October 3, 2001

MEMORANDUM TO:	Peter W. Eselgroth, Chief Projects Branch 2 Division of Reactor Projects	
THRU:	Richard J. Conte, Chief Operational Safety Branch Division of Reactor Safety	/RA/
FROM:	David M. Silk / <b>RA b</b> Senior Emergency Prepared Operational Safety Branch Division of Reactor Safety	y R. J. Conte for/ Iness Inspector
SUBJECT:	FEEDER TO INDIAN POINT REPORT NO. 50-286/01-00	T 3INTEGRATED INSPECTION8

The attached report is a feeder for integration into the Indian Point 3 Inspection Report No. 50-286/01-008, and contains the results of an emergency preparedness inspection conducted by David Silk, on September 27-28, 2001, utilizing 71151, Performance Indicator Verification.

### Cover Letter Input

The three emergency preparedness performance indicators were inspected during this period. The inspection consisted of selective reviews of procedures and records, and interviews with personnel.

Attachment: Feeder to Indian Point 3 Integrated Inspection Report No. 50-286/01-008

cc w/attachment: **(VIA E-MAIL)** W. Lanning, DRS R. Crlenjak, DRS P. Eselgroth, DRP S. Barber, DRP P. Drysdale, SRI - Indian Point 3 Peter W. Eselgroth

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\OSB\SILK\IP3008FDR.WPD

After declaring this document "An Official Agency Record" it <u>will not</u> be released to the Public. To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

	1.					17
OFFICE	RI/DRS	RI/DRS				
NAME	DSilk	RConte				
DATE	10/01/01	10/03/01		01/ /04	01/ /04	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

IR 05000286-01-008; on 09/27-28/01; Indian Point Unit 3 Nuclear Power Plant; Emergency Preparedness Inspection; Performance Indicator Verification

# **Emergency Preparedness**

No findings of significance were identified.

## Report Details

## 1. REACTOR SAFETY Cornerstone: Emergency Preparedness

## OA2 Performance Indicator Verification

#### a. <u>Inspection Scope</u>

The inspector reviewed the licensee's process for identifying the data that is utilized to determine the values for the three emergency preparedness performance indicators (PIs) which are: 1) Drill and Exercise Performance (DEP), 2) Emergency Response Organization Participation, and 3) Alert and Notification System (ANS) Reliability. The inspectors reviewed data from the third quarter of 2000 through the second quarter of 2001 using the criteria of NEI 99-02, Revision 1, *"Regulatory Assessment Performance Indicator Guideline"*. Attendance records for drill and exercise participation were reviewed, selected scenario were reviewed to confirm DEP opportunities, and ANS test data was reviewed for completeness and accuracy.

b. Findings

No findings of significance were identified.

## **KEY POINTS OF CONTACT**

### <u>Licensee</u>

M. Wilson, Emergency Preparedness Coordinator

## LIST OF ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

- Opened: None
- Closed: None
- Discussed: None

### LIST OF BASELINE INSPECTIONS PERFORMED

71151 Performance Indicator Verification

## LIST OF ACRONYMS USED

- ANS Alert and Notification System
- DEP Drill and Exercise Performance
- PI Performance Indicator