

May 26, 1989

Docket Nos.: 50-413
and 50-414

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

SUBJECT: ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 65 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35
AND AMENDMENT NO. 59 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52 - CATAWBA
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TACS 69505/69506)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 65 to Facility Operating License NPF-35 and Amendment No. 59 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TS) in response to your application dated August 29, 1988.

The amendments modify TS Tables 4.3-8 and 4.3-9 by adding a footnote regarding the location of the alarm annunciators for radiation monitors EMF-57 and EMF-58, and correct a reference in Table 4.11.1 to EMF-58 which should be EMF-57.

A copy of the related safety evaluation supporting Amendment No. 65 to Facility Operating License NPF-35 and Amendment No. 59 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 is enclosed.

Notice of issuance of amendments will be included in the Commission's next bi-weekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

151

Kahtan N. Jabbour, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 65 to NPF-35
- 2. Amendment No. 59 to NPF-52
- 3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MRood
5/16/89

PM:PDII-3
KJabbour:
5/17/89

PDII-3/DRPI/II
DMatthews
5/26/89

JFOI
11

opi

8906020371 890526
PDR ADDCK 05000413
P FDC

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 65, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

K. W. Jabbar
for David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification Changes

Date of Issuance: May 26, 1989

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

PDII-3
MRood
05/10/89

KWS
PDII-3
KJabbar:1s
05/10/89

WMM
5/10/89
PPPB
L Cunningham
05/12/89

OGC
CPW
05/16/89

KWS
D:PDII-3
DMatthews
05/26/89

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 59, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

K. M. Jabbar
for David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification Changes

Date of Issuance: May 26, 1989

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

PDII-3
MRood
05/10/89

KNT
PDII-3
KJabbar:ls
05/10/89

*4.4.89
5/10/89*
RRPB
[Signature]
L. Cunningham
05/12/89

OGC
CPW
05/16/89

KNT for
D:PDII-3
DMatthews
05/26/89

DATED: May 26, 1989

AMENDMENT NO. 65 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35 - Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1
AMENDMENT NO. 59 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52 - Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PD#II-3 R/F

Catawba R/F

S. Varga	14-E-4
G. Lainas	14-H-3
D. Matthews	14-H-25
M. Rood	14-H-25
K. Jabbour	14-H-25
OGC-WF	15-B-18
B. Grimes	9-A-2
E. Jordan	MNBB-3302
W. Jones	P-130A
T. Meek (8)	P1-137
ACRS (10)	P-135
GPA/PA	17-F-2
ARM/LFMB	AR-2015
E. Butcher	11-F-23
D. Hagan	MNBB-3302
L. Cunningham	11-D-23

Mr. H. B. Tucker
Duke Power Company

Catawba Nuclear Station

cc:

A.V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Bishop, Cook, Purcell and Reynolds
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20005

North Carolina MPA-1
Suite 600
3100 Smoketree Ct.
P.O. Box 29513
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Ms. S. S. Kilborn
Area Manager, Mid-South Area
ESSD Projects
Westinghouse Electric Corp.
MNC West Tower - Bay 239
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

County Manager of York County
York County Courthouse
York, South Carolina 29745

Richard P. Wilson, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
S.C. Attorney General's Office
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency
100 Memorial Drive
Greer, South Carolina 29651

Mr. Alan R. Herdt, Chief
Project Branch #3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

North Carolina Electric Membership
Corp.
3400 Sumner Boulevard
P.O. Box 27306
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Saluda River Electric Cooperative,
Inc.
P.O. Box 929
Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Senior Resident Inspector
Route 2, Box 179N
York, South Carolina 29745

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
South Carolina Department of Health
and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
N.C. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. Peter G. LeRoy
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
P.O. Box 33189
Charlotte, North Carolina 28241



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION

SALUDA RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-413

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 65
License No. NPF-35

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 filed by the Duke Power Company acting for itself, North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation and Saluda River Electric Cooperative, Inc., (licensees) dated August 29, 1988 complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8906020374 890526
PDR ADOCK 05000413
P PDC

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 65, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Katherine N. Talbot
David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification Changes

Date of Issuance: May 26, 1989



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY NO. 1

PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

DOCKET NO. 50-414

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 59
License No. NPF-52

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 filed by the Duke Power Company acting for itself, North Carolina Municipal Power Agency No. 1 and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, (licensees) dated August 29, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 59, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Keith N. Talbot for

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:
Technical Specification Changes

Date of Issuance: May 26, 1989

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 65

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-35

DOCKET NO. 50-413

AND

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 59

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-52

DOCKET NO. 50-414

Replace the following pages of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed pages. The revised pages are identified by Amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Amended Page

3/4 3-84

3/4 3-92

3/4 11-2

TABLE 4.3-8 (Continued)

TABLE NOTATIONS

- (1) The ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST shall also demonstrate that automatic isolation of this pathway and control room alarm annunciation* occur if any of the following conditions exist:
 - a. Instrument indicates measured levels above the Alarm/Trip Setpoint, or
 - b. Circuit failure (Alarm only), or
 - c. Instrument indicates a downscale failure (Alarm only).
- (2) The initial CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall be performed using one or more of the reference standards certified by the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) or using standards that have been obtained from suppliers that participate in measurement assurance activities with NBS. These standards shall permit calibrating the system over its intended range of energy and measurement range. For subsequent CHANNEL CALIBRATION, sources that have been related to the initial calibration shall be used.
- (3) CHANNEL CHECK shall consist of verifying indication of flow during periods of release. CHANNEL CHECK shall be made at least once per 24 hours on days on which continuous, periodic, or batch releases are made.

