

SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

ALLEGATION ACTION PLAN

AMS NO. RIII-00-A-0127

Licensee: ComEd
 Docket/License No:
 Assigned Division/Branch: DB/DNMS

Canino

Allegation Review Board Membership:

Chairman - G. Grant / C. Pederson

✓ R. Paul / *✓* B. Berson / *✓* J. Heller / *✓* A. Keck

✓ BJorgensen, DB

~~B. Clayton / J. Grobe / S. Reynolds~~

GENERIC CONCERNS: If Yes Explain:

DISCUSSION OF SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE: No immediate threat to public health and safety

OI ACCEPTANCE: YES NO (Priority: ~~HIGH~~ N/A ~~NORMAL~~ ~~LOW~~)

Basis for OI Priority:

OI has Accepted Concern(s) No(s). Signature

ARB MINUTES PROVIDED TO: Dyer/Paul/ Jorgensen

DDAS 7/17/00

ACKNOWLEDGMENT LETTER: PRINT IN FINAL X REVISE N/A

REFERRAL LETTER: A. Licensee YES NO *✓*
 B. State of *←* YES NO *✓*
 C. DOE YES NO *✓*

date received	06/22/2000		
due date of 1 st ARB	July 21, 2000	date -120 days old	October 19, 2000
due date of ACK Ltr	July 21, 2000	date -180 day old	December 18, 2000

COMMENTS:
 The CI **did** object to release of identity

Paul Canino
 Allegation Review Board Chairman

7/6/00
 Date

E/27
DDAS

SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

AMS No. RIII-00-A-0127

Each stated concern or NRC identified issue should be documented on a separate sheet. Each concern must be documented and written with enough detail to allow thorough follow up.

Concern No. 1 ComEd discriminated against the CI by informing a prospective employer that s/he had previously filed discrimination complaints against ComEd when s/he applied for a job. Because the prospective employer was made aware of this, the CI was not hired.

Regulatory Basis: 50.7

I. Action Evaluation: The following method of resolution is recommended (circle):

- A. Send to Licensee Requesting Response in ____ Days. (Describe the general areas we expect the licensee to address.)
- B. Priority RIII Follow up and Closure Memo to OAC
- C. Follow up During Routine Inspection Within ____ Days and Closure Memo to OAC
- D. Refer to OI. Recommended Priority: HIGH NORMAL LOW
Recommended Basis:
- E. Outside NRC's Jurisdiction. Describe Basis Below.
- F. Too General for Follow-up. Describe Basis Below.
- XX G. Other (specify) -

Since the CI objected to the release of her/his identity, an OI investigation is not warranted. However, maintain the case open to monitor the DOL proceedings. Re ARB when the DOL has finished their investigation.

Responsible for Action - EICS

II. Special Considerations/Instructions:

SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-00-A-0127

July 12, 2000

MEMORANDUM TO: Bruce Jorgensen, Chief, Decommissioning Branch, DNMS

FROM: A. Kock, RIII - OAC

SUBJECT: **RECEIPT OF NEW ALLEGATION: RIII-00-A-0127 (ComEd)**

On June 22, 2000, EICS received a concern regarding discrimination for engaging in protected activities.

On July 12, 2000, Bruce Jorgensen reviewed the concerns with OAC and agrees:

- all of the concerns were identified,
- all of the concerns were correctly characterized,
- the regulatory basis for each concern was correctly identified, and
- with the proposed action to resolve each concern,
-

I have scheduled an Allegation Review Board (ARB) for July 17, 2000 . Please review the attached information to prepare for the ARB.

cc w/attachments:

ARB Copy

OI

RC