

March 9, 1989

Docket Nos.: 50-321
50-366

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Dear Mr. Hairston:

SUBJECT: HATCH UNITS 1 AND 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT RE: EXEMPTION
FROM 10 CFR 20 APPENDIX A FOOTNOTE (d-2) (TACS 71145/71146)

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This notice relates to your request dated October 25, 1988 for an exemption from the requirement of footnote d-2(c) of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 20. In essence, the exemption would allow use of MSA GMR-I canisters in lieu of supplied air or self-contained breathing apparatuses.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Lawrence P. Crocker, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects -I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc:

See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

NRC PDR

Local PDR

PDII-3 Reading

S. Varga

14-E-4

G. Lainas

14-H-3

D. Matthews

14-H-25

M. Rood

14-H-25

L. Crocker

14-H-25

OGC (For inform. Only)

15-B-18

E. Jordan

MNBB-3302

B. Grimes

9-A-2

ACRS (10)

P-315

DFol
||

me

me

PDII-3
MRood
1/11/89

PDII-3
LCrocker:sw
1/11/89

PDII-3
for DMatthews
2/12/89

CP
efh

8903170242 890302
PDR ADUCK 05000321
PDC

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Georgia Power Company

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant,
Units Nos. 1 and 2

cc:
G. F. Trowbridge, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Mr. R. P. McDonald
Executive Vice President -
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Mr. L. T. Gucwa
Engineering Department
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Nuclear Safety and Compliance Manager
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant
Georgia Power Company
P. O. Box 442
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. Louis B. Long
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P. O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Route 1, Box 725
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Charles H. Badger
Office of Planning and Budget
Room 610
270 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Mr. J. Leonard Ledbetter, Commissioner
Department of Natural Resources
270 Washington Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Chairman
Appling County Commissioners
County Courthouse
Baxley, Georgia 31513

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONGEORGIA POWER COMPANY, ET AL.DOCKET NOS. 50-321 AND 50-366ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirement of footnote d-2(c) of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 20 to the Georgia Power Company, et al. (the licensee) for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 located on the licensee's site in Appling County, Georgia.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of Proposed Action: The proposed action would relax the requirement in Footnote d-2(c) of Appendix A to 10 CFR 20 which states, "No allowance is to be made for the use of sorbents against radioactive gases or vapors." The exemption would allow the use of a radioiodine protection factor of 50 for certain respiratory protection canisters used by workers at the licensee's facility, Hatch 1 and 2. The staff's technical evaluation of this request will be published in a report entitled "Safety Evaluation By the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Related to the Use of Radioiodine Protection Factor for Sorbent Canisters at Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2."

The evaluation is responsive to the licensee's application for exemption dated October 25, 1988, as supplemented January 12, 1989.

The Need for the Proposed Action: The proposed exemption is needed because the features described in the licensee's request are potential means to reduce occupational exposure to radiation for some tasks at Hatch 1 and 2.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action: The proposed exemption will most likely reduce the work effort and occupational exposure for some tasks at Hatch 1 and 2. The utilization of air purifying respirators in lieu of air-supplied or self-contained apparatuses, where possible, can result in person-rem reductions estimated to be in a range of from 25% to 50% for tasks requiring radioiodine protection. The lightweight, less cumbersome air purifying respirators (i.e., sorbent canisters) can provide increased comfort and mobility in most cases, and result in increased worker efficiency and decreased time on-the-job.

With regard to potential radiological impacts to the general public, the proposed exemption involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect the potential for or consequences of radiological accidents and does not affect radiological plant effluents. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Alternative to the Proposed Action: Because the staff has concluded that there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternative to this exemption will have either no significantly different environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested exemption. This would not reduce environmental impacts as a result of plant operations.

Alternative Use of Resources: This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in connection with the "Final Environmental Statement for the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit 1 and Unit 2," dated October 1972, and the "Final Environmental Statement Related to Operation of Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit No. 2," dated March 1978.

Agencies and Persons Consulted: The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's request that supports the proposed exemption. The NRC staff did not consult other agencies or persons.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for the exemption dated October 25, 1988, and its supplement dated January 12, 1989, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N. W., Washington, D.C., and at the Appling County Public Library, 301 City Hall Drive, Baxley, Georgia

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of March 1989.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original Signed By:

David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCES

*PDII-3 *PDII-3
MRood LCrocker:sw
1/11/89 1/11/89

*OGC
1/31/89

*PDII-3
DMatthews
2/21/89