

September 30, 1994

Mr. John F. Opeka
Executive Vice President, Nuclear
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, CT 06141-0270

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 2 (TAC NO. M90458)

Dear Mr. Opeka:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for exemption dated September 26, 1994. The proposed exemption would provide temporary relief from the 2-year schedular requirement associated with Type B and C periodic local leakage rate tests as required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Sections III.D.2(a) and III.D.3.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Guy S. Vissing, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-336

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Distribution:

Docket File	JStolz	ACRS (10)
PUBLIC	SNorris	OPA
PD I-4 Plant	GVissing	LNicholson, RI
SVarga	OGC	
CMiller (A)	EJordan, D/AEOD	

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\VISSING\M90458.EA

OFFICE	LA:PDI-4	PM:PDI-4	D:PDI-4	OGC	
NAME	SNorris	GVissing:cn	JStolz	E Hollen	
DATE	09/28/94	09/28/94	09/30/94	09/30/94	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

7410060162 740930
PDR ADOCK 05000336
P PDR

040057

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DFC



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001
September 30, 1994

Mr. John F. Opeka
Executive Vice President, Nuclear
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, CT 06141-0270

SUBJECT: MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT 2 (TAC NO. M90458)

Dear Mr. Opeka:

Enclosed is a copy of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to your application for exemption dated September 26, 1994. The proposed exemption would provide temporary relief from the 2-year scheduler requirement associated with Type B and C periodic local leakage rate tests as required by 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Sections III.D.2(a) and III.D.3.

The assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Guy S. Vissing".

Guy S. Vissing, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-336

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. John F. Opeka
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company

Millstone Nuclear Power Station
Unit 2

cc:

Ms. L. M. Cuoco, Senior Nuclear Counsel
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 270
Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

Regional Administrator
Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

J. J. LaPlatney
Haddam Neck Unit Director
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company
362 Injun Hollow Road
East Hampton, Connecticut 06424-3099

First Selectmen
Town of Waterford
Hall of Records
200 Boston Post Road
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Kevin T. A. McCarthy, Director
Monitoring and Radiation Division
Department of Environmental Protection
79 Elm Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106-5127

P. D. Swetland, Resident Inspector
Millstone Nuclear Power Station
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Post Office Box 513
Niantic, Connecticut 06357

Allan Johanson, Assistant Director
Office of Policy and Management
Policy Development and Planning Division
80 Washington Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Donald B. Miller, Jr.
Senior Vice President
Millstone Station
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

S. E. Scace, Vice President
Nuclear Operations Services
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

G. H. Bouchard, Nuclear Unit Director
Millstone Unit No. 2
Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Nicholas S. Reynolds
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3502

Charles Brinkman, Manager
Washington Nuclear Operations
ABB Combustion Engineering
Nuclear Power
12300 Twinbrook Pkwy, Suite 330
Rockville, Maryland 20852

R. M. Kacich, Director
Nuclear Planning, Licensing & Budgeting
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Betsy Higgins Congram (5)
Environmental Review Coordinator
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Room 2203
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

J. M. Solymossy, Director
Nuclear Quality and Assessment Services
Northeast Utilities Service Company
Post Office Box 128
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY
THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY
THE WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY
MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-336
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF
NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from Facility Operating License No. DPR-65, issued to Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, (NNECO or the licensee), for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2, located in New London County, Connecticut.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Identification of the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would provide a schedular exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Sections III.D.2(a) and III.D.3 on behalf of Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2. On September 23, 1994, NNECO determined that the 24-month testing requirement had been exceeded for a number of Type B and C components by up to approximately four months. The exemption would provide temporary relief from the 2-year schedular requirement associated with Type B and C periodic Containment local leakage rate tests (LLRTs). The proposed exemption would extend the 2-year requirement through the end of the 12 refueling outage.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated September 26, 1994.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed action would permit Millstone Unit 2 to proceed with the current schedule for the twelfth refueling outage which is when the plant begins a shut down currently scheduled for October 1, 1994. The proposed exemption would allow the licensee to take advantage of the preparations that have been made for the upcoming refueling outage, including initiatives which would reduce personnel radiation exposure, allow dynamic testing of motor-operated valves, permit testing of main steam safety valves, and allow the performance of work on the service water system to reduce shutdown risks.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that there are no significant radiological or nonradiological impacts associated with the proposed action and that the issuance of the proposed exemption will have no significant impact on the quality of the human environment. The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action:

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to the action would be to deny the request. Such action would not enhance the protection of the environment and would result in unjustified cost to the licensee.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The NRC staff consulted with the Connecticut State Official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated September 26, 1994, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the local public document room located at the Learning Resource Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, Thames Valley Campus, 474 New London Turnpike, Norwich, Connecticut 06360.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of September 1994.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-4
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation