

September 5, 2001

ORGANIZATION: Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF MEETING WITH THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE (NEI) LICENSE RENEWAL TASK FORCE ON DEMONSTRATION PROJECT USING IMPROVED RENEWAL GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS

On July 25, 2001, NEI license renewal task force met with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff in Rockville, Maryland. The purpose of this public meeting was to discuss the license renewal application format using the Generic Aging Lessons learned (GALL) report and to come to an agreement on the format that best utilizes industry and the staff resources. The participants also discussed process issues identified during the request for additional information (RAI) in the NEI demonstration project. The improved guidance documents consist of: GALL report, Standard Review Plan for License Renewal (SRP-LR), and Regulatory Guide for License Renewal (endorsing NEI document 95-10, Rev. 3). A list of meeting attendees is Attachment 1 and handouts are Attachment 2 .

During the meeting, NRC staff and NEI Task Force discussed the following issues:

- The staff prefers the “SRP” format over the “Six-column” format because the review is based upon the guidance in the SRP. NEI Task Force indicated that the applicants would make a business decision on the basis of cost effectiveness as to which format they would follow in preparing their applications. NEI expected that the majority of the future applicant for years 2002 and beyond would follow “SRP” format.
- An applicant may reference another program evaluated in the GALL report for a component not covered by the GALL report if it involves similar environment, material, aging effect, system, and ASME Code Class (if applicable) with another component, provided it is clearly identified and explained in the application.
- When the GALL report identifies specific conditions that should be met for the report’s conclusion to apply, the applicant should provide a statement in the application indicating that the conditions are met.
- The GALL report addresses operating experience up to the time of preparation of the GALL report. The applicant should address any relevant subsequent operating experience information in their license renewal application.
- The appropriate word to indicate that an applicant meets the evaluation as described in the GALL report should be “consistent with.” Engineering judgement may be used by the applicants in making this determination. When there is some expectation that NRC staff may not come to the same determination, the applicants should identify these as differences from GALL report in their applications.

- The SRP-LR summarizes the component and program evaluation in the GALL report. If an applicant does not have a specific component in the scope for license renewal or elects not to rely on a particular program listed in the SRP-LR, the applicant could identify them in their applications to facilitate staff review.
- The staff plans to update the improved guidance document, but the update schedule is yet to be decided. NEI should be the focal point for industry comments or suggested revisions to the guidance documents.
- If a program name in the license renewal application is plant specific and different from the name used in the GALL report, the applicant should identify the plant specific name in the application.

A draft of this meeting summary was provided to NEI for their comment prior to issuance.

*/RA/*

Sikhindra K. Mitra, Project Manager  
License Renewal and Standardization Branch  
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690

Attachments: As stated

cc w/attachments: See next page

- The SRP-LR summarizes the component and program evaluation in the GALL report. If an applicant does not have a specific component in the scope for license renewal or elects not to rely on a particular program listed in the SRP-LR, the applicant could identify them in their applications to facilitate staff review.
- The staff plans to update the improved guidance document, but the update schedule is yet to be decided. NEI should be the focal point for industry comments or suggested revisions to the guidance documents.
- If a program name in the license renewal application is plant specific and different from the name used in the GALL report, the applicant should identify the plant specific name in the application.

A draft of this meeting summary was provided to NEI for their comment prior to issuance.

***/RA/***

Sikhindra K. Mitra, Project Manager  
License Renewal and Standardization Branch  
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690

Attachments: As stated

cc w/attachments: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

See next page

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\Program Files\Adobe\Acrobat 4.0\PDF Output\nei-meet.wpd

OFFICE	LA	RLSB	SC:RLSB	BC:RLSB:DRIP
NAME	EGHylton	SKMitra	SLEE	CGrimes
DATE	8/30/01	8/31/01	8/31/01	9/5/01

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DISTRIBUTION:

**HARD COPY**

RLSB RF

E. Hylton

**E-MAIL:**

PUBLIC

J. Johnson

W. Borchardt

D. Matthews

C. Carpenter

C. Grimes

B. Zalcman

J. Strosnider (RidsNrrDe)

F. Eltawila

G. Bagchi

K. Manoly

W. Bateman

J. Calvo

C. Holden

P. Shemanski

S. Rosenberg

G. Holahan

T. Collins

B. Boger

D. Thatcher

G. Galletti

B. Thomas

J. Moore

R. Weisman

M. Mayfield

A. Murphy

W. McDowell

S. Droggitis

N. Dudley

RLSB Staff

-----

A. Thadani

R. Zimmerman

C. Julian

R. Gardner

D. Chyu

M. Modes

J. Vora

NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

Project No. 690

cc:

Mr. Joe Bartell  
U.S. Department of Energy  
NE-42  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Mr. Richard P. Sedano, Commissioner  
State Liaison Officer  
Department of Public Service  
112 State Street  
Drawer 20  
Montpelier, Vermont 05620-2601

Mr. Alan P. Nelson  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
1776 I Street, N.W., Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20006-3708  
APN@NEI.ORG

Mr. Stephen T. Hale  
Florida Power & Light Company  
9760 S.W. 344 Street  
Florida City, Florida 33035

Mr. Robert Gill  
Duke Energy Corporation  
Mail Stop EC-12R  
P.O. Box 1006  
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

Mr. Charles R. Pierce  
Southern Nuclear Operating Co.  
40 Inverness Center Parkway  
BIN B064  
Birmingham, AL 35242

Mr. William Corbin  
Virginia Electric & Power Company  
Innsbrook Technical Center  
5000 Dominion Boulevard  
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Mr. Frederick W. Polaski  
Manager License Renewal  
Exelon Corporation  
200 Exelon Way  
Kennett Square, PA 19348

NRC Meeting Attendance List  
July 25, 2001

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1. Alan Nelson	NEI
2. Rob Stacknick	Exelon Nuc
3. George Wrobel	RG&E
4. Brian McIntire	AEP
5. John Rycyna	CNS
6. Mike Fallin	CNS
7. Don Shaw	CNS
8. Bernie Van Sant	OPPD
9. Joe Gasper	OPPD
10. Jim Knorr	NMC Point Beach
11. Steven Pope	CNS
12. Anne Cottingham	Winston & Strawn
13. Wesley Higgins	SCE&G
14. Roger Stewart	Progress Energy - CP&L
15. Cary Martin	Southern Co.
16. Paul Aitken	Dominion
17. Jim Strnisha	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB
18. Peter Kang	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB
19. P. T. Kuo	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB
20. Paul Shemanski	NRC/NRR/DE/EEIB
21. Joe Carrasco	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB
22. Thomas Matthews	Omaha Public Power District
23. Erach Patel	Exelon
24. Sam Lee	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB
25. Pei-Ying Chen	NRC/NRR/DE/EMEB
26. Shoji Takeyama	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB
27. Michael W. Henig	Dominion
28. David C. Jeng	NRC/NRR/DE/EMEB
29. Jet Vora	NRC/RES/DET
30. Chris Grimes	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB
31. Liz Thompson	FPL
32. S. K. Mitra	NRC/NRR/DRIP/RLSB