Operated by Nuclear Management Company, LLC

NRC 2001-058

10 CFR 26.71(d)

August 28, 2001

Document Control Desk U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, DC 20555

Ladies/Gentlemen:

DOCKETS 50-266 AND 50-301 FITNESS-FOR-DUTY (FFD) PROGRAM POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

In accordance with 10 CFR 26.71(d), we are enclosing our Fitness-for-Duty performance data for the six-month period ending June 30, 2001. No events reportable in accordance with 10 CR 26.73(a) occurred during this period.

If you have questions or require additional information, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Webb Licensing Director

FAF/tyf

Enclosure

cc: NRC Resident Inspector

NRC Regional Administrator NRC NRR Project Manager

No.

Fitness for Duty Program Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10CFR26

Wisconsin Elect	June 30, 2001 6 Months Ending			
Point Beach Nu	clear Plant			
	Lixation		•	
Darlene L. Peter	rs			(920)755-7811
				Phone (include area code)
	Contact Name			Flione (include area code)
Cutoffs: Screen		n (ng/ml)	Appendix A to 10CFF	
Cutoffs: Screen Marijuana		Amphetamines	Appendix A to 10CFF	
			Appendix A to 10CFF	

Testing Results		Licensee l	Employees	Short-Term Contractor Personnel			
Average Number with Unescorted Access		8	04	441			
Catego	ries	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive		
Pre-Access		95	0	444	1		
For Cause	Post accident	0	0	0	0		
	Observed behavior	0	0	2	2		
Random		326	0	116	1		
Follow-up		6	0	31	0		
Other		0	0	0	0		
Total		427	0	593	4		

Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

	Marijuana	Cocaine	Opiates	Methamphetamines	Phencyclidine	Alcohol	Refusal to Test	1	2	3	4	5_	
Licensee Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Contractors	1	1	0	0	0	2	0						
Total	1	1	0	0	0	2	0					<u> </u>	4

On January 6, 2001 one contractor tested positive for marijuana on a pre-access drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On April 12, 2001 one contractor tested positive for alcohol on a for cause drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On April 13, 2001 one contractor tested positive for alcohol on a for cause drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.

On June 21, 2001 one contractor tested positive for cocaine during a random drug/alcohol screen. The contractor was not allowed unescorted access and was provided an opportunity to appeal through the Wisconsin Electric appeal process. There was no appeal and unescorted access was denied.