

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

August 21, 1997

NRC INFORMATION NOTICE 97-67: FAILURE TO SATISFY REQUIREMENTS FOR
SIGNIFICANT MANIPULATIONS OF THE CONTROLS FOR
POWER REACTOR OPERATOR LICENSING

Addressees

All holders of operating licenses for nuclear power reactors except those who have permanently ceased operations and have certified that fuel has been permanently removed from the reactor vessel.

Purpose

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing this information notice to alert addressees that operator license applicants are required to perform at least five significant control manipulations on the facility for which a license is sought (Section 55.31(a)(5) of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations [10 CFR 55.31(a)(5)]). Licensees have erred on the interpretation of the requirements of this section of 10 CFR Part 55. It is expected that recipients will review information for applicability to their facilities and consider actions, as appropriate, to avoid similar problems. However, suggestions contained in this information notice are not NRC requirements; therefore, no specific action or written response is required.

Description of Circumstances

Operator license applicants are required to provide evidence that they, as trainees, have successfully manipulated the controls of the facility for which an operator or senior operator license is sought. At a minimum, applicants must perform five significant control manipulations which affect reactivity or power level on the facility for which the license is sought (10 CFR 55.31(a)(5)). Controls as defined in 10 CFR 55 are apparatus and mechanisms, the manipulation of which directly affects the reactivity or power level of the reactor. Licensees have erred on the interpretation of what constitutes a significant control manipulation.

During a review of operator license applications for the Pilgrim facility, NRC inspectors determined that the requirements for operator and senior operator applicants to perform at least five significant control manipulations on the facility were not satisfied. The Pilgrim licensee incorrectly assumed that a single 30 percent decrease in power with recirculation pumps was equivalent to three 10 percent or greater power reductions and counted this as three of the five required significant control manipulations. The licensee contacted other licensees regarding the practice at their facilities and reported that there was a wide range of interpretations by the other licensees contacted.

9708150270

IN 97-67
August 21, 1997
Page 2 of 4

The NRC administered examinations to the individuals but did not issue operator licenses until the facility submitted revised applications and provided details of how the applicants satisfied the requirements to perform the required significant control manipulations. As documented in "Pilgrim Examination Report 50-293/97-006 and Notice of Violation," (Accession No. 9707290261), dated July 18, 1997, the NRC determined that a violation of NRC

requirements had occurred because two applicants had not completed the five significant control manipulations required by 10 CFR 55.31(a)(5) in an acceptable manner.

Discussion

Before 1987, the operator license application required the licensee to certify that the applicant had learned to operate the facility and also to provide the details of the training and experience of the applicant. A 1987 NRC rule changed the requirement to provide the details of the applicant's training and experience and requested instead a simple check mark in the boxes on the application form (NRC-398) to indicate that the applicant has successfully completed an Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) accredited operator training program that is based on a systems approach to training, and that a certified simulation facility is used. I have added clarification of the phrase "learned to operate" (Federal Register Vol. 52, No. 57 Wednesday, March 25, 1987, page 9456) and NRC added 10 CFR 55.31(a)(5) to specify the minimum number of control manipulations that the applicant had to conduct on the facility.

Examples of significant control manipulations are contained in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.8, Revision 2, "Qualification and Training of Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants."

Paragraph C.1.h. of RG 1.8 states in part that:

Control room operating experience ...should include manipulation of controls of the facility during a minimum of five reactivity changes. Every effort should be made to have a diversity of reactivity changes for each applicant. Startups, shutdowns, large load changes, and changes in rod programming are some examples and could be accomplished by manually using such systems as rod control, chemical shim control, or recirculation flow.

Additional examples of significant control manipulations include, but are not limited to, items A-F of 10 CFR 55.59(c)(3) (on-the-job training for requalification):

IN 97-67
August 21, 1997
Page 3 of 4

- A. Plant or reactor startups to include a range that reactivity feedback from nuclear heat addition is noticeable and heatup rate is established.
- B. Plant shutdown.
- C. Manual control of steam generators or feedwater or both during startup and shutdown.
- D. Boration or dilution during power operation.
- E. Significant (≥ 10 percent) power changes in manual control or recirculation flow.
- F. Reactor power change of 10 percent or greater where load change is performed with load limit control or where flux, temperature, or speed control is on manual (for HTGR).

As defined in 10 CFR 55.59(c)(3)(E), a 10 percent or greater power change is considered to be a significant control manipulation. Additional clarification was provided in NUREG-1262, "Answers to Questions at Public Meetings Regarding Implementation of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 55 on Operators'

Licenses," dated November 1987, which was transmitted to all licensees on November 12, 1987, as an attachment to Generic Letter 87-16 (Accession No. 8712030029). Some of the guidance included in NUREG 1262 is reiterated below; licensees should refer to NUREG 1262 for additional details.

The situation in which an applicant performs a 30 percent change in power in a short period (i.e., on the same shift) with no diversity of controls would be evaluated as one significant control manipulation. The situation in which an applicant reduces power from 100 percent to 95 percent and then increases power from 95 percent to 100 percent would be evaluated as one significant control manipulation.

The situation when an applicant performs a 50 percent change in power in a short period of time with diversity of controls (recirculation flow and control rods, or chemical shim and control rods) can be evaluated as two significant control manipulations. The situations when an applicant reduces power by 10 percent then holds there for performance of other work or testing and then later in the shift increases power by 10 percent can be evaluated as two significant control manipulations.

Diversity of significant control manipulations on the plant are expected; however, it is not required. If the applicant does not have experience in diverse significant control manipulations, this fact should be noted in the comments section of NRC form 398.

August 21, 1997

Page 4 of 4

This information notice requires no specific action or written response. If you have any questions about the information in this notice, please contact one of the technical contacts listed below or the appropriate Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) project manager.

/s/'d

Marylee M. Slosson, Acting Director
Division of Reactor Program Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Technical contacts:	Brian Hughes, NRR 301-415-1096 E-mail: bxh1@nrc.gov	John Pellet, RIV (817) 860-8159 E-mail: jlp@nrc.gov
	Donald Florek, RI (610) 337-5185 E-mail: djf1@nrc.gov	Thomas Peebles, RII (404) 562-4638 E-mail: tap@nrc.gov
	Mel Leach, RIII (630) 829-9705 E-mail: mnl@nrc.gov	

SIMULATION FACILITY CERTIFICATION

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 120 hours. This information is used to certify a simulation facility. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0138), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

INSTRUCTIONS: This form is to be filed for initial certification, recertification (if required), and for any change to a simulation facility performance testing plan made after initial submittal of such a plan. Provide the following information and check the appropriate box to indicate reason for submittal.

FACILITY	DOCKET NUMBER 50—
LICENSEE	DATE

This is to certify that

- The above named facility licensee is using a simulation facility consisting solely of a plant-referenced simulator that meets the requirements of 10 CFR 55.45.
- Documentation is available for NRC review in accordance with 10 CFR 55.45(b).
- This simulation facility meets the guidance contained in ANSI/ANS 3.5-1985 or ANSI/ANS 3.5-1993, as endorsed by NRC Regulatory Guide 1.149.

If there are any EXCEPTIONS to the certification of this item, CHECK HERE and describe fully on additional pages as necessary.

NAME (or other identification) AND LOCATION OF SIMULATION FACILITY.

SIMULATION FACILITY PERFORMANCE TEST ABSTRACTS ATTACHED. (For performance tests conducted in the period ending with the date of this certification.)

DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE TESTING COMPLETED. (Attach additional pages as necessary and identify the item description being continued.)

SIMULATION FACILITY PERFORMANCE TESTING SCHEDULE ATTACHED. (For the conduct of approximately 25 percent of performance tests per year for the four-year period commencing with the date of this certification.)

DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE TESTING TO BE CONDUCTED. (Attach additional pages as necessary and identify the item description being continued.)

PERFORMANCE TESTING PLAN CHANGE. (For any modification to a performance testing plan submitted on a previous certification.)

DESCRIPTION OF PERFORMANCE TESTING PLAN CHANGE (Attach additional pages as necessary and identify the item description being continued.)

RECERTIFICATION (Describe corrective actions taken, attach results of completed performance testing in accordance with 10 CFR 55.45(b)(5)(v). (Attach additional pages as necessary and identify the item description being continued.)

Any false statement or omission in this document, including attachments, may be subject to civil and criminal sanctions. I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document and attachments is true and correct.

SIGNATURE — AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	TITLE	DATE
---------------------------------------	-------	------

In accordance with 10 CFR 55.5, Communications, this form shall be submitted to the NRC as follows:

BY MAIL ADDRESSED TO:	DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001	BY DELIVERY IN PERSON TO THE NRC OFFICE AT:	ONE WHITE FLINT NORTH 11555 ROCKVILLE PIKE ROCKVILLE, MD
-----------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------