

ARB MEETING AGENDA  
APRIL 8, 1997

RII-1996-A-0249 MCGUIRE 1 Received 12/16/96 Days Open 112

CONCERN 1  
THE ALLEGER STATED THEY RECENTLY LAID HIM OFF BECAUSE THE SYSTEM ENGINEER FUNCTION HE WAS DOING ON THE ICE CONDENSER DID NOT REQUIRE A FULL TIME POSITION. HE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM DID REQUIRE FULL TIME ATTENTION DUE TO THE MANY CHALLENGES THE SYSTEM PRESENTS BASED ON HIS MANY YEARS OF EX

WATTS BAR 1 Received 12/16/96 Days Open 112

CONCERN 1  
THE ALLEGER STATED THEY RECENTLY LAID HIM OFF BECAUSE THE SYSTEM ENGINEER FUNCTION HE WAS DOING ON THE ICE CONDENSER DID NOT REQUIRE A FULL TIME POSITION. HE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM DID REQUIRE FULL TIME ATTENTION DUE TO THE MANY CHALLENGES THE SYSTEM PRESENTS BASED ON HIS MANY YEARS OF EX

CATAWBA 1 Received 12/16/96 Days Open 112

CONCERN 1  
THE ALLEGER STATED THEY RECENTLY LAID HIM OFF BECAUSE THE SYSTEM ENGINEER FUNCTION HE WAS DOING ON THE ICE CONDENSER DID NOT REQUIRE A FULL TIME POSITION. HE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM DID REQUIRE FULL TIME ATTENTION DUE TO THE MANY CHALLENGES THE SYSTEM PRESENTS BASED ON HIS MANY YEARS OF EX

RII-1997-A-0044 SEQUOYAH 1 Received 2/21/97 Days Open 45

CONCERN 1  
EQ PROBLEMS WERE CLASSIFIED BY TVA MANAGEMENT AS ENHANCEMENTS INSTEAD OF DEGRADED NONCONFORMING PLANT CONDITIONS WHICH REQUIRED PROMPT CORRECTIVE ACTIONS. THE ALGR MADE REFERENCE TO DCNs 8779 AND 8780 ISSUE INVOLVING MOVs WITHOUT "T" DRAINS

RII-1997-A-0049 HATCH 2 Received 2/28/97 Days Open 38

CONCERN 1  
WHILE THE NRC RESIDENT INSPECTORS WERE ON A LUNCH BREAK, [REDACTED] DROPPED OFF A HAND WRITTEN NOTE LOCATED INSIDE LICENSEE'S INTER-DEPARTMENT DELIVERY ENVELOPE. THE [REDACTED] STATED "HERE ONE OF YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT THIS" AND WALKED OUT. *the*

HATCH 2 Received 2/28/97 Days Open 38

CONCERN 1  
WHILE THE NRC RESIDENT INSPECTORS WERE ON A LUNCH BREAK, [REDACTED] DROPPED OFF A HAND WRITTEN NOTE LOCATED INSIDE LICENSEE'S INTER-DEPARTMENT DELIVERY ENVELOPE. THE [REDACTED] STATED "HERE ONE OF YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT THIS" AND WALKED OUT. *7c*

RII-1997-A-0079 ST LUCIE 1 Received 3/26/97 Days Open 12

CONCERN 1  
EVERY OUTAGE THE LICENSEE USES THE SAME CREW ON THE REACTOR HEAD [REDACTED] THE DOSE SHOULD BE SPREAD OUT MORE EQUITABLY. [REDACTED] *7c*

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions

X/11  
16

ARB AGENDA

April 8, 1997

RII-1996-A-0249      McGUIRE, CATAWBA, WATTS BAR      RE-PANEL

REASON FOR ARB:

1. RE-PANEL REQUIRED ACTIONS FOR INSPECTION FOLLOW-UP.
2. PLACE 3/12/97 MEMO FROM W. McNULTY OF OI TO B. URYC ON ARB MEETING AGENDA. ALLEGER BELIEVES HIS SAFETY ISSUE MAY BE PLANT GENERIC.

CONCERN 1

THE ALLEGER STATED HE WAS LAID HIM OFF BECAUSE THE SYSTEM ENGINEER FUNCTION HE WAS DOING ON THE ICE CONDENSER DID NOT REQUIRE A FULL TIME POSITION. HE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM DID REQUIRE FULL TIME ATTENTION DUE TO THE MANY CHALLENGES THE SYSTEM PRESENTS BASED ON HIS MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WITH IT. HE IS CONCERNED THE SYSTEM MAY DEGRADE AND NOT RECEIVE SUFFICIENT ATTENTION. THE CURRENTLY ASSIGNED PERSON HAS TWO OTHER SYSTEMS ALSO.

