



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

October 3, 1988

Docket Nos.: 50-321
50-366
50-424

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Senior Vice President -
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Dear Mr. Mr. Hairston:

SUBJECT: HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2, and VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, UNIT 1 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT - TEMPORARY EXEMPTION FROM THE SCHEDULAR REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROPERTY INSURANCE RULE EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 4, 1988 (10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i)).

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the Federal Register a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose.

Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be completed by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

Enclosed is an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact relating to a temporary exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) for the Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, and Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 1.

8810110138 881003
PDR-ADOCK 05000321
PDR

DFOLCP

DFR

changed per J McKnight on 10/19/88 3gr

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III

-2-

This assessment is being forwarded to the Office of Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PDII-3 Reading

S. Varga 14-E-4

G. Lainas 14-H-3

D. Matthews 14-H-25

M. Rood 14-H-25

L. Crocker 14-H-25

J. Hopkins 14-H-25

OGC 15-B-18

E. Jordan MNBB-3302

B. Grimes 9-A-2

ACRS (10) H-1016

HATCH PLANT FILE

VOGTLE PLANT FILE

E. Tourigny

PDII-3

MRood

09/31/88

PDII-3

JHopkins:sw

09/3/88

PDII-3

LCrocker:sw

09/3/88

10

PDII-3

DMatthews

09/3/88

10

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Georgia Power Company

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant,
Units Nos. 1 and 2

cc:

G. F. Trowbridge, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Mr. R. P. McDonald
Executive Vice President -
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Mr. L. T. Gucwa
Engineering Department
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Nuclear Safety and Compliance Manager
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 442
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. Louis B. Long
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P. O. Box 2625
Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 1, Box 725
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Charles H. Badger
Office of Planning and Budget
Room 610
270 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Mr. J. Leonard Ledbetter, Commissioner
Department of Natural Resources
270 Washington Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Chairman
Appling County Commissioners
County Courthouse
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Georgia Power Company

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant

cc:

Mr. J. P. Kane
Manager Licensing and Engineering
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Resident Inspector
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 572
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Mr. Ruble A. Thomas
Executive Consultant
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 2625
Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Deppish Kirkland, III, Counsel
Office of the Consumers' Utility
Council
Suite 225
32 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Mr. Paul D. Rice
Vice President & Project Director
Georgia Power Company
Post Office Box 282
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

James E. Joiner
Troutman, Sanders, Lockerman,
& Ashmore
1400 Candler Building
127 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. J. A. Bailey
Project Licensing Manager
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 2625
Birmingham, Alabama 35202

Danny Feig
1130 Alta Avenue
Atlanta, Georgia 30307

Ernest L. Blake, Jr.
Bruce W. Churchill, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20037

Carol Stangler
Georgians Against Nuclear Energy
425 Euclid Terrace
Atlanta, Georgia 30307

Mr. G. Bockhold, Jr.
General Manager Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 1600
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Mr. R. P. McDonald
Executive Vice President -
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY
OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION
MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA
CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

E. I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2DOCKET NOS. 50-321 and 50-366ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTCONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i)

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) to Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia and City of Dalton, Georgia (the licensee) for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located at the licensee's site in Appling County, Georgia.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of Proposed Action:

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose.

8810110141 881003
 ADDCK 05000321
 PDR PDR

Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be effective by October 4, 1988 the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

The Need for The Proposed Action:

The exemption is needed because insurance complying with requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) is unavailable and because the temporary delay in implementation allowed by the exemption and associated rulemaking action will permit the Commission to reconsider on its merits the trusteeship provision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(4).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

With respect to radiological impacts on the environment, the proposed exemption does not in any way affect the operation of licensed facilities. Further, as noted by the Commission in the Supplementary Information accompanying the proposed rule, there are several reasons for concluding that

delaying for a reasonable time the implementation of the stabilization and decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions of Section 50.54(w) will not adversely affect protection of public health and safety. First, during the period of delay, the licensee will still be required to carry \$1.06 billion insurance. This is a substantial amount of coverage that provides a significant financial cushion to licensees to decontaminate and clean up after an accident even without the prioritization and trusteeship provisions. Second, nearly 75% of the required coverage already is prioritized under the decontamination liability and excess property insurance language of the Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited-II policies. Finally, there is only an extremely small probability of a serious accident occurring during the exemption period. Even if a serious accident giving rise to substantial insurance claims were to occur, NRC would be able to take appropriate enforcement action to assure adequate cleanup to protect public health and safety and the environment.

The proposed exemption does not affect radiological or nonradiological effluents from the site and has no other nonradiological impacts.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

It has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption; any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal plant operation.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The staff did not consult other agencies or persons in connection with the proposed exemption.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For information concerning this action, see the proposed rule (53 FR 36338), and the exemption which is being processed concurrent with this notice. A copy of the exemption will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Appling County Public Library, 301 City Hall Drive, Baxley, Georgia 31513.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 3rd day of October , 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original Signed By:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

PDII-3,
MRood
09/21/88


PDII-3
LCrocker:sw
09/3/88
10


PDII-3
DMatthews
09/3/88
10

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY
OGLETHORPE POWER CORPORATION
MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA
CITY OF DALTON, GEORGIA

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, UNIT 1DOCKET NO. 50-424ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTCONCERNING EXEMPTION FROM10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i)

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) to Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and City of Dalton, Georgia (the licensee) for the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 1, located at the licensee's site in Burke County, Georgia.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of Proposed Action:

On August 5, 1987, the NRC published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a final rule amending 10 CFR 50.54(w). The rule increased the amount of on-site property damage insurance required to be carried by NRC's power reactor licensees. The rule also required these licensees to obtain by October 4, 1988 insurance policies that prioritized insurance proceeds for stabilization and decontamination after an accident and provided for payment of proceeds to an independent trustee who

8810110144 881003
ADDOCK 05000321

PDR

PDR

would disburse funds for decontamination and cleanup before any other purpose. Subsequent to publication of the rule, the NRC has been informed by insurers who offer nuclear property insurance that, despite a good faith effort to obtain trustees required by the rule, the decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions will not be able to be incorporated into policies by the time required in the rule. In response to these comments and related petitions for rulemaking, the Commission has proposed a revision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) extending the implementation schedule for 18 months (53 FR 36338, September 19, 1988). However, because it is unlikely that this rulemaking action will be effective by October 4, 1988, the Commission is issuing a temporary exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) until completion of the pending rulemaking extending the implementation date specified in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i), but not later than April 1, 1989. Upon completion of such rulemaking, the licensee shall comply with the provisions of such rule.

The Need for The Proposed Action:

The exemption is needed because insurance complying with requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(5)(i) is unavailable and because the temporary delay in implementation allowed by the exemption and associated rulemaking action will permit the Commission to reconsider on its merits the trusteeship provision of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(4).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

With respect to radiological impacts on the environment, the proposed exemption does not in any way affect the operation of licensed facilities. Further, as noted by the Commission in the Supplementary Information accompanying the proposed rule, there are several reasons for concluding that

delaying for a reasonable time the implementation of the stabilization and decontamination priority and trusteeship provisions of Section 50.54(w) will not adversely affect protection of public health and safety. First, during the period of delay, the licensee will still be required to carry \$1.06 billion insurance. This is a substantial amount of coverage that provides a significant financial cushion to licensees to decontaminate and clean up after an accident even without the prioritization and trusteeship provisions. Second, nearly 75% of the required coverage already is prioritized under the decontamination liability and excess property insurance language of the Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited-II policies. Finally, there is only an extremely small probability of a serious accident occurring during the exemption period. Even if a serious accident giving rise to substantial insurance claims were to occur, NRC would be able to take appropriate enforcement action to assure adequate cleanup to protect public health and safety and the environment.

The proposed exemption does not affect radiological or nonradiological effluents from the site and has no other nonradiological impacts.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

It has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption; any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources beyond the scope of resources used during normal plant operation.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The staff did not consult other agencies or persons in connection with the proposed exemption.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For information concerning this action, see the proposed rule (53 FR 36338), and the exemption which is being processed concurrent with this notice. A copy of the exemption will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C., and at the Burke County Library, 412 Fourth Street, Waynesboro, Georgia 30830.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 3rd day of October 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original Signed By:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II

PDII-3
MRood
09/21/88

PDII-3
JHopkins:sw
09/3/88

PDII-3
DMatthews
09/3/88
10

DISTRIBUTION:
~~Docket File~~
PD II-3 Reading
MRood
JHopkins

October 3, 1988

DOCKET NO. 50-424

MEMORANDUM FOR: Rules and Procedures Branch
Division of Rules and Records
Office of Administration and Resources Management

FROM: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 1 (Georgia Power Company,
et al.)

One signed original of the *Federal Register* Notice identified below is enclosed for your transmittal to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. Additional conformed copies (5) of the Notice are enclosed for your use.

- Notice of Receipt of Application for Construction Permit(s) and Operating License(s).
- Notice of Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permit(s) and Facility License(s): Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License.
- Notice of Receipt of Application for Facility License(s); Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report; and Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility License(s) and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.
- Notice of Availability of NRC Draft/Final Environmental Statement.
- Notice of Limited Work Authorization.
- Notice of Availability of Safety Evaluation Report.
- Notice of Issuance of Construction Permit(s).
- Notice of Issuance of Facility Operating License(s) or Amendment(s).
- Order.
- Exemption.
- Notice of Granting Exemption.
- Environmental Assessment.
- Notice of Preparation of Environmental Assessment.
- Other: _____

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DFx2
11

Enclosure:
As stated

Contact: Marilee Rood
Phone: 21487

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE ▶ | PD II-3 | | | | | |
| SURNAME ▶ | MRood | | | | | |
| DATE ▶ | 10/3/88 | | | | | |

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File
PD II-3 Reading
MRood
LCrocker

October 3, 1988

DOCKET NO. 50-321/366

MEMORANDUM FOR: Rules and Procedures Branch
Division of Rules and Records
Office of Administration and Resources Management

FROM: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: E. I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 (Georgia Power Company, et al.)

One signed original of the *Federal Register* Notice identified below is enclosed for your transmittal to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. Additional conformed copies (5) of the Notice are enclosed for your use.

- Notice of Receipt of Application for Construction Permit(s) and Operating License(s).
- Notice of Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permit(s) and Facility License(s); Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License.
- Notice of Receipt of Application for Facility License(s); Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report; and Notice of Consideration of issuance of Facility License(s) and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.
- Notice of Availability of NRC Draft/Final Environmental Statement.
- Notice of Limited Work Authorization.
- Notice of Availability of Safety Evaluation Report.
- Notice of Issuance of Construction Permit(s).
- Notice of Issuance of Facility Operating License(s) or Amendment(s).
- Order.
- Exemption.
- Notice of Granting Exemption.
- Environmental Assessment.
- Notice of Preparation of Environmental Assessment.
- Other: _____

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

Contact: Marilee Rood
Phone: 21487

| | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OFFICE | PD II-3 | | | | | | |
| SURNAME | MRood | | | | | | |
| DATE | 10/3/8 | | | | | | |