



## Exhibit 2, Design Review Board Approval Form

DCP, LDCP, TM, CALC, Other

Attachment **5**  
Calc. No. SD-000510-003  
Revision 0  
Page No. 1

Product No: SD-000510-003, Rev. 0

Title of Product: Evaluation of Fan-Accumulator Room Walls

Date: 5/27/00

The DRB will be responsible for providing an additional level of management oversight to assure the quality of products reviewed and endorsed by the DRB.

DRB members shall pay particular attention to the aspects of the products (i.e., design input, assumptions, equipment locations, etc) within their respective areas of expertise.

### Approval by Membership

Area	Name (Printed)	Name (Signature)	Date
Regulatory Affairs	Waived		
Maintenance	Waived		
NE Electrical <i>(per Telecom)</i>	<i>T.R. Eisenbart</i>	<i>T.R. Eisenbart by wjg</i>	<i>5/27/00</i>
NE I & C	<i>H.L. Herman</i>	<i>H.L. Herman</i>	<i>5/26/00</i>
NE Mechanical	Waived		
NE Structural	<i>W.J. Laigner</i>	<i>W.J. Laigner</i>	<i>5/27/00</i>
System Engineering	<i>P.W. Leonard</i>	<i>P.W. Leonard</i>	<i>5/22/00</i>
Operations	Waived		
Fuel, Safety and Analysis	<i>A.W. LIPPITT</i>	<i>A.W. Lippitt</i>	<i>5/26/00</i>
	<i>R.C. HEIDER</i>	<i>R.C. Heider</i>	<i>5/26/00</i>

*B/8*

This comment was resolved by the decision to include the RSG run, used for determining the dynamic load factor, in this calculation.

18. The second sentence of the Conclusion should be revised to state that "The *controlling* accident pressure...".

Response:

This sentence has been revised as described above.

19. The lines shown about a quarter of the distance from the containment wall on page A5 should be removed or explained.

Response:

The vertical lines that showed the jog in the wall were removed.

DRB Comments on May 17 meeting handout addressing upw

20. The hand-out assumes the uplift load is distributed between the c based upon the capacity of each. What is the impact of the load to is distributed based on a comparison of the stiffness of the wall and

Response:

In Section 7.3.5, the load to the column and the wall vertical reinforcing is now distributed based on the stiffness of each of these components. Both the reinforcing and the column are shown to have adequate capacity to take the uplift load.

21. In reviewing calculation SD-990909-003, page 13 states that a factor of 1.2 is applied to the main steam pressure. Westinghouse originally specified this factor as 1.4 to account for uncertainties in the TMD analysis (Reference page A2 of Attachment A of SD-990909-003). A UFSAR change is being made to reduce this factor to 1.2. Calculation SD-000513-003 does not include either the 1.2 or 1.4 factor.

Response:

Because this calculation is a functionality (operability) calculation, the 1.2 design margin factor is not required.

BUT IT BETTER BE  
IN FINAL  
CALC

22. Are the uplift forces obtained from SD-990909-003 determined using licensing basis or "functional" load factors?

Response: