September 27, 2001

Dr. Gordon W. Wittmeyer
Manager, Performance Assessment
Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses
6220 Culebra Road
P.O. DRAWER 28510
San Antonio, Texas 78228-0510

SUBJECT: ACCEPTANCE OF IM 20.01402.761.110, "TECHNICAL EXCHANGE ON

TOTAL SYSTEM PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT - SITE

RECOMMENDATION (TSPA-SR)/LICENSE APPLICATION REVISION 00"

Dear Dr. Wittmeyer:

The Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses (CNWRA) documented the completion of the subject Intermediate Milestone (IM) on August 16, 2001, in a letter. The work documented in the letter fulfills IM 20.01402.761.070 in the FY00 CNWRA Operations Plan and is accepted. The letter was received on August 20, 2001, and will be made available to the public.

The issue-resolution technical exchanges were among the most important activities that the Total System Performance Assessment and Integration (TSPAI) Key Technical Issue (KTI) team had to accomplish during this fiscal year. The successful resolution of the TSPAI KTI subissues was made possible by the support provided by the CNWRA staff. I would like to recognize the work of all of the CNWRA staff who contributed to resolution of the TSPAI KTI subissues. Each of the CNWRA subissue leads played an important role in completing the necessary reviews, developing comments, and reaching the necessary agreements with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Others also provided important contributions. In particular, I would to recognize the efforts of: Stefan Mayer and Roland Benke (model abstraction), Osvaldo Pensado (scenario analysis), Sitakanta Mohanty (multiple barriers), and James Weldy, Michael Smith and Sitakanta Mohanty (overall performance objective). In addition, Patrick LaPlante, James Weldy, and Roland Benke made significant contributions to the biosphere-related reviews.

Although the TSPAI KTI subissues are now designated as "closed pending," there will continue to be a significant amount of work to be done reviewing future DOE documents, interacting with the DOE on the issues discussed during the issue resolution technical exchanges, and working with the other KTI teams as they conduct their reviews. With respect to conducting and documenting future TSPAI reviews of DOE information, I would like to stress the importance of the following:

- (1) The Yucca Mountain Review Plan will provide the structure for our reviews,
 - (1) It should be used for any future reviews of applicable DOE documents;
 - (2) When difficulties are encountered using the Yucca Mountain Review Plan, suggested improvements should be identified;

Dr. G. W. Wittmeyer

- (2) Any existing agreements or DOE delta-table entries, particularly those reached during the TSPAI Technical Exchanges, relevant to the review should be identified; and
- (3) The documentation of the review should address the DOE information in light of the relevant acceptance criteria and agreements.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-6628 or (jrf2@nrc.gov).

Sincerely, /RA, JAMES FIRTH

James R. Firth, Element Manager
Total System Performance Assessment
and Integration KTI
Division of Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

cc: B. Meehan, CAB1/ADM

J. Linehan, PMDA

September 27, 2001

- (2) Any existing agreements or DOE delta-table entries, particularly those reached during the TSPAI Technical Exchanges, relevant to the review should be identified; and
- (3) The documentation of the review should address the DOE information in light of the relevant acceptance criteria and agreements.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-6628 or (jrf2@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

James R. Firth, Element Manager
Total System Performance Assessment
and Integration KTI
Division of Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

cc: B. Meehan, CAB1/ADM

J. Linehan, PMDA

<u>DISTRIBUTION</u>: NMSS r/f HLWB rf tf EWhitt WDam CWRe

amer

DDeMarco KTI Leads MLee DEsh THEssig

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DWM\EPAB\JRF\20010134LET.WPD

OFC	DWM: EPAB	BC:DWM:EPAB			
NAME	JFirth:rmc	SWastler			
DATE	9/ 27 /01	9/ 27 /01			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

		NO should be	Delete file after made available to the			<u></u>	
					(Initials)	(Date)	
2) This	document	is related t	to the HLW program.	If it is related	to HLW, i	t should be p	laced in
the LSS.	. <u>jrf</u>	9/ /01					
	(Initials)	(Date)					