

**Scott A.** Bauer Department Leader

Regulatory Affairs Tel: 623/393-5978 Mail Station 7636 Palo Verde Nuclear Fax: 623/393-5442 P.O. Box 52034 e-mail: sbauer@apsc.com

102-04613-SAB/TNW/GAM October 5, 2001

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Station P1-37 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Sirs:

#### Subject: Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station **(PVNGS)**  Units **1,** 2, and **3**  Docket Nos. **STN 50-5281529/530**  Technical Specifications Bases Revision 12 Update

Pursuant to PVNGS Technical Specification (TS) 5.5.14, "Technical Specifications Bases Control Program," Arizona Public Service Company (APS) is submitting changes to the TS Bases incorporated into Revision 12, implemented on September 27, 2001. The Revision 12 insertion instructions and replacement pages are provided in the Enclosure.

No commitments are being made to the NRC by this letter.

Should you have any questions, please contact Thomas N. Weber at (623) 393-5764.

Sincerely,

Scottle Baue

SAB/TNW/GAM/kg

Enclosure: PVNGS Technical Specification Bases Revision 12 Insertion Instructions and Replacement Pages

cc: E. W. Merschoff (all w/o enclosure) L. R. Wharton J. H. Moorman

## ENCLOSURE

### PVNGS Technical Specification Bases Revision 12

Insertion Instructions and Replacement Pages

PVNGS Technical Specifications Bases **Revision 12** Insertion Instructions

List of Effective Pages, Pages 1/2 through List of Effective Pages, Page 7/blank

B 3.1.1-3/B 3.1.1-4 B 3.1.1-5/B 3.1.1-6

B 3.1.2-3/B 3.1.2-4 B 3.1.2-7/B 3.1.2-8

B 3.1.5-1/B 3.1.5-2 B 3.1.5-11/blank

#### Remove Page: The Mew Page:

Cover page Cover page

List of Effective Pages, Pages 1/2 through List of Effective Pages, Page 7/blank

B 3.1.1-3/B 3.1.1-4 B 3.1.1-5/B 3.1.1-6

B 3.1.2-3/B 3.1.2-4 B 3.1.2-7/B 3.1.2-8

B 3.1.5-1/B 3.1.5-2 B 3.1.5-11/blank

# *PVNGS*

*Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station Units 1, 2, and 3* 

# Technical Specification Bases

Revision 12 September 27, 2001

**1,**



PALO VERDE UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 1

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

Revision 12<br>September 27, 2001



September 27, 2001

V.



 $\sim 10$ 



#### TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION BASES LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES  $A\in\mathfrak{k}$

 $\chi_{\rm c}$  and  $\chi_{\rm c}$  and  $\chi_{\rm c}$ 



Revision 12<br>September 27, 2001



Revision 12<br>September 27, 2001

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 9.  $\pm$ 



 $\mathcal{A}$ 

#### BASES (continued)

APPLICABLE occurs as a result of the post trip return to power, and<br>SAFETY ANALYSES THERMAL POWER does not violate the Safety Limit (SL) FETY ANALYSES THERMAL POWER does not violate the Safety Limit (SL)<br>(continued) requirement of SL 2.1.1. requirement of SL  $2.1.1$ . In addition to the limiting MSLB transient, the SDM requirement for MODES 3, 4, and 5 must also protect against: a. Inadvertent boron dilution: b. Startup of an inactive reactor coolant pump (RCP); and c. CEA ejection. Each of these is discussed below. In the inadvertent boron dilution analysis, the amount of reactivity by which the reactor is subcritical is determined by the reactivity difference between an initial subcritical boron concentration and the corresponding critical boron concentration. The initial subcritical boron concentration assumed in the analysis corresponds to the minimum SDM requirements. These two values (initial and critical boron concentrations), in conjunction with the configuration of the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) and the assumed dilution flow rate, directly affect the results of the analysis. For this reason the event is most limiting at the beginning of core life when critical boron concentrations are highest. The startup of an inactive RCP will not result in a "cold water" criticality, even if the maximum difference in temperature exists between the SG and the core. Although this event was considered in establishing the requirements for SDM, it is not the limiting event with respect to the specification limits.

In the analysis of the CEA ejection event, maintaining SDM ensures the reactor remains subcritical following a CEA ejection and, therefore, satisfies the radially averaged enthalpy acceptance criterion considering power redistribution effects.

SHUTDOWN MARGIN is the amount by which the core is subcritical, or would be subcritical immediately following a reactor trip, considering a single malfunction resulting in the highest worth CEA failing to insert. With any full length CEAs not capable of being fully inserted, the withdrawn reactivity worth of these CEAs must be accounted for in the determination of SDM.

 $\overline{1}$ 

#### **BASES** (continued)



PALO VERDE UNITS 1,2,3 B 3.1.1-4 REVISION 12

#### BASES (continued)



possible, the boron concentration should be a highly concentrated solution, such as that normally found in therefueling water tank. The operator should borate with the best source available for the plant conditions.

In determining the boration flow rate, the time in core life must be considered. For instance, the most difficult time in core life to increase the RCS boron concentration is at the beginning of cycle, when boron concentration may approach or exceed 2000 ppm. Assuming that a value of 1% Ak/k must be recovered and a boration flow rate of 26 gpm, it is possible to increase the boron concentration of the RCS by 100 ppm in less than 4 hours with a 4000 ppm source.

If a boron worth of 10 pcm/ppm is assumed, this combination of parameters will increase the SDM by  $1\%$   $\Delta k/k$ . These boration parameters of 26 gpm and 4000 ppm represent typical values and are provided for the purpose of offering a specific example.



