

Docket Nos. 50-413
and 50-414

August 20, 1990

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
P. O. Box 1007
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1007

Dear Mr. Tucker:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 77 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35
AND AMENDMENT NO. 71 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52 -
CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TACs 71400 and 71401)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 77 to Facility Operating License NPF-35 and Amendment No. 71 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated November 28, 1988, as revised February 15, 1990.

The amendments change the TSs by revising the administrative control requirements for the Catawba Safety Review Group.

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation supporting the amendments is also enclosed. Notice of issuance of the amendments will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

151

Kahtan N. Jabbour
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 77 to NPF-35
- 2. Amendment No. 71 to NPF-52
- 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:
 Docket File
 NRC PDR
 Local PDR
 PDII-3 Reading
 SVarga
 GLainas
 DMatthews
 Ringram
 Catawba Plant File

KJabbour
 OGC
 DHagan
 EJordan
 ACRS (10)
 GHill (8)
 WJones
 JCalvo
 Fallenspach
 GPA/PA
 OC/LFDCB

c/p/

9008240201 900820
PDR ADOCK 05000413
F PDC

OFC	: PDII-3	: PDII-3	: OGC	: D:PDII-3	:
NAME	: Ringram	: KJabbour	: L. Dewey	: DMatthews	:
DATE	: 8/3/90	: 8/3/90	: 8/6/90	: 8/17/90	:
Docket Name:	71400/71401	7/8/90			

DFol

[Signature]

Mr. H. B. Tucker
Duke Power Company

cc:

A.V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Bishop, Cook, Purcell and Reynolds
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20005

North Carolina MPA-1
Suite 600
3100 Smoketree Ct.
P.O. Box 29513
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Ms. S. S. Kilborn
Area Manager, Mid-South Area
ESSD Projects
Westinghouse Electric Corp.
MNC West Tower - Bay 239
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

County Manager of York County
York County Courthouse
York, South Carolina 29745

Richard P. Wilson, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
S.C. Attorney General's Office
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency
121 Village Drive
Greer, South Carolina 29651

Mr. Alan R. Herdt, Chief
Project Branch #3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Catawba Nuclear Station

North Carolina Electric Membership
Corp.
3400 Sumner Boulevard
P.O. Box 27306
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Saluda River Electric Cooperative,
Inc.
P.O. Box 929
Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Senior Resident Inspector
Route 2, Box 179N
York, South Carolina 29745

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
South Carolina Department of Health
and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
N.C. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Robert G. Morgan
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
P.O. Box 33189
Charlotte, North Carolina 28241



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY
NORTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION
SALUDA RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.
DOCKET NO. 50-413
CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1
AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 77
License No. NPF-35

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 filed by the Duke Power Company, acting for itself, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation and Saluda River Electric Cooperative, Inc. (the licensees) dated November 28, 1988, and revised February 15, 1990, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

9008240202 900820
PDR ADDOCK 05000413
PDC

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 77, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, are hereby incorporated into this license. Duke Power Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Kelley N. Tallian for
David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: August 20, 1990



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY NO. 1

PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

DOCKET NO. 50-414

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 71
License No. NPF-52

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 filed by the Duke Power Company, acting for itself, North Carolina Municipal Power Agency No. 1 and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency (the licensees) dated November 28, 1988, and revised February 15, 1990, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 71, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto, are hereby incorporated into this license. Duke Power Company shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Robert N. Jablon

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: August 20, 1990

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 77

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-35

DOCKET NO. 50-413

AND

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 71

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-52

DOCKET NO. 50-414

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Removed Pages

6-6
-

Insert Pages

6-6
6-6a

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

6.2.3 CATAWBA SAFETY REVIEW GROUP

FUNCTION

6.2.3.1 The Catawba Safety Review Group (CSRG) shall function to provide the review of plant design and operating experience for potential opportunities to improve plant safety; evaluation of plant operations and maintenance activities; and, to advise management on the overall quality and safety of plant operations. The CSRG shall make recommendations for revised procedures, equipment modifications, or other means of improving plant safety to appropriate station/corporate management.

COMPOSITION

6.2.3.2 The CSRG shall be composed of at least five, dedicated, full-time engineers located onsite. Each shall have either:

- (1) A bachelor's degree in engineering or related science and at least 2 years professional level experience in his/her field, at least 1 year of which experience shall be in the nuclear field; or,
- (2) At least 5 years of nuclear experience and hold or have held a Senior Reactor Operator license; or
- (3) At least 8 years of professional level experience in his/her field, at least 5 years of which experience shall be in the nuclear field.

A minimum of 50% of these personnel shall have the qualifications specified in (1) above.

RESPONSIBILITIES

6.2.3.3 The CSRG shall be responsible for:

- a. Review of selected plant operating characteristics and other appropriate sources of plant design and operating experience information for awareness and incorporation into the performance of other duties.
- b. Review of the effectiveness of corrective actions taken as a result of the evaluation of selected plant operating characteristics and other appropriate sources of plant design and operating experience information.
- c. Review of selected programs, procedures, and plant activities, including maintenance, modification, operational problems, and operational analysis.

ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

RESPONSIBILITIES (continued)

- d. Surveillance of selected plant operations and maintenance activities to provide independent verification* that they are performed correctly and that human errors are reduced to as low as practicable.
- e. Investigation of selected unusual events and other occurrences as assigned by Station Management or the Manager of Nuclear Safety Assurance.

AUTHORITY

6.2.3.4 The CSRG shall report to and advise the Manager of Nuclear Safety Assurance, on those areas of responsibility specified in Section 6.2.3.

RECORDS

6.2.3.5 Records of activities performed by the CSRG shall be prepared and maintained for the life of the station. Summary reports of activities performed by the CSRG shall be forwarded each calendar month to the Manager of Nuclear Safety Assurance.

6.2.4 SHIFT TECHNICAL ADVISOR

6.2.4.1 The Shift Technical Advisor shall serve in an advisory capacity to the Shift Supervisor.

6.3 UNIT STAFF QUALIFICATIONS

6.3.1 Each member of the unit staff shall meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971 for comparable positions, except for the Radiation Protection Manager, who shall meet or exceed the qualifications of Regulatory Guide 1.8, September 1975. The licensed Operators and Senior Operators shall also meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of the supplemental requirements specified in Sections A and C of Enclosure 1 of the March 28, 1980 NRC letter to all licensees.**

6.4 TRAINING

6.4.1 A retraining and replacement training program for the unit staff shall be maintained under the direction of the Station Manager and shall meet or exceed the requirements and recommendations of Section 5.5 of ANSI N18.1-1971 and Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 55 and the supplemental requirements specified in Sections A and C of Enclosure 1 of the March 28, 1980 NRC letter to all licensees, and shall include familiarization with relevant industry operational experience identified by the CSRG.

*Not responsible for sign-off function.

**Except that the experience and other considerations described in Duke Power Company's letters dated August 28, 1985, and July 8, 1986, are acceptable for the six and two applicants for SRO licenses identified therein, respectively.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO.77 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35
AND AMENDMENT NO. 71 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52
DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL.
CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-413 AND 50-414

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated November 28, 1988, as revised February 15, 1990, Duke Power Company, et al. (the licensee), proposed amendments to change Technical Specification (TS) 6.2.3, "Catawba Safety Review Group," (CSRG) for Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2.

The changes would clarify and supplement the specified function, composition, responsibilities, reporting, and records requirements for the CSRG consistent with Item I.B.1.2 of NUREG-0737. Specifically:

- o The function of the CSRG in TS 6.2.3.1 would be revised to specifically define the function of the group.
- o The composition of the CSRG in TS 6.2.3.2 would be revised to add the qualification requirements for members of the group.
- o The responsibilities requirement of TS 6.2.3.3 would be revised to replace a general statement with an itemized list of specific responsibilities.
- o The reporting of the CSRG, specified by TS 6.2.3.4, would be revised to reflect that they report to the Manager of Nuclear Safety Assurance, rather than to the Director, Nuclear Safety Review Board.
- o The recordkeeping and distribution requirements of TS 6.2.3.5 would be revised to require that records of CSRG activities be maintained for the life of the station, and that reports of CSRG activities be forwarded to the Manager of Nuclear Safety Assurance.

2.0 EVALUATION

In late 1988, Duke Power Company made several changes in the organization of its Nuclear Production Department. Under this reorganization, the CSRG reports to the Manager of Nuclear Safety Assurance instead of to the Director of the Nuclear Safety Review Board. The proposed changes to the TSs would

9008240203 900820
PDR ADDCK 05000413
PDC

reflect this organizational change. The change in reporting requirements for the CSRG is purely an administrative change in that the Manager of Nuclear Safety Assurance also serves as the Director of the Nuclear Safety Review Board.

The NRC staff has reviewed the proposed changes. We find the proposed TSs to be consistent with the licensee's most recent organizational changes. We also find that the revised TSs will provide the necessary level of specificity to ensure effective control regarding the function, composition, responsibilities, reporting and records requirements for the CSRG. The proposed changes continue to provide assurance of compliance with NUREG-0737, Item I.B.1.2, and are, therefore, acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (54 FR 6192) on February 8, 1989. The licensee's subsequent letter of February 15, 1990, revised the initial application regarding composition to provide increased specificity with respect to the qualifications of the CSRG. It did not alter the Commission's initial determination of no significant hazards consideration. The Commission consulted with the State of South Carolina. No public comments were received, and the State of South Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: K. Jabbour, PDII-3/DRP-I/II
F. Allenspach, LPEB

Dated: August 20, 1990