EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM:

DUE: / /

EDO CONTROL: G20010438

DOC DT: 09/10/01

FINAL REPLY:

Joe F. Colvin

Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)

TO:

Chairman Meserve

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 01-0509

DESC:

ROUTING:

Regulatory Oversight Program Alternative Indicator

Travers

Paperiello

Kane Norry Craig

Craig Burns/Cyr Thadani, RES

DATE: 10/09/01

ASSIGNED TO: CONTACT:

NRR

Collins

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

For Appropriate Action.

There is public interest in having this letter released in ADAMS as soon as possible.

E-RIDS: SECY-01

Template: SECY-017

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Oct 05, 2001 21:34

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-01-0509

LOGGING DATE: 10/05/2001

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

AUTHOR:

Joe Colvin

AFFILIATION:

NEI

ADDRESSEE:

Richard Meserve

SUBJECT:

Concerns the Regulatory Oversight Program Alternative Indicator

ACTION:

Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION:

RF

LETTER DATE:

09/10/2001

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

There is public interest in having this letter released

in ADAMS as soon as possible.

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

Cathy,

This is the one that Lochbaum was looking for. If the staff determines that no response is necessary, there is a public interest in having it released in ADAMS quickly. If the staff decides that the Chairman should sign a response, we will release it with the response.

•

Jeanne

This is per Annette -- it's late and I hope it makes sense.



September 10, 2001

Joe F. Colvin

PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

The Honorable Richard A. Meserve Chairman U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-16 C1 Room O17 D1 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

> Regulatory Oversight Program Alternative Indicator Subject:

Dear Chairman Meserve:

We understand that the NRC staff, working with industry and other stakeholders, has completed its review of the proposed alternative indicator which was piloted to address industry concerns over the potential for unintended consequences from the counting of manual reactor scrams.

The NEI Executive Committee, at its August meeting, reviewed this matter and concluded that the alternative indicator continues to be preferable to the original scram indicator for the reasons expressed previously to the Commission.

We are appreciative of NRC's efforts to review the proposed alternative indicator in light of the industry's concerns and believe that NRC's established procedure for processing changes to the ROP serve the NRC, its stakeholders and the public well. Please contact me if you have questions or comments related to this matter.

Sincerely,

Joe F.

1776 | STREET, NW

c:

The Honorable Greta J. Dicus, Commissioner

The Honorable Edward McGaffigan, Jr., Commissioner

The Honorable Jeffrey S. Merrifield, Commissioner

Dr. William D. Travers, Executive Director for Operations

NEI Executive Committee