### **APPENDIX I**

# Operations Branch Assignment Check Sheet: (Includes ES-201-1 & ES-501-1 Rev. 8 information)

[] Required NRC-auth. exams only for line 5.

as of: 12/19/00

Chief: M MURPHY.

Facility/Task: GG IN
Task Start Date: 6/4/01

rask start bate. 0/4/01								
	ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUE DATE	INIT	DATE				
0	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement	Dec 6, 2000	JLP	12/19/2000				
1	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned	Dec 6, 2000	JLP	12/19/2000				
2	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues	Dec 6, 2000	JLP	12/19/2000				
3	Corp. Notification Letter Sent	Dec 6, 2000	Juhr	1/11/01				
3а	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Apr 20, 2001	NA	NA				
4	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Mar 6, 2001	Ar	4/11/01				
5	[Reference Material Due]	Feb 4, 2001	NH	NA				
6	Integrated Exam Outlines Due	Feb 4, 2001	mad	2/12/01				
7	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent	Feb 18, 2001	Jugar 1	2/16/01				
8	Preliminary Applications Due	May 5, 2001	MAN	3/5/01				
9	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due	Apr 5, 2001	* Short	4/6/01				
10 <sup>*</sup>	Independent Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts	Apr 15, 2001	M	4/16/01				
11 <sup>*</sup>	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev.	Apr 15, 2001	AT	4/17/01				
12	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac.	Apr 15, 2001	man!	5/16/01				
13	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared	May 21, 2001	Detail	5/21/01				
14 <sup>*</sup>	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams	May 28, 2001	AT	5/30/01				
15	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent	May 28, 2001	Total	5/23/01				
16	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized	May 28, 2001	Tigns	5/20/01				
17	Exam/Insp Material to Team	May 28, 2001	Digit	5/30/01				
18	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Jun 16, 2001	mont	6/8/01				
19	NRC Written Grading Completed	Jun 19, 2001	ment	6/12/01				
20	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Jun 19, 2001	Jens	6/18/01				
21	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Jun 29, 2001	July	6/1401				
22 <sup>•</sup>	NRC BC Review Completed	Jun 30, 2001	MAP	07/18/01				
23	RPS/IP Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Jul 5, 2001	hand	7/15/01				
24	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Jul 5, 2001	Jugar	7/17/01				
25	Package Closed Out	Jul 26, 2001	high	7/15/01				
	Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility	Contact Notified	of Results	3				
	ote Supervisor or Independent Reviewer initials required in for lin	* Note Supervisor or Independent Reviewer initials required in for lines 10, 11, 14, & 22.						

Facility	GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Examination: June 4, 20	001					
Item	Task Description		Initials				
1.	a Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES 404	a y	b*	2.00l			
w	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.      b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with	1	SP	2.1			
R I T	Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all knowledge and ability categories are appropriately sampled.  c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	m	M	neles			
T E	d. Assess whether the repetition from previous examination outlines is excessive.	N	SP				
N 	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of	r	he	men			
s	normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.		47	mm			
i M	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	~	M	ment			
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	m	49	my			
3. W / T	<ul> <li>a. Verify that:</li> <li>(1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks,</li> <li>(2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination,</li> <li>(3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and</li> <li>(4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.</li> </ul>	m	4	shif			
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	W	49	acaj			
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	a	49	men			
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	N	49	am			
4.	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	p	49	Went			
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	r	4	ned			
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	m	4	many			
RA	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	N	40	min			
-	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	N	40	John			
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Pate	44	men			
a. Auth	a. Author: Michael K-Rasch Wiele Kush 28 2001						
	b. Facility Reviewer(*): Stephen Humphries the land the semantic 2/8/2001						
c. Chie	f Examiner: MICHAEL E. MURPHY Malellity	2/16/	01	-			
H	Supervisor: ANTHONY GODY OT Hody applicable for NRC-developed examinations.	/11/0	<b>,</b>				