CONCERN 2

ALLEGER EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT BROKEN SCREWS WHICH WERE FOUND IN THE ICE CONDENSER IN 1995. A PER WAS INITIATED TO PERFORM AN EVALUATION. TVA INITIALLY PERFORMED A METALLURGICAL ANALYSIS. SUBSEQUENT TO THAT REPORT, THE ISSUE WAS GIVEN TO WESTINGHOUSE TO ANALYZE. THE ISSUE WAS VERY QUICKLY ANALYZED AWAY AND THE FIRST REPORT WAS NOT USED TO SUPPORT THE ANALYSIS. HE IS CONCERNED WHETHER THE ANALYSIS WAS ADEQUATE. THE CI CALLED DUKE POWER TO ASK IF THEY HAD EXPERIENCED A SIMILAR PROBLEM. THEY STATED THAT THEY FIND BROKEN SCREWS REGULARLY DURING OUTAGES AND REPLACE THEM WITH STAINLESS STEEL SCREWS. THEY IMPLIED TO HIM THAT THEY HAD PERFORMED AN INFORMAL INTERNAL ANALYSIS OF THE ISSUE AND DID NOT INVOLVE WESTINGHOUSE NOR DID THEY HIGHLIGHT THE ISSUE AS AN INDUSTRY PROBLEM.

CONCERN 3

ALLEGER BELIEVES HIS SAFETY ISSUE MAY BE PLANT GENERIC.

---

RII-1997-A-0044      SEQUOYAH 1, 2      RE-PANEL

REASON FOR ARB:

DISCUSS ALGR'S LTR OF 3/20/97. ALGR STATES THAT EQ TECH ISSUES WERE ADDRESSED IN 12/04/96 MEMO TO OI (VORSE). ALGR CONCERNED ABOUT TIMELY REVIEW OF EQ ISSUES.

ARB MEETING AGENDA  
APRIL 8, 1997

RII-1996-A-0249

CATAWBA 1 §2

RECEIVED 12/16/96

DAYS OPEN 112

REASON FOR ARB:

PLACE 3/12/97 MEMO FROM W. McNULTY OF OI TO B. URYC ON ARB MEETING AGENDA. ALGR BELIEVES HIS SAFETY ISSUE MAY BE PLANT GENERIC.

CONCERN 1

THE ALLEGER STATED THEY RECENTLY LAID HIM OFF BECAUSE THE SYSTEM ENGINEER FUNCTION HE WAS DOING ON THE ICE CONDENSER DID NOT REQUIRE A FULL TIME POSITION. HE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM DID REQUIRE FULL TIME ATTENTION DUE TO THE MANY CHALLENGES THE SYSTEM PRESENTS BASED ON HIS MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WITH IT. HE IS CONCERNED THE SYSTEM MAY DEGRADE AND NOT RECEIVE SUFFICIENT ATTENTION. THE CURRENTLY ASSIGNED PERSON (RESERVED) HAS TWO OTHER SYSTEMS ALSO.

ACTION:

LEAD DIVISION/BRANCH:

LICENSEE REFERRAL:

REFERRAL CRITERIA

1. Could the release of information bring harm to the allegor or confidential source? [YES  , NO  ]
2. Has the allegor or confidential source voiced objects to the release of the allegation to the licensee? [YES  , NO  ]
3. What is the licensee's history of allegations? [SAT  , UNSAT  ]
4. Has the allegor or confidential source already taken the concern to the licensee with unsatisfactory results? [YES  , NO  ]
5. Are resources to investigate available within the region? [YES  , NO  ]

NOT REFER IF:

1. Information cannot be released in sufficient detail to the licensee without compromising identity? [YES  , NO  ]
2. The licensee could compromise an investigation or inspection? [YES  , NO  ]
3. The allegation is made against the licensee's management or those parties who would normally receive and address the allegations. [YES  , NO  ]
4. The basis of the allegation is information received from a Federal agency that does not approve of release. [YES  , NO  ]

OI ACTION:

OI PRIORITY:

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE:

COMPLETION DATE:

ATTENDEES

NOTE: ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB MEETING

ARB MEETING AGENDA

APRIL 8, 1997

RII-1996-A-0249

MCGUIRE 1 & 2

RECEIVED 12/16/96

DAYS OPEN 112

REASON FOR ARB:

PLACE 3/12/97 MEMO FROM W. McNULTY OF OI TO B. URYC ON ARB MEETING AGENDA. ALGR BELIEVES HIS SAFETY ISSUE MAY BE PLANT GENERIC.

CONCERN 1

THE ALLEGER STATED THEY RECENTLY LAID HIM OFF BECAUSE THE SYSTEM ENGINEER FUNCTION HE WAS DOING ON THE ICE CONDENSER DID NOT REQUIRE A FULL TIME POSITION. HE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM DID REQUIRE FULL TIME ATTENTION DUE TO THE MANY CHALLENGES THE SYSTEM PRESENTS BASED ON HIS MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WITH IT. HE IS CONCERNED THE SYSTEM MAY DEGRADE AND NOT RECEIVE SUFFICIENT ATTENTION. THE CURRENTLY ASSIGNED PERSON (RESERVED) HAS TWO OTHER SYSTEMS ALSO.

ACTION:

LEAD DIVISION/BRANCH:

LICENSEE REFERRAL:

REFERRAL CRITERIA

- 1. Could the release of information bring harm to the allegor or confidential source? [YES , NO ]
- 2. Has the allegor or confidential source voiced objects to the release of the allegation to the licensee? [YES , NO ]
- 3. What is the licensee's history of allegations? [SAT , UNSAT ]
- 4. Has the allegor or confidential source already taken the concern to the licensee with unsatisfactory results? [YES , NO ]
- 5. Are resources to investigate available within the region? [YES , NO ]

IT REFER IE:

- 1. Information cannot be released in sufficient detail to the licensee without compromising identity? [YES , NO ]
- 2. The licensee could compromise an investigation or inspection? [YES , NO ]
- 3. The allegation is made against the licensee's management or those parties who would normally receive and address the allegations. [YES , NO ]
- 4. The basis of the allegation is information received from a Federal agency that does not approve of release. [YES , NO ]

ACTION:

PRIORITY:

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE:

COMPLETION DATE:

ATTENDEES

NOTE: ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB MEETING

---

# ARB MEETING AGENDA

APRIL 8, 1997

---

RII-1996-A-0249

WATTS BAR 1

RECEIVED 12/16/96

DAYS OPEN 112

---

## REASON FOR ARB:

PLACE 3/12/97 MEMO FROM W. McNULTY OF OI TO B. URYC ON ARB MEETING AGENDA. ALGR BELIEVES HIS SAFETY ISSUE MAY BE PLANT GENERIC.

## CONCERN 1

THE ALLEGER STATED THEY RECENTLY LAID HIM OFF BECAUSE THE SYSTEM ENGINEER FUNCTION HE WAS DOING ON THE ICE CONDENSER DID NOT REQUIRE A FULL TIME POSITION. HE STATED THAT THE SYSTEM DID REQUIRE FULL TIME ATTENTION DUE TO THE MANY CHALLENGES THE SYSTEM PRESENTS BASED ON HIS MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WITH IT. HE IS CONCERNED THE SYSTEM MAY DEGRADE AND NOT RECEIVE SUFFICIENT ATTENTION. THE CURRENTLY ASSIGNED PERSON (RESERVED) HAS TWO OTHER SYSTEMS ALSO.

---

## ACTION:

## LEAD DIVISION/BRANCH:

## LICENSEE REFERRAL:

### REFERRAL CRITERIA

1. Could the release of information bring harm to the allegor or confidential source? [YES  , NO  ]
2. Has the allegor or confidential source voiced objects to the release of the allegation to the licensee? [YES  , NO  ]
3. What is the licensee's history of allegations? [SAT  , UNSAT  ]
4. Has the allegor or confidential source already taken the concern to the licensee with unsatisfactory results? [YES  , NO  ]
- Are resources to investigate available within the region? [YES  , NO  ]

### DO NOT REFER IE:

1. Information cannot be released in sufficient detail to the licensee without compromising identity? [YES  , NO  ]
2. The licensee could compromise an investigation or inspection? [YES  , NO  ]
3. The allegation is made against the licensee's management or those parties who would normally receive and address the allegations. [YES  , NO  ]
4. The basis of the allegation is information received from a Federal agency that does not approve of release. [YES  , NO  ]

## OI ACTION:

## OI PRIORITY:

## SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE:

## COMPLETION DATE:

---

ATTENDEES

NOTE: ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB MEETING