



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III
801 WARRENVILLE ROAD
LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532-4351

December 12, 2000

REF: G20000572
Action: ~~Shea~~, OEDO
Cys w/o report:
Travers
Paperiello
Miraglia
Norry
Craig
Collins, NRR

MEMORANDUM TO: William D. Travers, EDO

FROM: J. E. Dyer, Regional Administrator *J. E. Dyer*

SUBJECT: DIFFERING PROFESSIONAL OPINION CONCERNING THE
STARTUP OF D.C. COOK UNITS 1 AND 2

Pursuant to Management Directive 10.159, attached is a copy of the Differing Professional View (DPV) case file and related documentation associated with this Differing Professional Opinion (DPO). As the attachments indicate, the DPV was filed while the Manual Chapter 0350 panel was still reviewing the operability of the degraded D.C. Cook, Unit 2, CEQ fan room concrete wall. I extracted the concerns raised in the DPV and directed the Panel to specifically address the concerns in its review. The DPV filer agreed to permit the Panel to conclude its review of the issues and hold his DPV in abeyance until he could review the written bases for the Panel's conclusions. When the filer subsequently disagreed with the Panel, we then processed the DPV in accordance with Management Directive 10.159.

As noted in my memorandum to you dated December 6, 2000, the DPO does not appear to raise any new issues or present any new information that was not considered in our response to the DPV. Therefore, no additional special reviews are considered necessary or planned in conjunction with the D.C. Cook, Unit 1, startup.

Attachments: As stated

Jim -
This is the DPV case
file.
Steve
1/18/2000

B/26