For	30% <u>&lt;</u> P	: MAPFAC(P) = 1.0 + 0.005224(P-100%)		

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service These values bound both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1. Page 18

Figure 7 Flow Dependent MAPLHGR Factor - MAPFAC(F)



FOR Wc (% Rated Core Flow) >= 30%

MAPLHGR(F) = MAPFAC(F) x MAPLHGRstd MAPLHGRstd = Standard MAPLHGR Limits MAPFAC(F) = MINIMUM( 1.0 , Af \* Wc /100 + Bf)

Af and Bf are Constants Given Below:

Maximum Core Flow (% Rated)	, AI	Bf
102.5	0.6784	0.4861
107.0	0.6758	0.4574

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values bound both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service.

The 102.5% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 100% rated flow. The 107% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 105% rated flow (ICF).

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 19

# Figure 8 MCPR Operating Limit for All GE13 Bundles

For Cycle Exposures up to EOR-2000 MWD/ST (see note 3)



Exposure Range	Out-Of-Service	Option A (1) Tau=1.0	Option B Tau=0.0
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	na	1.31	1.29
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	Turbine Bypass (TBOOS)	1.35	1.30
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	Recirculation Pump Trip (RPTOOS)	1.35	1.30
BOC12 to (EOR-2000 MWD/ST)	TBOOS and RPTOOS	1.39	1.34

#### Notes

1. Use this value prior to performing scram time testing per SR 3.1.4.1.

2. The values shown are for dual recirculation loop operation (1.07 SLMCPR). Increase any value shown by 0.03 for Single Loop Operation (SLO:SLMCPR=1.10).

3. EOR refers to the end of Full Power Capability at Rated Flow with normal Feedwater Heating.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 20

# Figure 9 MCPR Operating Limit for All GE13 Bundles

Optional for All Cycle Exposures - Required after EOR-2000 MWD/ST is reached (see note 3)



Exposure Range	Out-Of-Service	Option A (1) Tau=1.0	Option B Tau=0.0
BOC12 to EOC12	na	1.31	1.29
BOC12 to EOC12	Turbine Bypass (TBOOS)	1.35	1.32
BOC12 to EOC12	Recirculation Pump Trip (RPTOOS)	1,40	1.32
BOC12 to EOC12	TBOOS and RPTOOS	1.43	1.35

#### Notes

1. Use this value prior to performing scram time testing per SR 3.1.4.1.

- 2. The values shown are for dual recirculation loop operation (1.07 SLMCPR). Increase any value shown by 0.03 for Single Loop Operation (SLO:SLMCPR=1.10).
- 3. EOR refers to the end of Full Power Capability at Rated Flow with normal Feedwater Heating.

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 21

TVA Nuclear Fuel Core Operating Limits Report

.



Figure 10 Power Dependent MCPR(P) Limits

OPERATING LIMIT MCPR(P) = Kp \* OLMCPR(100)

For	P < 25%	: NO THERMAL LIMITS MONITORING REQUIRED NO LIMITS SPECIFIED		
For	25% ≤ P < Pbypass	: (Pbypass = 30%) : Kp = (Kpyp + 0.02/30%-P)]/OLMCPR(100)		
		Turbine Bypass and RPT In-Service, or RPT Out-Of-Service (RPTOOS)		
		Kbyp = 1.92 For $\leq$ 50% CORE FLOW		
		Kbyp = 2.15 For > 50% CORE FLOW		
		Turbine Bypass Out-Of-Service (TBOOS)		

		Turbine Bypass Out-Of-Service (TBOOS)			
		Kbyp = 1.96	For <	<	50% CORE FLOW
		Kbyp = 2.93	For	>	50% CORE FLOW
For	30% ≤ P < 45%	: Kp = 1.28 + 0.01340(45%-P)			
For	45% ≤ P < 60%	: Kp = 1.15 + 0.00867(60%-P)			
For	60% <u>≤</u> P	: Kp = 1.00 + 0.00375(100%-P)			

Note: The OLMCPR below Pbypass is based upon the dual recirculation loop SLMCPR of 1.07. Add 0.03 to the OLMCPR in Single Loop Operation (SLO - SLMCPR=1.10).

TVA-COLR-BF2C12 Revision 1, Page 22 (Final)





For Wc  $\geq$  30%

: MCPR(F) = MAX(1.20, Af Wc/100 + Bf)

Wc = % Rated Core Flow

Af and Bf are Constants Given Below:

New result of a second second second	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A	
Ala vinuma		k vi k k totok k k vi k toto
11/06/0101-1422-12		
LOIB FIOW	AT	BI
(% Rated)		Reserver weige blev state state
	1	
102.5	-0.571	1 655
	+	
1070	1 0.500	4 607
107.0	-0.500	1.09/

These values bound both Turbine Bypass In-Service and Out-Of-Service. These values bound both Recirculation Pump Trip In-Service and Out-Of-Service

The 102.5% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 100% rated flow. The 107% maximum flow line is used for operation up to 105% rated flow (ICF).

This figure is based upon the dual recirculation loop operation SLMCPR of 1.07. Add 0.03 to these values for Single Loop Operation (SLO - SLMCPR=1.10).