

October 10, 2001

LICENSEE : Duke Energy Corporation

FACILITIES: McGuire, Units 1 and 2, and Catawba, Units 1 and 2

SUBJECT: TELECOMMUNICATION WITH DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION TO DISCUSS  
INFORMATION IN THEIR LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION ON SEVERAL  
WASTE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SYSTEMS

On September 12, 2001, after the NRC staff reviewed information provided in Chapter 2 of the license renewal application, a conference call was conducted between the NRC and Duke Energy Corporation to clarify information presented in the application pertaining to the scoping of structures and components in the Liquid Waste System, Waste Gas System, and Conventional Waste Water Treatment System. Participants in the conference call are provided in Attachment 1.

The questions asked by the NRC staff, as well as the responses provided by the applicant, are as follows:

1. Why are the system functions described in Section 2.3.3.24 for Catawba and McGuire different? Does Catawba's Liquid Waste System also have a reactor coolant leakage detection function?

The applicant indicated that the system descriptions in Chapter 2 were intended to be general in nature. The Chapter 2 sections were not intended to define the system functions that were within the scope of license renewal. As such, discussions of general system functions do not imply that those functions are within the scope of license renewal; nor do the discussions attempt to define all of the functions that are within the scope of license renewal. The applicant indicated the Catawba Liquid Radwaste system functions that were within the scope of license renewal involved safety-related equipment relied upon to remain functional during and following a design basis event [10 CFR 54.4(a)(1)]; nonsafety-related equipment that is required to maintain its pressure boundary and/or structural integrity during and following a design basis event [10 CFR 54.4(a)(2)]; and equipment required by 10 CFR 50.48, 50.49, and 50.63 to mitigate regulated events involving fire, environmental qualification, and station blackout [10 CFR 54.4(a)(3)]. The applicant indicated that these in-scope system functions were documented in the technical basis information for their license renewal application, which would be available for review during the NRC's Scoping Methodology Audit.

The reactor coolant system leakage detection system described in Section 2.3.3.24 for the McGuire Liquid Waste System is not an "in scope" function. The system function is provided at Catawba as well, but the applicant did not include that function in the Catawba system description. The applicant indicated that, in order to understand what is

within the scope of the license renewal rule and subject to an aging management review, one must review the scoping drawings, which specify the structures and components that are within the scope of license renewal as well as their function.

2. Liquid Waste system components such as sump pumps, orifices, separators, strainers, tubing, and a waste drain tank were included in the scope of license renewal for Catawba but not for McGuire. What are the design differences between Catawba and McGuire that would explain these differences in scoping results?

The applicant stated that a significant amount of Liquid Waste System equipment was credited in the Catawba's design basis for removing discharged fire water system inventory from flooded areas during and following fire water system actuation to prevent safety-related equipment from flood-induced failure. Floor drains are provided in areas protected by fixed water suppression systems. These areas include the residual heat removal and containment spray pump rooms and connecting corridors; auxiliary feedwater pump rooms; component cooling water pump rooms and connecting corridors; control room ventilation equipment rooms; the reactor building annulus; reactor building pipe corridors; and reactor coolant pumps and lower containment filters. The applicant also stated that this Class H piping is high-lighted as within the scope of license renewal in flow diagrams CN-1565-1.0, -1.1, -2.2, -2.4, and CN-2565-2.2. The design basis for McGuire's Liquid Waste System does not include this provision.

The applicant also specified that the plant-specific nonsafety-related pipe runs at Catawba involve more potential for adverse impact to safety-related equipment (Class F piping). As such, this scoping criterion caused more components to be within the scope of license renewal at Catawba than at McGuire.

3. Is the hydrogen recombiner function for combustible gas control one of the intended safety functions for the Waste Gas (WG) Systems ?

