September 10, 2001

Ms. Deborah Katz Citizens Awareness Network P.O. Box 83 Shelburne Falls , MA 01370

SUBJECT: WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED DIRECTOR'S DECISION

Dear Ms. Katz:

The purpose of this letter is to document the agreement you made with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff, on behalf of all the petitioners for the Petition dated December 4, 2000, to provide written comments on the accuracy and completeness of the proposed Director's Decision. The proposed Director's Decision was sent to you on July 25, 2001. The proposed Director's Decision addresses the actions the petitioners requested the NRC take against the licensee of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 (IP2).

Over the past 30 days, the petitioners have been given two opportunities to provide written comments on the proposed Director's Decision. However, due to conflicts with other higher priority issues, they have been unable to meet those commitments.

On September 4, 2001, the Petition Manager, Mr. Gratton, contacted you concerning your interest in commenting on the proposed Director's Decision. Mr. Gratton explained that in the interest of receiving all public comments, the NRC has agreed to extend the comment period for the proposed Director's Decision. He also explained that the NRC has an obligation to balance the need for open comment with the timely issuance of the final document. You agreed at that time to provide your written comments to the NRC by September 14, 2001. If you are not able to meet this commitment and provide your written comment, the staff will have to proceed with issuing the final Director's Decision.

Thank you for your interest in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Gratton at (301) 415-1055.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

John Zwolinski, Director Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Ms. Deborah Katz **Citizens Awareness Network** P.O. Box 83 Shelburne Falls , MA 01370

## SUBJECT: WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED DIRECTOR'S DECISION

Dear Ms. Katz:

The purpose of this letter is to document the agreement you made with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff, on behalf of all the petitioners for the Petition dated December 4, 2000, to provide written comments on the accuracy and completeness of the proposed Director's Decision. The proposed Director's Decision was sent to you on July 25, 2001. The proposed Director's Decision addresses the actions the petitioners requested the NRC take against the licensee of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 (IP2).

Over the past 30 days, the petitioners have been given two opportunities to provide written comments on the proposed Director's Decision. However, due to conflicts with other higher priority issues, they have been unable to meet those commitments.

On September 4, 2001, the Petition Manager, Mr. Gratton, contacted you concerning your interest in commenting on the proposed Director's Decision. Mr. Gratton explained that in the interest of receiving all public comments, the NRC has agreed to extend the comment period for the proposed Director's Decision. He also explained that the NRC has an obligation to balance the need for open comment with the timely issuance of the final document. You agreed at that time to provide your written comments to the NRC by September 14, 2001. If you are not able to meet this commitment and provide your written comment, the staff will have to proceed with issuing the final Director's Decision.

Thank you for your interest in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Gratton at (301) 415-1055.

Sincerely,

## /RA/

John Zwolinski, Director **Division of Licensing Project Management** Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Distribution:			
PD 1-1 Rdg	EAdensam	JZwolinski/TMarsh	SLittle
Public	SBlack	CGratton	RSubbaratnam

Accession No. ML012490294							
OFFICE	PD1-2/PM	PD1-1/LA	PRB Chair		DLPM/D		
NAME	CGratton	EDunnington for SLittle	SBlack		JZwolinski		
DATE	9/7/01	9/7/01	9/7/01		9/10/01		