





Figure 1.4-21 Regional Physiographic Map of South Carolina







Schematic north-south hydrostratigraphic section for the A/M-Area, SRS.



Figure 1.4-23 Map Showing the Updip Limit of the Meyers Branch and Allendale Confining Units



Figure 1.4-24 Potentiometric Map of the Upper Three Runs/Steed Pond Aquifers

1.11-105

÷.



Figure 1.4-25 Map Showing the Head Difference Between the Gordon and Crouch Branch Aquifers (Across the Gordon Confining Unit)

C02



Figure 1.4-26 Water Table Map of A/M Area







Figure 1.4-28 Water Table Map of the General Separations Area



Figure 1.4-29 Locations of Municipal and Industrial Groundwater Users within a 25-Mile Radius of S Area



Figure 1.4-30 Tectonic Index Map of Southern Appalachians and Continental Margin

1.11-111

212

C04

C05



Figure 1.4-31 Tectonic Map of Western Piedmont with Bounding Provinces



Figure 1.4-32 Tectonic Map of Southeastern Portion of the Carolina Terrain





Figure 1.4-33

Location Map of Buried and Exposed Triassic Rift Basins on the Eastern Continental Margin



Figure 1.4-34 Detail Map of Triassic Rift Basins in Alabama, Georgia, and South Carolina



Figure 1.4-35 Map View of the Continental Margin and the Position of the Carolina Trough





1.11-117

•



Figure 1.4-37 Lithologic Column Delineating Stratigraphic Nomenclature and Geologic Age



Figure 1.4-38 Regional Geologic Cross Section

1.11-120

Figure 1.4-39 Geologic Cross Section of the Coastal Plain Sediments in the SRS Area





Figure 1.4-40 Quaternary Index Map of Savannah River Site



Figure 1.4-41 Carolina Bay Index Map



Figure 1.4-42 Pre-Cretaceous Basement Rocks Beneath SRS









Figure 1.4-44 Cross Section View of Carolina Trough







Figure 1.4-46 Conoco Seismic Reflection Survey Index Map



Figure 1.4-47 Regional Scale Faults for SRS and Vicinity





G-SAR-G-00001 Rev. 4 DOE Review Copy



Figure 1.4-49 Gravity Model of Dunbarton and South Georgia Rift



Figure 1.4-50 Cape Fear Arch



Cross Section of Cape Fear Arch



Figure 1.4-52 Coastal Plain Faults from USGS

1.11-133



Figure 1.4-53 Pen Branch Fault on the Conoco Seismic Reflection Profile



Figure 1.4-54 Ashley River/Woodstock Faults



Figure 1.4-55 Aerial Distribution of Paleoseismic/Paleolifiquication Sites on the Lower Coastal Plain



Figure 1.4-56 Cross Section View of a Typical Sand Blow in South Carolina

1.11-137

C17



Figure 1.4-57

Historical and Instrumental Seismicity Map (Magnitudes \geq 3) for Southeastern United States, 1568-1993



Figure 1.4-58 Current Station Configuration of SRS Short-Period Recording Stations



Figure 1.4-59 Summary Fault Plant Solutions for Southeastern United States



Figure 1.4-60 Historic Seismicity Within 50 miles of SRS Boundary (60 Miles from Center of | SRS)



Figure 1.4-61 Isoseismal Map Showing Reported Intensities for the June 1985 Earthquake

1.11-142

•





1.11-143



Figure 1.4-63 Locations of Seismic Instrumentation Deployed at SRS

1.11-144



Figure 1.4-64 Existing Seismic Network for SRS and the Surrounding Region



Figure 1.4-65 Basement Reflectors Beneath the SRS



Figure 1.4-66 Zone of Seismicity Along the Savannah River



Figure 1.4-67 Reflection Seismic Section Paralleling the Savannah River with all Hypocenters Located Within 50 Mile Radius of SRS Boundary



Figure 1.4-68Reflection Seismic Section Paralleling the Savannah River with all Hypocenterswith ERZ <10 Within the Zone Projected onto the Plan</td>



Figure 1.4-69 Response Spectrum Envelope Developed by URS/Blume (1982)



Figure 1.4-70 Spectra Recommended for the H-Area



Figure 1.4-71 Interim Site Spectrum Versus Blume Envelope

1.11-153





1.11-154

Figure 1.4-73 PC-4 Response Spectra Envelopes



G-SAR-G-00001 Rev. 4 DOE Review Copy

PC-4 Response Spectra Envelopes



Figure 1.4-74 Comparison - PC-3, PC-4 Blume, SRS Interim Spectra (5% Damping)



Figure 1.4-75 Example Seismic Cone Penetrometer S-wave Interpretation (Solid Lines). Measurements taken in F-Area



SRS Recommended G/Gmax

t





Figure 1.4-77 SRS Recommended Damping



Figure 1.4-78 Shear Wave Velocity Versus Maximum Ground Surface Acceleration Determining Liquefaction Susceptibility



Figure 1.4-79 Normalized CPT Tip Resistance vs. Cyclic Stress Ratio



Pore water-Pressure Ratio, ru

Figure 1.4-80 Pore Pressure Ratio Versus Cyclic Shear Strain for the Santee Formation at the ITP Facility



Figure 1.4-81 Volumetric Strain Versus Factor of Safety



Figure 1.5-1 River and Stream Cross Sections and Peak Water Level Stages at Probable Maximum Flood Conditions



Figure 1.6-1 Road and Highway Network in the SRS Vicinity



FA 940803204

Figure 1.6-2 Railroad Network in the SRS Vicinity



Figure 1.6-3 Public Airports Within 50 Miles of SRS Center



FG 94343201

Figure 1.7-1 Nuclear Facilities Within 50 Miles of SRS



FG 94343208

Source: U.S. Department of Defense. Attac/Data Abstract for the United States and Selected Areas. Fiecal Year 1987. Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information, Washington, DC, 1987.