The applicant indicated that the safety-related hydrogen recombiners are part of the Containment Air Return Exchange and Hydrogen Skimmer (VX) System at Catawba and McGuire and that they can be located on piping and instrumentation drawings associated with the VX systems. The applicant further indicated that the WG hydrogen recombiners are within the scope of license renewal because they provide a pressure boundary function to retain radioactive gases. The applicant indicated that the safety-related hydrogen recombiners in the VX system are within the scope of license renewal but the electrical portions are not subject to an aging management review because they are heaters, which are classified as active components. The electrical components are located in enclosures that are considered component supports. The enclosures are seismically qualified and are included in Table 3.5-3, page 3.5-19, Electrical & Instrument Panels & Enclosures. No aging effects or aging management programs were identified for the VX hydrogen recombiner enclosures.

4. In drawing CN-1567-1.0, the waste gas separator is highlighted to indicate that it is within the scope of license renewal. However, this component is not included in Table 3.3-47. Is this component within the scope of license renewal? And what are the results of Duke's aging management review?

The applicant indicated that the waste gas separator is within the scope of license renewal and provided the results of the aging management review to the NRC staff for review (see the table presented in Attachment 2).

5. In Section 2.3.3.9, a Conventional Waste Water Treatment System sump pump for the Standby Shutdown Facility (SSF) at McGuire is identified as within the scope of license renewal. Does a similar pump exist at the Catawba station? If so, what are the design differences between these two systems that would cause the SSF sump pump to be in scope at McGuire and not in scope at Catawba?

The applicant indicated that the SSF sump pump was included in the scope of license renewal at McGuire because credible events involving pipe breaks in other systems could cause flooding in the SSF building. At Catawba, no credible pipe breaks were identified that could cause flooding of the SSF and necessitate the use of the Catawba SSF sump pump to prevent flood-induced failure of equipment required to mitigate the effects of a fire or station blackout event.

The NRC staff is considering the applicant's responses to these questions and is continuing its review of the waste treatment and disposal systems.

A draft of this telecommunication summary was provided to the applicant to allow them the opportunity to comment prior to the summary being issued.

*/RA/*

Rani L. Franovich, Project Manager  
License Renewal Project Directorate  
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-369, 50-370, 50-413, and 50-414

Attachments: As stated

cc w/attachments: See next page

- 4. In drawing CN-1567-1.0, the waste gas separator is highlighted to indicate that it is within the scope of license renewal. However, this component is not included in Table 3.3-47. Is this component within the scope of license renewal? And what are the results of Duke's aging management review?

The applicant indicated that the waste gas separator is within the scope of license renewal and provided the results of the aging management review to the NRC staff for review (see the table presented in Attachment 2).

- 5. In Section 2.3.3.9, a Conventional Waste Water Treatment System sump pump for the Standby Shutdown Facility (SSF) at McGuire is identified as within the scope of license renewal. Does a similar pump exist at the Catawba station? If so, what are the design differences between these two systems that would cause the SSF sump pump to be in scope at McGuire and not in scope at Catawba?

The applicant indicated that the SSF sump pump was included in the scope of license renewal at McGuire because credible events involving pipe breaks in other systems could cause flooding in the SSF building. At Catawba, no credible pipe breaks were identified that could cause flooding of the SSF and necessitate the use of the Catawba SSF sump pump to prevent flood-induced failure of equipment required to mitigate the effects of a fire or station blackout event.

The NRC staff is considering the applicant's responses to these questions and is continuing its review of the waste treatment and disposal systems.

A draft of this telecommunication summary was provided to the applicant to allow them the opportunity to comment prior to the summary being issued.

*/RA/*

Rani L. Franovich, Project Manager  
 License Renewal Project Directorate  
 Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-369, 50-370, 50-413, and 50-414

Attachments: As stated

cc w/attachments: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

See next page

Document Name: C:\Program Files\Adobe\Acrobat 4.0\PDF Output\Conference Call Summary Sep~.wpd

OFFICE	LA:DRIP	ME:RLSB:DRIP	BC:RLSB:DRIP
NAME	E Hylton	R Franovich	C Grimes
DATE	10/10/01	10/10/01	10/10/01

DISTRIBUTION:

**HARD COPY**

RLSB RF

E. Hylton

**E-MAIL:**

PUBLIC

J. Johnson

W. Borchardt

D. Matthews

C. Carpenter

C. Grimes

B. Zalcman

J. Strosnider (RidsNrrDe)

F. Eltawila

G. Bagchi

K. Manoly

W. Bateman

J. Calvo

C. Holden

P. Shemanski

S. Rosenberg

G. Holahan

T. Collins

B. Boger

D. Thatcher

G. Galletti

B. Thomas

J. Moore

R. Weisman

M. Mayfield

A. Murphy

W. McDowell

S. Droggitis

N. Dudley

RLSB Staff

-----

R. Martin

C. Patel

C. Julian (RII)

R. Haag (RII)

A. Fernandez (OGC)

J. Wilson

M. Khanna

R. Elliott

B. Rogers

McGuire & Catawba Nuclear Stations, Units 1 and 2

Mr. Gary Gilbert  
Regulatory Compliance Manager  
Duke Energy Corporation  
4800 Concord Road  
York, South Carolina 29745

Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn  
Duke Energy Corporation  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Anne Cottingham, Esquire  
Winston and Strawn  
1400 L Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

North Carolina Municipal Power  
Agency Number 1  
1427 Meadowwood Boulevard  
P. O. Box 29513  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27626

County Manager of York County  
York County Courthouse  
York, South Carolina 29745

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency  
121 Village Drive  
Greer, South Carolina 29651

Ms. Karen E. Long  
Assistant Attorney General  
North Carolina Department of Justice  
P. O. Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Ms. Elaine Wathen, Lead REP Planner  
Division of Emergency Management  
116 West Jones Street  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27603-1335

Mr. Robert L. Gill, Jr.  
Duke Energy Corporation  
Mail Stop EC-12R  
P. O. Box 1006  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Douglas J. Walters  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
1776 I Street, N.W., Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20006-3708

North Carolina Electric Membership  
Corporation  
P. O. Box 27306  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
4830 Concord Road  
York, South Carolina 29745

Mr. Virgil R. Autry, Director  
Dept of Health and Envir Control  
2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

Mr. C. Jeffrey Thomas  
Manager - Nuclear Regulatory Licensing  
Duke Energy Corporation  
526 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. L. A. Keller  
Duke Energy Corporation  
526 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Saluda River Electric  
P. O. Box 929  
Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Mr. Peter R. Harden, IV  
VP-Customer Relations and Sales  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
5929 Carnegie Blvd.  
Suite 500  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28209

Mr. T. Richard Puryear  
Owners Group (NCEMC)  
Duke Energy Corporation  
4800 Concord Road  
York, South Carolina 29745

Mr. Richard M. Fry, Director  
North Carolina Dept of Env, Health, and  
Natural Resources  
3825 Barrett Drive  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

County Manager of  
Mecklenburg County  
720 East Fourth Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Michael T. Cash  
Regulatory Compliance Manager

Duke Energy Corporation  
McGuire Nuclear Site  
12700 Hagers Ferry Road  
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
12700 Hagers Ferry Road  
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Dr. John M. Barry  
Mecklenburg County  
Department of Environmental Protection  
700 N. Tryon Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

**TELECOMMUNICATION PARTICIPANTS  
AUGUST 21, 2001**

**Staff Participants**

Rani Franovich

Chang-Yang Li

**Duke Energy Corporation Participants**

Bob Gill

Mike Semmler



**Table 3.3-47 Insert for Waste Gas Separators**

1	2	3	4	5	6
Component Type	Component Function (Note 1)	Material (Note 2)	<div style="text-align: center;"> <u>Internal Environment</u>                      External Environment                 </div>	Aging Effects	Aging Management Programs and Activities
Waste Gas Separators	PB	SS	<div style="text-align: center;"> <u>Gas</u>                      Sheltered                 </div>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <u>None Identified</u>                      None Identified                 </div>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <u>None Required</u>                      None Required                 </div>
Waste Gas Separators	PB	SS	<div style="text-align: center;">                     Treated Water (unmonitored)  <u>Sheltered</u> </div>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <u>Cracking</u>                      Loss of Material  <u>None Identified</u> </div>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <u>Waste Gas System Inspection</u>  <u>Waste Gas System Inspection</u>                      None Required                 </div>