

July 28, 1988

Docket Nos.: 50-413
and 50-414

Mr. H. B. Tucker, Vice President
Nuclear Production Department
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

Dear Mr. Tucker:

SUBJECT ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 50 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35 AND
AMENDMENT NO. 43 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52 - CATAWBA
NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TACS 67347/67348)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 50 to Facility Operating License NPF-35 and Amendment No. 43 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 for the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications in response to your application dated February 5, 1988 as superseded by a letter dated April 1, 1988.

The amendments change Technical Specification 5.3.1 "Fuel Assemblies" to provide increased flexibility in the substitution of solid stainless steel rods and open water channels for fuel rods in reconstitutable fuel assemblies to be reinserted in the reactor core during a refueling outage. The amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

A copy of the related safety evaluation supporting Amendment No. 50 to Facility Operating License NPF-35 and Amendment No. 43 to Facility Operating License NPF- 52 is enclosed.

Enclosed is a copy of a Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses which has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Kahtan N. Jabbour, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No.50 to NPF-35
2. Amendment No.43 to NPF-52
3. Safety Evaluation
4. F. R. Notice

cc w/enclosures: BB08050214 BB0728
PDR ADDCK 05000413
P PNU

PDII-3
MRood
0 7/25/88

KNS
PDII-3
KJabbour
0 7/25/88

KNS
PDII-3
DMatthews
07/25/88

DF
1/1

Mr. H. B. Tucker
Duke Power Company

Catawba Nuclear Station

cc:

A.V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242

North Carolina Electric Membership
Corp.
3400 Sumner Boulevard
P.O. Box 27306
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Bishop, Liberman, Cook, Purcell
and Reynolds
1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Saluda River Electric Cooperative,
Inc.
P.O. Box 929
Laurens, South Carolina 29360

North Carolina MPA-1
Suite 600
3100 Smoketree Ct.
P.O. Box 29513
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0513

Senior Resident Inspector
Route 2, Box 179N
York, South Carolina 29745

S. S. Kilborn
Area Manager, Mid-South Area
ESSD Projects
Westinghouse Electric Corp.
MNC West Tower - Bay 239
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

County Manager of York County
York County Courthouse
York South Carolina 29745

Mr. Heyward G. Shealy, Chief
Bureau of Radiological Health
South Carolina Department of Health
and Environmental Control
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Richard P. Wilson, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
S.C. Attorney General's Office
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
N.C. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency
100 Memorial Drive
Greer, South Carolina 29651

Spence Perry, Esquire
General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Room 840
500 C Street
Washington, D. C. 20472

Mr. Michael Hirsch
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Office of the General Counsel
Room 840
500 C Street, S.W.
Washington, D. C. 20472

Brian P. Cassidy, Regional Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency,
Region I
J. W. McCormach POCH
Boston, Massachusetts 02109



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION

SALUDA RIVER ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

DOCKET NO. 50-413

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 50
License No. NPF-35

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 5, 1988, as superseded by a letter dated April 1, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

8808050261 880728
PDR ADOCK 05000413
P PNU

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 50, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: July 28, 1988

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MRood
6/29/88

^{DSH by}
PM:PDII-3
DHood:sw
6/30/88

man
NRR:SRXB
W. Hodges
6/30/88

WJ
OGC-WF
M. J. ...
7/8/88

KNJ
D:PDII-3
DMatthews
7/28/88



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DUKE POWER COMPANY

NORTH CAROLINA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY NO. 1

PIEDMONT MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY

DOCKET NO. 50-414

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 43
License No. NPF-52

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment to the Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2 (the facility) Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 filed by the Duke Power Company (the licensee) dated February 5, 1988, as superseded by a letter dated April 1, 1988, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, as amended, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is hereby amended by page changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachments to this license amendment, and Paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-52 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 43, are hereby incorporated into the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects-I/II

Attachment:
Technical Specification
Changes

Date of Issuance: July 28, 1988

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

LA:PDII-3
MRobb
6/29/88

DSIT for
PM:PDII-3
DHood:sw
6/30/88
K Johnson

W.Hodges
NRR:SRXB
W. Hodges
6/30/88

OGC-WF
OGC-WF
W. Matthews
7/8/88

KMJ for
D:PDII-3
DMatthews
7/28/88

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 50

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-35

DOCKET NO. 50-413

AND

TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 43

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-52

DOCKET NO. 50-414

Replace the following page of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed page. The revised page is identified by Amendment number and contains a vertical line indicating the area of change.