						Initial	
	Item Description				а	b*	c#
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and	applicable	to facility		M	4	Zin
2.	<ul><li>a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions</li><li>b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available</li></ul>					AP	am
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and per Section D.2.d of ES-401	SRO que	stions are ap	propriate	W	4	Var
4.	Question duplication from the license screening/arindicated below (check the item that applies) and the audit exam was systematically and randon the audit exam was completed before the licensee certifies that there is no duplication the license exam was prepared by the NRC	annears a	nnronriate			Af	May
5.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 50	Bank	Modified	New	/	4.6	
	percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	36	5	59		Af	Jaga
6.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on	Memo	ory	C/A	W	1.0	
	the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	48	52		<b>F</b> '	49	Men
7.	References/handouts provided do not give away a	answers			W	44	man
Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline; deviations are justified						4	24
9.	Question psychometric quality and format meet Es	S, Append	lix B, guidelir	nes	W	4	Rent
10. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet					W	4	men
c. NRC	11 / 11 // // -	Name/Sunfate	Signature Klaz Mresi Lyly			4/4	ate   <u> Zeo </u>  5 <u> Zec </u>  7 0

Facility: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Exam: JUNE 4, 2001 Exam Level: ROSRO							
						Initial	
	Item Description			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Α	b*	c#
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and	applicable	to facility	y	We	W	Ray
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions     b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.	able			M	4	nas
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and per Section D.2.d of ES-401	SRO que	stions ar	e appropriate	w	4	may
4.	4. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate. the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or the license exam was prepared by the NRC					M	men
5.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 50	Bank	Modifie	ed New	,		
	percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	40	6	54	W	44	men
6.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on	Mem	Memory C/A		1	10	
	the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	49	49 51		M	Af	mont
7.	References/handouts provided do not give away a	answers			W	M.	am
8.	Question content conforms with specific K/A state approved examination outline; deviations are justi	ments in t	he previo	ously	W	M	ans
9.	Question psychometric quality and format meet Es	S, Append	dix B, guid	delines	W	4	ment
10. The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet					W	M	men
c. NRC	Printed  A Series From Hundrics  C Chief Examiner(*) M.E. Murahy  Regional Supervisor(*) ANTHONY GODY  * The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable NRC reviews are required.  # See special instructions (Section F.2.c) for Items	ATA e for NRC-	develope	ash	s; two in	4/2	ate   /2001  5/2001  7/01  0/01

# **Operating Test Quality Checklist**

Form ES-301-3

Facility:	GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Examination: 06/04/200	)1 – 0	6/08/	2001
	1. GENERAL CRITERIA		Initial	ls
	I. GENERAL ORITERIA	a	, b	С
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	<b>P</b>	4	201
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	W	M	nas
C.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	W	4	MA
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	M	W	agus
е.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	W	4	Just 1
	2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:		***	
	<ul> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>specific performance criteria that include: <ul> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> <li>restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	W	M	Jugar
b.	The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.		W	Zarel
С.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	<b>P</b>	4	may
d.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	W	4/-	am
	3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			
а.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	W	4	april
c. NRC C	Chief Examiner (*) M. E. Murphy Distribution Supervisor (*) Anthony Gooy OT Goog	4 4 	pate   4/2   15/2   17/	2001 2001 101
(*) The fa	acility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests; two independent NRC reviews are require	d.		

#### Facility: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Exam: 06/04/2001 - 06/08/2001 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 **QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES** Initials 1 The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events. The scenarios consist mostly of related events. 3. Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event. 5. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics. 6. Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators 7. have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. 8. The simulator modeling is not altered. 9. The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios. Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All 10. other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301. 11. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios). Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events 12. specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios). 13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position. TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D) Actual Attributes Total malfunctions (5-8) 6 / 6 / 7 Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2) 2 / 2 / 3 3. Abnormal events (2-4) 3 / 3 / 2 Major transients (1-2) 1 / 1 / 1 5. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2) 2 / 2 / EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2) 6. 1 / 1 / 2

Critical tasks (2-3)

7.

5 / 2 / 2

			Initia	als		
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		a	b	С	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrument service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ation may be out of	r	4	Zepril	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		~	44	min	
3.	Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)					
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	into the scenario	W	4	Tousan)	
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		Jul .	W	Jugar	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	team to obtain	in	4	July	
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.				Nisa,	
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		<b>W</b>	4	WW (	
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.				Juhn	
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.				John 1	
11.	11. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).					
12.	<ol> <li>Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).</li> </ol>					
13.	13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.		W	41	Intri	
TARG	ET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)	4 Actual Attributes			1	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	5 / /	W	44	many	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / /	W	4	Mary	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / /	M	4	Zvikn	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / /	W	4	min	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / /	M	4/	ngnl	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / /	N	4/	MEN	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	4 / /	W	2/	ndert	

	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initia	IIS	т	
			a	b	С	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrument service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ation may be out of	W	M	and a	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.				Jusn	
3.	B. Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable)					
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.					
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		1	14	Zer	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	team to obtain	~	M	My	
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.					
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		M	4	Torga	
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.					
<ol> <li>Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301.</li> </ol>					Jusa	
All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).					Julyan	
12. Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).					JUN	
13. The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.			M	14/	NOW	
TARG	ET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)	4 5 Actual Attributes	**		-	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6 / 5 /	M	44	and	
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 1 /	W	Aff.	July	
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 2 /	M	M	Mari	
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 /	N	49	ZIEW	
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 1 /	W	4	Juhr	
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 1 /	W	4	19W	
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	4 / 2 /	N	M	an.	

Transient and Event Checklist Form E								
GRAND GULF	NUCLEAR STATION	N D	ATES: 0	6/04/20	01 – 06/	08/2001		
Applicant Type	Evolution	Minimum		Scenari	o Numb	er		
Туре	Туре	Number	ATC 1	BOP 2		TOTAL		
	Reactivity	1	1	0		1		
	Normal	1	0	1		1		
RO 1,3,5,7	Instrument/ Component	4	3	4		7		
	Major	1	1	1		2		
	Reactivity	1						
	Normal	0						
As RO	Instrument/ Component	2						
	Major	1						
SRO-I								
	Reactivity	0						
	Normal	1						
As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2						
	Major	1						
	Reactivity	0						
	Normal	1						
SRO-U	Instrument/ Component	2						

Ins	truc	nitr	ne.
1113	แน	ハい	HO.

(1)

Major

- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
  Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
  Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward minimum requirement. (2)
- (3)

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Revision 0 1/31/2001

GRAND GULF	DATES: 06/04/2001 - 06/08/2001					
Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	BOP 1	Scenario ATC 2	Numb	er TOTAL
	Reactivity	1	0	1		1
	Normal	1	1	1		2
(RO 2,4,6)	Instrument/ Component	4	4	3		7
	Major	1	1	1		2
**************************************	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
As RO	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I					<u></u>	
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				·
WAT **	Donati it.					
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
SRO-U	Instrument/ Component	2				***************************************
	Major	1				

Inst	tructi	ions:

- (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each
- (4)
- Enter the operating test number and Form 2000. Evolution type.

  Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

  Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward minimum requirement. (5)

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Revision 0 1/31/2001

GRAND GULF N	UCLEAR STATIO	N D	ATES: 06/04/2001 – 06	/08/2001		
Applicant Type	Evolution	Minimum	Scenario Number			
Туре	Туре	Number	ATC BOP 2 3	TOTAL		
	Reactivity	1	1 0	1		
	Normal	1	1 0	1		
RO 8	Instrument/ Component	4	3 3	6		
	Major	1	1 1	2		
	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	0				
As RO	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				
SRO-I						
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
SRO-U	Instrument/ Component	2				

Instri	ictions:

- (1)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.

  Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

  Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward minimum requirement. (6)

1

(7)

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Revision 0 1/31/2001

Major

<b>GRAND GULF N</b>	DATES: 06/04/2001 - 06/08/2001						
Applicant Evolution N		Minimum Number	Scenario Number				
			1		3	TOTAL	
	Reactivity	1					
	Normal	1					
RO	Instrument/ Component	4					
	Major	1					
	Reactivity	1			1	1	
	Normal	0			1	1	
As RO	Instrument/ Component	2			2	2	
	Major	1			1	1	
(SRO-I)							
	Reactivity	0	0			0	
	Normal	1	1			11	
As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2	5			5	
	Major	1	1			1	
		_					
	Reactivity	0					
	Normal	11					
SRO-U	Instrument/ Component	2					
	Major	1					

Inst	riir	TIA	nc.
HIST	ıuı	·LIV	113.

- (1)
- (8)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.

  Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

  Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count foward minimum requirement. (9)

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Revision 0 1/31/2001

randi da se es indical de como escales como ocaca do a la como de como el como el como el como el como el como

		LF NUCLEAR STATION	N D	ATES: 0	6/04/20 CKUP S	01 – 06/0 SCENAR	8/2001 RIOS	
	Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	4	Scenario 5	o Numbe	er	- - -
		Reactivity		1	1			
		Normal		0	1			
	RO ATC	Instrument/ Component		2	1			
	17 T 4 and 12 and	Major		1	1			
		Reactivity		0	0			
		Normal		1	0			
	As RO BOP	Instrument/ Component		2	2		***************************************	
		Major		1	1			
	SRO-I	F		3	1			
		Reactivity		0	0			
		Normal		11	1		sussess of	
:	As SRO SS	Instrument/ Component		4	3			
		Major		1	1			
		Reactivity						
		Normal						
	SRO-U	Instrument/ Component						
1	- (1)	Major						
Instruction	•	Enter the operating test evolution type.						
	(2)	Reactivity manipulations conditions (refer to Section 1)	s may be cond tion D.4.d) but	ucted ur must be	nder nori significa	mal or <i>col</i> ant per Se	<i>ntrolled a</i> ection C.	abnormal 2.a of
	(3)	Appendix D. Whenever practical, bot included: only those that	th instrument a	nd comp	onent m	nalfunctio	ns shoul	d be
Author:	included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements							<u></u>

Chief Examiner:

#### **Grand Gulf Nuclear Station**

Dates 6/4/2001 - 6/8/2001

		Applicant #1 (RO)SRO-I/SRO-U			olicant #2 RO-I/SR		Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U
Competencies	sc	ENARIO	)	sc	ENARIC	)	SCENARIO
	ATC 1	BOP 2		ВОР 1	ATC 2		
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 3, 4, 5, 6		4, 5, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6		
Diagnose Events and Conditions	3, 4, 5, 7	5, 6		4, 5, 7	3, 4, 5, 6		
Understand Plant and System Response	1, 3, 4, 5, 7	1, 3, 5, 6		4, 5, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL	ALL		ALL	ALL		
Operate Control Boards (2)	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 3, 5, 6		1, 2, 4, 5, 7	2, 3, 4, 5, 6		
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	ALL	ALL		ALL	ALL		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A		

#### Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.
- (4) Only applicable to RO positions at GGNS.

#### Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:	Mask	
Chief Examiner:	parting	

Revision 0 1/31/2001

#### **Grand Gulf Nuclear Station**

Dates 6/4/2001 - 6/8/2001

	1 / 1	Applicant #1 (RO)SRO-I/SRO-U		Applicant #2 RO/\$RO-I/SRO-U			Applicant #3 RO( <b>SRO</b> -I)SRO-U		
Competencies	sc	ENARIO			SCENA	RIO	SCENARIO		
	ATC 1	BOP 2			ATC 2	BOP 3	ss 1		ATC 3
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 3, 4, 5, 6			2, 3, 4, 5, 6	4, 5	3, 4, 5		2, 3, 5
Diagnose Events and Conditions	3, 4, 5, 7	5, 6			3, 4, 5, 6	4, 5	3, <b>4</b> , 5, 6, 7		3, 5
Understand Plant and System Response	1, 3, 4, 5, 7	1, 3, 5, 6			2, 3, 4, 5, 6	3, 4, 5	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7		1, 2, 3, 5
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL	ALL			ALL	ALL	ALL		ALL
Operate Control Boards (2)	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	1, 3, 5, 6			2, 3, 4, 5, 6	3, 4, 5	N/A (4)		1, 2, 3, 5
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	ALL	ALL			ALL	ALL	ALL		ALL
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	ALL		N/A
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	3		N/A

#### Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.
- (4) Only applicable to RO positions at GGNS.

#### Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: Chief Examiner:

Revision 0 1/31/2001

#### **Grand Gulf Nuclear Station**

Dates 6/4/2001 - 6/8/2001

BACKUP SCENARIOS	1 / 7 ''	ant #1 -I/SRO-U	1 / /	ant #2 -I/SRO-U	Ap <del>plis</del> ant #3 RO( <b>SRO-I</b> )SRO-U		
Competencies	SCEN	IARIO	SCEN	IARIO	SCENARIO		
	ATC 4	ATC 5	BOP 4	BOP <b>5</b>	SS 4	SS 5	
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	3, 4, 5, 6	ALL	2, 4, 6	4, 5	2, 3, 4, 5, 6	ALL	
Diagnose Events and Conditions	3, 4, 6	3, 5	4, 6	4, 5	3, 4, 6	3, 4, 5	
Understand Plant and System Response	1, 3, 5, 6	ALL	2, 4, 6	4, 5	3, 4, 6	ALL	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	
Operate Control Boards (2)	1, 3, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 5	2, 4, 6	4, 5	N/A	N/A	
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	ALL	ALL	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NONE	3, 4	

#### Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.
- (4) Only applicable to RO positions at GGNS.

#### Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

Chief Examiner:

Facility: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Exam: June 1, ZOO1	Exam L	evel: R	O/SRO				
	ļ	Initials	3				
Item Description	а	b	С				
Clean answer sheets copied before grading	m	4/	pay				
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	m	Af	MA				
Applicants' scores checked for addition errors     (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	ml	W	red				
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	N/A MC	MA	NA				
All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A ML	WA	maj				
<ol> <li>Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants</li> </ol>	M	MP	may				
Printed Name / Signature		D	ate				
a. Grader Michael K. Rascal Muthaul Klash	-	ره ار	12001				
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	_	6/8	1209				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) M.E. Murary Justiful 6/11/01							
d. NRC Supervisor (*) DALE POWERS/Alale Powers 6/18/01							
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.							

Facility: GRAND GULF Nuclear Station Date of Exam: June 1,2001	Exam L	evel:(R	)SRO				
		Initials	3				
Item Description	а	b	С				
Clean answer sheets copied before grading	m	W	med				
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	pu	#	Mary				
Applicants' scores checked for addition errors     (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	AR	P	m				
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	N/A mL	NA	N/A punt				
All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	NIA	W/A				
Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	pr.	H	mbel				
Printed Name / Signature		D	ate				
a. Grader Michael K, RASCH Muchael Kluss		6/6	12001				
b. Facility Reviewer(*)		6/8	2001				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) M. E. Murphy July 6/11/01							
d. NRC Supervisor (*) WALE POWERS/Sale Powers 6/18/01							
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.							

Page 1 of 4

07/18/2001 Report 21 14:56:05

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2000 To 09/30/2001

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates		# Candidates		# Candidates Type Exam Author Chief Examiner		Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
10/01/2000	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / Procedure #: 7111111Q					DIXON-HERRITY, JENNIFER L.	ALTER, PETER J. DIXON-HERRITY, JENNIFER L.		
12/18/2000	Grand Gulf / 05000416 /	RO - 2	SROI - 2	Admin	FFF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.		
01/07/2001	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / Procedure #: 7111111Q					DIXON-HERRITY, JENNIFER L.	ALTER, PETER J. DIXON-HERRITY, JENNIFER L.		
04/01/2001	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2001003 Procedure #: 7111111Q					HOEG, TIM	ALTER, PETER J. HOEG, TIM		
05/07/2001	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2001301			Prep		MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.		
06/04/2001	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2001301	RO - 8	SROI - 1	Admin	FFF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E. SANCHEZ, ALFRED STETKA, THOMAS F.		
07/01/2001	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2001004 Procedure #: 7111111Q					HOEG, TIM	ALTER, PETER J. HOEG, TIM		
08/27/2001	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2001004 Procedure #: 7111111B					MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E. STETKA, THOMAS F.		
09/30/2001	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2001005 Procedure #: 7111111Q					HOEG, TIM	ALTER, PETER J. HOEG, TIM		

Sites: GG Orgs: ALL

Exam Author:ALL

#### 1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of \(\frac{\pi\_1/2co}{\pi\_2}\) as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

## 2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of  $\frac{\omega/4/2eol}{2eol}$ . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2) DA	ATENOTE
1. Michael K. Rascil	SR. OPS INST. Developer	mihalklush	1/22/2001 milas Mass	6/8/2001
2. MIMS H. BISHOP	OPS INSTRUCTOR DEVELOPER	Hamilton Bishop	1-24-231 Havillon Berry	7-3-2011
3. Stephen Humphites	DPS. COORDINATOR FACILITY REP	Stock of al	1-30-20 Steelettafiel	6/11/2001
4. Robert Goldman	Sr. Lezd Engineer/Source	Melet E. Hall	3-6-2001 WhitE Albe	6/12/2001
5. MICKEY ELLIS	Control Room Supl VALIDATE	Meles	3/6/01 MEBOX	10(18/2001
6. David Killingsworth	R.O. VALIDATE	Della	-6 mand III & C	- 14 Jus 2001
7. Karon Button	RO VALIDATE	1100	3/6/01 0 70	6/14/00
8. TEFFREY FOSTER	RO VALDATE	John w morter	3/21/014 ARI W my	6.27.01
9. William Keith Golden	1 Control Room SURV. UMIDATE	Vitton 1	3/21/5/ Sent Long -	6/27/0/
10. JAMES C. O'NEIL	RO VALIME	James C.O' Neil	3-21-01 James O'Verl	6-27-01
11 Frank WEAVER	Short Mbr D'VALIDA	to from the sem	3-21-01 /2/lehelle	6-27-01
12. Aline GRIFFIN	I &C TECH / SIMULATOR MAINT	18051V	3-29-01/4/2/10/17	6-11-01
13. Joey Studley	R.O VA4DATE	Jan Stutter	3:30-61	6-11-01
14. Tunny Erringhow	SRO VALIDATE		3-30.01 12.00	6-14-01
15. Hardy Farris	SRO VALIDATE	1 Mario X	4/3/01 114/1000	7/3/2001

NOTES:

#### 1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 6/4/2001 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

#### 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>6/4/2ec/</u>. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2) DAT	ENOTE
1. ALAN HOLBROOK	SRO /STA VALIDATE	apr Collyn	4/3/01 Colan Golle	6-21-01
2. Ricky Liddell 3. JOHN P. WATKINS	SRO/STA VALIDATE RO VALIDATE	San Blatten	9/3/01 All toffell	6-14-01
4. Steven Angel 5. Rowald W. Hogue	RO VALIMATE  RO VALIMATE	Ronald W. Horse	4/3/01 Rorall W. 1962	7-3-01
6. Scotty BEACH 7. S. K. ELLIOTT	NOB VALIDATER  RO VALIDATE	Scoth Beach	5-1-01 geoff Beach 5-15-01 52 2000	6-18-01
8. Thomas Mc Jutyre 9. Steve Reeves.	Trng Suys Sim/LOR Sups. Sk. OPS Enstrutor	Thomas Mchityre	52501 Thomas Alcahitre	
10 Shirley Williams 11. CURTIS BUFORD	Am. Specialist Se OPS INSTR	Thenly Uplians	1 1 1 1 5 1 1 1	6-11-01
12. Kyle Grillis	Ops instr	KGeld)	6.4.1	6-11-1
13. Tommy HARRELSON 14.	OPS INSTR	3. Havebon	6.7.01 S. Haulson	<u>6.8.01</u>
15				

NOTES: