



SEQUAL Objectives

8/30/01

- Maintain the level of safety while reducing costs
- Focus resources on real earthquake vulnerabilities.
 - > 20 years of earthquake experience
 - EBSEQ Method focuses seismic qualification efforts on seismic risk significant issues.
 - Greater emphasis on significant seismic issues such as anchorage, brittle materials, and chatter sensitive devices.

3

MAM SEQUAL

Motivations for using EBSEQ Method • GIP/NARE accepted by NRC for majority of U.S. nuclear plants. Process has matured over 20 year period > Extensive involvement by industry and regulatory experts > Experience in applying to ~70 U.S. plants: practical, effective in enhancing seismic safety of plants. > Over 1000 engineers have been trained in the process. > World-wide acceptance and use: DOE, 10 international organizations/utilities in multiple countries, U.S. codes. • Desire for standardization of requirements among otherwise similar A-46 and non-A-46 plants. > Plants with A-46 and non A-46 unites on same site or utilities with A-46 and non A-46 plants. > Provides for consistency within and across sites.SEQUAL 8/30/01

SEQUAL/NRC Interactions

- Meetings/Discussions with Staff
- Brian Sheron letter dated August 24, 2000
 - Earthquake experiential data for SEQ is permissible in the context of Part 100

-MAMMINSEQUAL

- > Identified seven technical issues to be addressed
- Requested formal submittal
- Suggested Risk-Informed approach
- SEQUAL Actions
 - Developed regulatory analysis
 - > Developed stand alone qualification document
 - Developed Risk-Informed analysis
 - > Developed technical basis to address 7 issues

5

Submitted Topical Report on April 18, 2001

B/30/01



Regulatory Analysis

- SEQUAL Topical
 - ➤ Described compliance with GDC-2 and 10CFR100
 - Provides reasonable assurance of adequate protection of public health and safety
- NRC Response
 - Properly applied and controlled experiential method may be viable

-MAMM SEQUAL

> EBSEQ method does not adequately resolve all concerns

7

- Proposed Course of Action
 - > Close outstanding technical issues, or
 - > Other

8/30/01

<section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item>

Review of Technical Concerns

- 1. Concurrent Loads
- 2. GIP Reference Spectrum
- 3. Method A ISRS
- 4. Equipment Class Definitions
- 5. Spectrum for Equipment Classes
- 6. Subassemblies
- 7. GIP as Qualification Document
- 8. Qualification for OBE

8/30/01

<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><table-container>

9

.....SEQUAL





4. Equipment Class Definitions

- SEQUAL Topical
 - > Gave basis for GIP equipment class definitions
 - Showed definitions based on more than just equipment functions, also dimensions, weight, etc.
- NRC Response
 - > Classes are too broad
 - Cursory review of data showed "very dissimilar physical characteristics" within equipment classes
 - Class definition should include number of equipment items plus average and variance on equipment parameters

-AMA SEQUAL

- Proposed Course of Action
 - > Further discussion on equipment class boundaries (dynamic similarity vs. use of bounding analyses)

13

8/30/01













. .