Amended Page

5-6

DESIGN FEATURES

DESIGN PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE

5.2.2 The reactor containment vessel is designed and shall be maintained for a maximum internal pressure of 15 psig and a temperature of 328°F.

5.3 REACTOR CORE

FUEL ASSEMBLIES

5.3.1 The core shall contain 193 fuel assemblies with each fuel assembly nominally containing 264 fuel rods clad with Zircaloy-4, except that substitutions of fuel rods by filler rods consisting of Zircaloy-4 or stainless steel, or by vacancies, may be made in fuel assemblies if justified by cycle-specific reload analyses using NRC-approved methodology. Should more than 30 rods in the core, or 10 rods in any assembly, be replaced per refueling, a special report describing the number of rods replaced will be submitted to the commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 30 days after cycle startup. Each fuel rod shall have a nominal active fuel length of 144 inches. Reload fuel shall be similar in physical design to the initial core loading and shall have a maximum enrichment of 4.0 weight percent U-235 with a maximum enrichment tolerance of ± 0.05 weight percent U-235.

CONTROL ROD ASSEMBLIES

5.3.2 The core shall contain 53 full-length control rod assemblies. The full-length control rod assemblies shall contain a nominal 142 inches of absorber material of which 102 inches shall be 100% boron carbide and remaining 40-inch tip shall be 80% silver, 15% indium, and 5% cadmium. All control rods shall be clad with stainless steel tubing.

5.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

DESIGN PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE

- 5.4.1 The Reactor Coolant System is designed and shall be maintained:
- a. In accordance with the Code requirements specified in Section 5.2 of the FSAR, with allowance for normal degradation pursuant to the applicable Surveillance Requirements,
 - b. For a pressure a 2485 psig, and
 - c. For a temperature of 650°F, except for the pressurizer which is 680°F.

VOLUME

5.4.2 The total water and steam volume of the Reactor Coolant System is 12,040 \pm 100 cubic feet at a nominal T_{avg} of 525°F.

5.5 METEOROLOGICAL TOWER LOCATION

5.5.1 The meteorological tower shall be located as shown in Figure 5.1-1.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 50 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35
AND AMENDMENT NO. 43 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52
DUKE POWER COMPANY, ET AL.
DOCKET NOS. 50-413 AND 50-414
CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

INTRODUCTION

By letter dated April 1, 1988, Duke Power Company, et al., (the licensee) proposed amendments to change Technical Specification (TS) 5.3.1 "Fuel Assemblies" to provide increased flexibility in the substitution of solid stainless steel rods and open water channels (i.e., vacancies) for fuel rods in reconstitutable fuel assemblies to be reinserted in the reactor core during a refueling outage. Presently, TS 5.3.1 requires that each fuel assembly contain 264 fuel rods clad with Zircaloy-4, except that limited substitutions of fuel rods with filler rods consisting of Zircaloy-4 or stainless steel, or by vacancies, may be made in peripheral fuel assemblies if justified by cycle-specific reload analyses. The revised TS 5.3.1 would require that each fuel assembly nominally contain 264 fuel rods clad with Zircaloy-4, except that substitutions of fuel rods by filler rods consisting of Zircaloy-4 or stainless steel, or by vacancies, may be made in fuel assemblies if justified by cycle-specific reload analyses using NRC-approved methodology. The proposed revision would also state that should more than 30 rods in the core, or 10 rods in any assembly, be replaced per refueling, a special report describing the number of rods replaced would be submitted to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 30 days after cycle startup.

