

February 1995

Mr. David L. Rehn  
Vice President, Catawba Site  
Duke Power Company  
4800 Concord Road  
York, South Carolina 29745

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS - CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION,  
UNIT 1 (TAC NO. M90625)

Dear Mr. Rehn:

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing."

This notice relates to your application for amendment dated October 18, 1994, requesting changes to Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.1.2 to defer the next scheduled containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) at Catawba Unit 1 for one outage, from the end-of-cycle (EOC) 8 refueling outage (scheduled for February 1995) to EOC 9 (scheduled for June 1996). Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Appendix J, requires that three ILRTs be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period at a nuclear station. "Approximately equal intervals" is defined in Catawba's TS as 40 plus or minus 10 months. The proposed one-time change would allow Catawba to extend that interval to 60 plus or minus 10 months.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Robert E. Martin, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-413

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION**

Docket File            OGC            R.Crlenjak,RII  
PUBLIC                OPA  
PD23 Reading        D.Hagan  
SVarga                OC/LFDCB  
JZwolinski            E.Merschhoff,RII

FILE NAME: G:\CATAWBA\IND90625.NOT

OFFICE	PDII-3/LA	PDII-3/RM	PDII-3/D		
NAME	L. BERRY	R. MARTIN	H. BERKOW		
DATE	1/13/95	2/1/95	2/1/95		

9502130074 950201  
PDR ADOCK 05000413  
PDR

ORIGINAL RECORD COPY

090051

INDO FILE CENTER COPY

DFOL  
2/1/95



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 1, 1995

Mr. David L. Rehn  
Vice President, Catawba Site  
Duke Power Company  
4800 Concord Road  
York, South Carolina 29745

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENTS - CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION,  
UNIT 1 (TAC NO. M90625)

Dear Mr. Rehn:

The Commission has requested the Office of the Federal Register to publish the enclosed "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing."

This notice relates to your application for amendment dated October 18, 1994, requesting changes to Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.1.2 to defer the next scheduled containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) at Catawba Unit 1 for one outage, from the end-of-cycle (EOC) 8 refueling outage (scheduled for February 1995) to EOC 9 (scheduled for June 1996). Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Appendix J, requires that three ILRTs be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period at a nuclear station. "Approximately equal intervals" is defined in Catawba's TS as 40 plus or minus 10 months. The proposed one-time change would allow Catawba to extend that interval to 60 plus or minus 10 months.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Robert E. Martin".

Robert E. Martin, Senior Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-413

Enclosure: Notice

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. David L. Rehn  
Duke Power Company

Catawba Nuclear Station

cc:

Mr. Z. L. Taylor  
Regulatory Compliance Manager  
Duke Power Company  
4800 Concord Road  
York, South Carolina 29745

North Carolina Electric Membership  
Corporation  
P. O. Box 27306  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

A. V. Carr, Esquire  
Duke Power Company  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001

Senior Resident Inspector  
4830 Concord Road  
York, South Carolina 29745

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esquire  
Winston and Strawn  
1400 L Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

Regional Administrator, Region II  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
101 Marietta Street, NW. Suite 2900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

North Carolina Municipal Power  
Agency Number 1  
1427 Meadowood Boulevard  
P. O. Box 29513  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Max Batavia, Chief  
Bureau of Radiological Health  
South Carolina Department of  
Health and Environmental Control  
2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Mr. T. Richard Puryear  
Nuclear Technical Services Manager  
Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
Carolinas District  
2709 Water Ridge Parkway, Suite 430  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28217

Mr. G. A. Copp  
Licensing - EC050  
Duke Power Company  
526 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001

County Manager of York County  
York County Courthouse  
York, South Carolina 29745

Saluda River Electric  
P. O. Box 929  
Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Richard P. Wilson, Esquire  
Assistant Attorney General  
South Carolina Attorney General's  
Office  
P. O. Box 11549  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Ms. Karen E. Long  
Assistant Attorney General  
North Carolina Department of Justice  
P. O. Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency  
121 Village Drive  
Greer, South Carolina 29651

Elaine Wathen, Lead REP Planner  
Division of Emergency Management  
116 West Jones Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-1335

Dayne H. Brown, Director  
Division of Radiation Protection  
N.C. Department of Environment,  
Health and Natural Resources  
P. O. Box 27687  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL.DOCKET NO. 50-413NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT TO  
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE, PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS  
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION, AND OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 issued to Duke Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1, located in York County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendment would change Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.1.2 to defer the next scheduled containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) at Catawba Unit 1 for one outage, from the end-of-cycle (EOC) 8 refueling outage (scheduled for February 1995) to EOC 9 (scheduled for June 1996). Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Appendix J, requires that three ILRTs be performed at approximately equal intervals during each 10-year service period at a nuclear station. "Approximately equal intervals" is defined in Catawba's TS as 40 plus or minus 10 months. The proposed one-time change would allow Catawba to extend that interval to 60 plus or minus 10 months.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in

9502130079 950201  
PDR ADOCK 05000413  
P PDR

accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The following analysis is presented, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91, to demonstrate that the proposed change will not create a Significant Hazard Consideration.

1. The proposed change will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Containment leak rate testing is not an initiator of any accident; the proposed interval extension does not affect reactor operations or accident analysis, and has no radiological consequences. Therefore, this proposed change will not involve an increase in the probability or consequences of any previously evaluated accident.

2. The proposed change will not create the possibility of any new accident not previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not affect normal plant operations or configuration, nor does it affect leak rate test methods. The test history at Catawba (no ILRT [intergrated leak rate test] failures) provides continued assurance of the leak tightness of the containment structure.

3. There is no significant reduction in a margin of safety.

It has been documented in draft NUREG-1493 that an increase in the ILRT interval from 1 test every 3 years to 1 test every 10 years would result in a population exposure risk in the vicinity of 5 representative plants from .02% to .14%. The proposed change included herein, an increase from 40 [plus or minus] 10 months to 60 [plus or minus] 10 months, represents a small fraction of that already very small increase in risk. Therefore, it may be concluded that no significant reduction in a margin of safety will occur.

Based on the above, no significant hazards consideration is created by the proposed change.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received

may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 8, 1995 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature

of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a



supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Herbert N. Berkow:


petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Mr. Albert Carr, Duke Power Company, 422 South Church Street, Charlotte, North Carolina, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 18, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of February 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

  
Robert E. Martin, Project Manager  
Project Directorate II-3  
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation