



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III
801 WARRENVILLE ROAD
LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532-4351

August 17, 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR: Ross Landsman, Project Engineer
Division of Nuclear Materials Safety

FROM: J. E. Dyer, Regional Administrator *J. Dyer*

SUBJECT: RESOLUTION OF DIFFERING PROFESSIONAL VIEW
ON CEQ FAN ROOM WALL OPERABILITY
(D. C. COOK UNIT 2 STARTUP)

Your memorandum to me dated June 6, 2000, identified your Differing Professional View (DPV) with the NRC staff decision to allow the restart of D. C. Cook, Unit 2, with a degraded, but operable CEQ fan room wall. The DPV addressed two concerns related to the operability of the containment wall. The first concern focused on the technical aspects of the operability evaluation for the wall, questioning both the conservatisms and uncertainties used to determine that the design margin of the wall was acceptable. The second concern challenged the appropriateness of applying the criteria of Generic Letter 91-18 to the degraded wall. In a memo dated June 23, 2000, I formed an Ad Hoc DPV Review Panel in accordance with NRC Management Directive 10.159.

I have reviewed the August 11, 2000, report of the Ad Hoc Differing Professional View Panel concerning the CEQ fan room wall operability and agree with the panel's rationale, conclusions, and recommendation. A copy of the panel's report is attached. The panel concluded that the actions taken by the NRC staff were appropriate from both the technical and process perspectives. The panel made a recommendation that the NRC staff address with the licensee a more definitive time frame for the final corrective actions for the degraded wall. By separate correspondence I will direct the MC 0350 panel to address this issue with the licensee to firm up a corrective action schedule.

I appreciate and commend your willingness to utilize the DPV process. Your willingness to bring your concerns to my attention in a timely manner facilitated the NRC staff deliberations before restart and contributed to the quality of the restart decision-making process. In accordance with Management Directive 10.159, a summary of the issue and its disposition will be included in the Weekly Information Report to advise interested employees of the outcome. DPVs are not normally made available to the public. However, if you would like to have your DPV case file made public, with or without the release of your name, please contact Bruce Berson.

CONTACT: Bruce Berson/ORR
630/829-9653

B/12

Ross Landsman

This completes our review of your DPV. Should you wish, you may initiate the Differing Professional Opinion process as described in Management Directive 10.159.

Attachment: As stated

RESPONSE

DPV A BULLSHIT, ANOTHER BLOWOFF, JUST AS BAD AS CHEMETRON
DPV/DPO

THIS IS A WORST BLOWOFF THAN NR DID TO ME AT MEETING
IN WASHINGTON June 1

STILL DO NOT HAVE TIME TABLE TO FIX UNIT 2 WALLS

WAITING FOR MEETING MINUTES OF UNIT 1 CONTAINMENT
MEETING TO WRITE DPO

UNIT 1 ^{CONTAINMENT} IS WORSE THAN UNIT 2 CONTAINMENT
SLAB IS TOO THIN, WOULDN'T LET ME LOOK AT IT
12"

ARE NOT FOLLOWING ~~THE~~ G.L. 91-18 AT ALL

WERE GOING TO
THEY LET COOK STARTUP NO MATTER WHAT, i.e.
EDGE CABLE SEPARATION ISSUE WORSE, MANY
MORE ISSUES JUST CLOSED.