The licensee's letter of April 1, 1988 superseded portions of a previous request dated February 5, 1988, which was not accepted by the NRC because it did not clearly specify requirements for analyses by the licensee to be performed for each reload using NRC-approved methodology.

EVALUATION

The proposed change provides increased flexibility by the removal of "limited substitutions" and "peripheral fuel assemblies." Under the proposed change, limitations on fuel rod substitution or omissions and limitations regarding core locations are those implicit in the justifying analyses required to be performed by the licensee for each fuel cycle using NRC-approved methodology to demonstrate that existing design limits and safety analyses continue to be met.

The term "NRC-approved methodology" includes those methodologies acknowledged in the FSAR and applied in support of issuance of the original operating licenses for Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Additionally, it includes those subsequent methodologies which have been submitted to and accepted by the staff after the issuance of the Catawba operating licenses.

For example, by letter dated March 13, 1985, the NRC staff approved Duke Power Company's topical report DPC-NF-2010 titled "McGuire Nuclear Station 1/Catawba Nuclear Station Physics Methodology for Reload Design," dated April 1984. As noted in NRC Generic Letter 83-11, "Licensee Qualifications for Performing Safety Analyses in Support of Licensing Actions," each licensee or vendor who intends to use a safety analysis methodology to support licensing actions must demonstrate their proficiency in using the methodology by submitting verification performed by them, not others. Thus, methodologies approved by the NRC for a specific vendor may be used by that vendor in support of Catawba reload design and analysis; use of that methodology by other than that vendor does not constitute "NRC-approved methodology" unless specifically authorized by the NRC.

The proposed flexibility is intended to provide for improved fuel performance by permitting timely removal of individual fuel rods which are found during a refueling outage to be leaking or are deemed to be candidates for future leakage. These improvements in the licensee's fuel performance program will provide for reductions in future occupational radiation exposure and plant radiological releases. The licensee's present goal for fuel reliability improvement (Reference a) is that the cycle average steady-state Iodine-131 activity, corrected for tramp contribution and normalized to a common purification rate, remain below 0.02 microcuries per gram. This corresponds to about 12 leaking fuel rods. The licensee's goal is to achieve one-half the present goal, or 0.01 microcuries per gram, by 1990 and beyond. This will be achieved, in part, by an action plan of outage inspections and reconstitution; if the I-131 activity exceeds 0.05 microcuries per gram any time during the cycle, then all of the reconstitutable assemblies to be reinserted will be examined by special ultrasonic testing (UT) equipment for defects in individual failed rods and results used for reconstitution decisions. Fuel handling, UT, and reconstitution of failed assemblies of a reconstitutable top-nozzle design would be conducted in parallel during refueling outages. The licensee estimates the fuel improvement program will reduce the total station occupational dose by at least 5 to 10 percent. Radiological releases from the station during normal operation would also be significantly reduced because of improved fuel performance.

The requirement for special reporting is consistent with existing TS 6.9.2 and is proposed in response to the NRC's request to be informed in the event a significant deviation from past fuel performances should be observed during a refueling outage.

Accordingly, we find that the proposed revision of TS 5.3.1 does not result in any significant adverse change in the process for determining the adequacy of reload designs and associated safety analyses. The licensee will continue to justify each cycle-specific reload by analyses using NRC-approved methodology in order to demonstrate that existing design limits and safety analyses criteria are met in advance of cycle operation. The proposed change does not increase the probability or consequences of accidents. As discussed above, no adverse changes are being made in the types or amounts of effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The licensee will continue to keep the NRC informed in a timely manner regarding any significant adverse change in its fuel performance program. Therefore, we find the proposed change acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32 the Commission has determined that issuing these amendments will have no significant impact on the environment (53 FR 25396).

CONCLUSION

The Commission issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Opportunity for Hearing which was published in the Federal Register (53 FR 18181) on May 20, 1988. The Commission consulted with the state of South Carolina. No public comments were received, and the state of South Carolina did not have any comments.

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

REFERENCES:

- (a) Memorandum by Darl Hood, "Summary of March 28, 1988 Meeting on TS Changes Regarding Use of Steel Rods and Open Water Channels in Reconstitutable Fuel Assemblies," dated April 1, 1988, Docket Nos. 50-413/414 and 50-369/370.

Principal Contributor: K. Jabbour, PD#II-3/DRP-I/II
L. Lois, SRBX, DEST

Dated: July 28, 1988

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONDUKE POWER COMPANY, ET ALDOCKET NOS. 50-413 and 50-414NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TOFACILITY OPERATING LICENSES

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has issued Amendment No. 50 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-35 and Amendment No. 43 to Facility Operating License NPF-52 issued to Duke Power Company, et. al., (the licensee), which revised the Technical Specifications for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in York County, South Carolina. The amendments were effective as of the date of issuance.

The amendments changed Technical Specification 5.3.1 "Fuel Assemblies" to provide increased flexibility in the substitution of solid stainless steel rods and open water channels for fuel rods in reconstitutible fuel assemblies to be reinserted in the reactor core during a refueling outage.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment and Opportunity for Hearing in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 20, 1988 (53 FR 18181). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following this notice.

The Commission has prepared an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (53 FR 25396) related to the action and has concluded that an environmental impact statement is not warranted and that the issuance of this amendment will not have a significant adverse effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the application for amendment dated April 1, 1988, which superseded portions of a previous request by letter dated February 5, 1988, (2) Amendment No. 50 to License No. NPF-35, and Amendment No. 43 to License No. NPF-52, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation and Environmental Assessment.

All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., and at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina, 29730. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Projects I/II.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 28th day of July 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original signed by:

Kahtan N. Jabbour, Project Manager
 Project Directorate II-3
 Division of Reactor Projects I/II

*SEE PREVIOUS PAGE FOR CONCURRENCES

*PDII-3
 MRood
 06/29/88

*PDII-3
 KJabbour
 06/30/88

*OGC
 07/08/88

KNS
 PDII-3
 DMatthews
 07/28/88

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File
PD II-3 Reading
MRood
KJabbour

July 28, 1988

DOCKET NO. S. 50-413/414

MEMORANDUM FOR: Rules and Procedures Branch
Division of Rules and Records
Office of Administration

FROM: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 (Duke Power Company, et al.)

One signed original of the *Federal Register* Notice identified below is enclosed for your transmittal to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. Additional conformed copies (5) of the Notice are enclosed for your use.

- Notice of Receipt of Application for Construction Permit(s) and Operating License(s).
- Notice of Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permit(s) and Facility License(s); Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License.
- Notice of Receipt of Application for Facility License(s); Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report; and Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility License(s) and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.
- Notice of Availability of NRC Draft/Final Environmental Statement.
- Notice of Limited Work Authorization.
- Notice of Availability of Safety Evaluation Report.
- Notice of Issuance of Construction Permit(s).
- Notice of Issuance of Facility Operating License(s) ~~or~~ Amendment(s).
- Order.
- Exemption.
- Notice of Granting Exemption.
- Environmental Assessment.
- Notice of Preparation of Environmental Assessment.
- Other: _____

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

Contact: Marilee Rood
Phone: 21487

OFFICE ▶	PD II-3					
SURNAME ▶	MRood					
DATE ▶	7/28/88					

DATED: July 28, 1988

AMENDMENT NO. 50 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-35 - Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 1
AMENDMENT NO. 43 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-52 - Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
PD#II-3 R/F
McGuire R/F
S. Varga
G. Lainas
D. Matthews
M. Rood
K. Jabbour
OGC-WF
B. Grimes
E. Jordan
W. Jones
T. Barnhart (8)
ACRS (10)
GPA/PA
ARM/LFMB
E. Butcher
D. Hagan
W. Hodges, SRXB