 $\mathbf{1}$ 

#### **BASES** (continued)



#### BASES (continued)

J.



 $\sim$ 

-----

 $\overline{a}$ 

#### BASES (continued)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_



(continued)

PALO VERDE UNITS 1,2,3 B 3.1.2-4 REVISION 12

#### ACTIONS A.1 (continued)

concentrated solution, such as that normally found in the refueling water tank. The operator should borate with the best source available for the plant conditions.

In determining the boration flow rate the time in core life must be considered. For instance, the most difficult time in core life to increase the RCS boron concentration is at the beginning of cycle, when boron concentration may approach or exceed 2000 ppm. Assuming that a value of 1%  $\Delta k/k$  must be recovered and a boration flow rate of 26 gpm, it is possible to increase the boron concentration of the RCS by 100 ppm in less than 4 hours with a 4000 ppm source. If a boron worth of 10 pcm/ppm is assumed, this combination of parameters will increase the SDM by  $1\%$   $\Delta k/k$ . These boration parameters of 26 gpm and 4000 ppm represent typical values and are provided for the purpose of offering a specific example.

#### B.1 and B.2

If the  $K_{N-1}$  requirements are not met or reactor criticality is achievable by Shutdown Group CEA movement, boration must be initiated promptly and CEA position varied to restore K<sub>N-1</sub> within limit or to ensure criticality due to Shutdown Group CEA movement is not achievable. A Completion Time of 15 minutes is adequate for an operator to correctly align and start the required systems and components and vary CEA position. It is assumed that boration will be continued and CEA position varied to return  $K_{N-1}$  to within limit or prevent reactor criticality due to Shutdown Group CEA movement. CEA movement is only required if the specific limit exceeded can be improved by taking this action.

In the determination of the required combination of boration flow rate and boron concentration, there is no unique requirement that must be satisfied. Since it is imperative to raise the boron concentration of the RCS as soon as possible, the boron concentration should be a highly concentrated solution, such as that normally found in the refueling water tank. The operator should borate with the best source available for the plant conditions.

#### ACTIONS B.1 and B.2 (continued)

In determining the boration flow rate the time in core life must be considered. For instance, the most difficult time in core life to increase the RCS boron concentration is at the beginning of cycle, when the boron concentration will exceed 2000 ppm. Assuming that a value of 1%  $\Delta$ k/k must be recovered and a boration flow rate of 26 gpm, it is possible to increase the boron concentration of the RCS by 100 ppm in approximately 35 minutes with a 4000 ppm source. If a boron worth of 10 pcm/ppm is assumed, this combination of parameters will increase the SDM by  $1\%$   $\Delta k/k$ . These boration parameters of 26 gpm and 4000 ppm represent typical values and are provided for the purpose of offering a specific example.

#### SURVEILLANCE SR 3.1.2.1, 3.1.2.2 and 3.1.2.3 REQUIREMENTS

SDM,  $K_{N-1}$ , and criticality not being achievable with Shutdown Group CEA withdrawal are verified by performing a reactivity balance calculation, considering the listed reactivity effects:

- a. RCS boron concentration:
- **b.** CEA positions:
- **c.** RCS average temperature;
- **d.** Fuel burnup based on gross thermal energy generation;
- e. Xenon concentration;
- **f.** Samarium concentration; and
- **g.** Isothermal temperature coefficient (ITC).

Using the ITC accounts for Doppler reactivity in this calculation because the reactor is subcritical, and the fuel temperature will be changing at the same rate as that of the RCS.

(continued)

PALO VERDE UNITS 1,2,3 B **3.1.2-8** REVISION **0**

#### B 3.1 REACTIVITY CONTROL SYSTEMS

B 3.1.5 Control Element Assembly (CEA) Alignment



 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}$ 



(continued)

PALO VERDE UNITS 1,2,3 B **3.1.5-1** REVISION **0**

CEA Alignment B 3.1.5

**BASES**

**BACKGROUND** (conti nued) The CEAs are arranged into groups that are radially symmetric. Therefore, movement of the CEAs does not introduce radial asymmetries in the core power distribution.<br>The shutdown and regulating CEAs provide the required reactivity worth for immediate reactor shutdown upon a reactor trip. The regulating CEAs also provide reactivity (power level) control during normal operation and transients. Their movement may be automatically controlled by the Reactor Regulating System. Part length CEAs are not credited in the safety analyses for shutting down the reactor, as are the regulating and shutdown groups. The part length CEAs are used solely for ASI control. The axial position of shutdown and regulating CEAs is indicated by two separate and independent systems, which are the Pulse Counting CEA Position Indication System (described in Ref. 4) and the Reed Switch CEA Position Indication System (described in Ref. 5). The Pulse Counting CEA Position Indicating System indicates CEA position to the actual step, if each CEA moves one step for each command signal. However, if each **CEA** does not follow the commands, the system will incorrectly reflect the position of the affected CEA(s). This condition may affect the operability of COLSS (refer to Section 3.2, Power Distribution Limits for the applicable actions) and should be detected by the Reed Switch Position Indication System through surveillance or alarm. Although the Reed Switch through surveillance or alarm. Although the Reed Switch<br>Position Indication System is less precise that the Pulse Counting CEA Position Indicating System, it is not subject to the same error mechanisms.

PALO VERDE UNITS 1,2,3 B **3.1.5-2** REVISION 12

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

The CEA drop time of full-length CEAs shall also be demonstrated through measurement prior to reactor criticality for specifically affected individual CEAs following any maintenance on or modification to the CEA drive system which could affect the drop time of those specific CEAs.



This page intentionally blank

 $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$   $\sim$ 

-

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{A})$