*For EMF-57, the alarm annunciation is in the Monitor Tank Building Control Room and on the MTB Control Panel Remote Annunciator panel.

TABLE 4.3-9 (Continued)

TABLE NOTATIONS

- * At all times except when the isolation valve is closed and locked.
- ** During WASTE GAS HOLDUP SYSTEM operation.
- *** At all times.

- (1) The ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST shall also demonstrate that automatic isolation of this pathway and control room alarm annunciation occur if any of the following conditions exists:
 - a. Instrument indicates measured levels above the Alarm/Trip Setpoint, or
 - b. Circuit failure (Alarm only), or
 - c. Instrument indicates a downscale failure (Alarm only).
- (2) The ANALOG CHANNEL OPERATIONAL TEST shall also demonstrate that control room alarm annunciation* occurs if any of the following conditions exists:
 - a. Instrument indicates measured levels above the Alarm Setpoint, or
 - b. Circuit failure, or
 - c. Instrument indicates a downscale failure.
- (3) The initial CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall be performed using one or more of the reference standards certified by the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) or using standards that have been obtained from suppliers that participate in measurement assurance activities with NBS. These standards shall permit calibrating the system over its intended range of energy and measurement range. For subsequent CHANNEL CALIBRATION, sources that have been related to the initial calibration shall be used.
- (4) The CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall include the use of standard gas samples in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. In addition, a standard gas sample of nominal four volume percent hydrogen, balance nitrogen, shall be used in the calibration to check linearity of the hydrogen analyzer.
- (5) The CHANNEL CALIBRATION shall include the use of standard gas samples in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. In addition, a standard gas sample of nominal four percent oxygen, balance nitrogen, shall be used in the calibration to check linearity of the oxygen analyzer.

*For EMF-58, the alarm annunciation is in the Monitor Tank Building Control Room and on the MTB Control Panel Remote Annunciator panel.

TABLE 4.11-1

RADIOACTIVE LIQUID WASTE SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM

LIQUID RELEASE TYPE	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	MINIMUM ANALYSIS FREQUENCY	TYPE OF ACTIVITY ANALYSIS	LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD) ⁽¹⁾ (µCi/ml)
1. Batch Waste Release Tanks ⁽²⁾ Any tank which discharges liquid wastes by either liquid effluent monitor, EMF-49 or EMF-57	P Each Batch	P Each Batch	Principal Gamma Emitters ⁽³⁾	5x10 ⁻⁷
			I-131	1x10 ⁻⁶
	P One Batch/M	M	Dissolved and Entrained Gases (Gamma Emitters)	1x10 ⁻⁵
				P Each Batch
	P Each Batch	Q Composite ⁽⁴⁾	Gross Alpha	
			P Each Batch	Q Composite ⁽⁴⁾
	P Each Batch	Q Composite ⁽⁴⁾		
			2. Continuous Releases ⁽⁵⁾ Conventional Waste Water Treatment Line	Continuous ⁽⁶⁾
I-131	1x10 ⁻⁶			
M Grab Sample	M	Dissolved and Entrained Gases (Gamma Emitters)		1x10 ⁻⁵
				Continuous ⁽⁶⁾
Continuous ⁽⁶⁾	M Composite ⁽⁶⁾	Gross Alpha		
		Continuous ⁽⁶⁾		Q Composite ⁽⁶⁾
Continuous ⁽⁶⁾	Q Composite ⁽⁶⁾			



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 65 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35
AND AMENDMENT NO. 59 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52

DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL.

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-413 AND 50-414

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 29, 1988, Duke Power Company, et al., (the licensee) proposed amendments to revise Technical Specification (TS) Table 4.3-8, Table Notation 1, and Table 4.3-9, Table Notation 2, by adding a footnote regarding the locations of the alarm annunciators for radiation monitors EMF-57 and EMF-58, respectively. Also, the proposed amendments would correct a reference in Table 4.11.1 to EMF-58 which should be EMF-57.

2.0 EVALUATION

The proposed amendments would add similar footnotes to Table 4.3-8, Table Notation 1, and Table 4.3-9, Table Notation 2, regarding the locations of alarm annunciators for radiation monitors EMF-57 and EMF-58, respectively. These annunciators are located in the monitor tank building (MTB) control room and on the MTB remote annunciator panel which is located in the auxiliary building in accordance with the design of this system. Also, the proposed amendments would change a reference in Table 4.11-1 under liquid release type, item 1, to radiation monitor EMF-58. This monitor, EMF-58, is a gaseous release monitor. The liquid release monitor which should be referenced in Table 4.11-1 is EMF-57.

Based on its review of the licensee's request, the staff concludes that the changes are administrative, will provide clarity to the TS's, have no impact on the design or operation of the station, and would not pose an undue risk to public health and safety. Therefore, they are acceptable.

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve changes to the installation or use of facility components located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational exposure. The NRC staff has made a determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR

8906020375 890526
PDR ADOCK 05000413
P PIC

51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission made a proposed determination that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration which was published in the Federal Register (53 FR 50325) on December 14, 1988. The Commission consulted with the state of South Carolina. No public comments were received, and the state of South Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: K. Jabbour, PDII-3/DRP-1/II

Dated: May 26, 1989