

1306

**DOCKETED NUMBER**

**PROPOSED RULE: PR-1,2,58,51,52,54,60,70,73,76&110**

**From:** <suzmac@excite.com>

**(66 FR 19610)** <cag@nrc.gov>

**Date:** Mon, Sep 24, 2001 1:18 AM

**Subject:** NRC RuleForum Form Submission: Reactor Liscensing Hearings

**DOCKETED  
USNRC**

September 25, 2001 (3:10PM)

**OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF**

**Who:** Suzanne MacGillivray  
**Organization:** No Organization Given  
**Email:** suzmac@excite.com  
**Re:** Reactor Liscensing Hearings  
**Comments:**

I understand that a proposal is on the table to "streamline" the liscensing process for reactors that will, in effect, elimiate the public's right to call a formal hearing concerning liscensing. The time for public response must not be reduced or you are no longer actually allowing for public response. The safety of nuclear plants is so vital to the health of US citizens and we should not loose the right to voice concerns. An informal process will also reduce the public's power, of which I am sure you are aware. But we are also aware and believe that formal hearings are necessary. They are also our right as citizens of this country and the unwitting victims of nuclear accidents (i.e. disasters.) Please leave the democratic process intact and do not reduce the public's input into liscensing. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Suzanne MacGillivray  
Austin TX

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02