STONE & WEBSTER ENGINEERING CORPORATION CALCULATION TITLE PAGE *SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE SIDE

_~~...

\$ 5010.64 (FRONT)					DACE 1 OF	1	
CLIENT & PROJECT PRIVATE FUEL S	STORAGE, LLC - PRIN	DATE FUEL	STORAGE	FACILITY	+ 5 PP OF	ATTAC	H'S
CALCULATION TITL	E {Indicative of the Objective	;):			QA CAT	EGORY (S
DYNAMIC S	ETTLEMENTS OF	THE SOI	is UNDER	LYING	ZI-NUC SAF	LEAR ETY REL	ATED
THE SITE	THE SITE					L 🗆	
						011	IER
	CALCULATION IDENTI	FICATION NU	JMBER		001	TIONAL	[
J. O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALC. NO.	TASK	CODE	WORK P	ACKAGE	NO.
05996.01	G(B)	11				·	
* APPROVALS - SIG	INATURE & DATE			REV. NO.	SUPERSEDES	CONFIRM * REQUI	
PREPARER(S)/DATE	(S) REVIEWER(S)/DATE (S)	REVIEWER	ENT (S)/DATE(S)	CALC NO.	OR REV. NO.	YES	NO
PAUL J. TRUDES	U THOMAS E SPONSELER	THOMAS E.	SPONSELLER	0			\checkmark
5/20/97	3/20/97	5/20/	97				
Van Lineles	24 J. homo & Aponselle	s Thomas E	Sponseller				
PAUL J. TRUDE	W Thomas Y. Chan	2 Thomas	s Y. Chan	1	0		/
5/14/98	5/14/98	5/1	4/98		_		
Haver, Unde	au Thomas 4. Chave	f Three	of chang	ł			
PAUL J. TRUDE	AU Thomas Y. Chan	g Thomas	Y. Chang	ř.			1
5/18/01	5/18/01	5/18	-(0)	2	1		
Jan Z. Mule	2011 Thomas U. Chan	g (howa	1 y. Chave	f			
PAUL J. TRUDEN	Thomas Y. Chan	Thomas Sha	is Y. Chance		2		1
5124101	con Thomas y Ohan	of Thomas	is y chow				
Pure D. Long		(<u> </u>	•	1			
				1			<u> </u>
GROUP	NAME & LOCATION	SENT	GROUP		NAME & LOC	ATION	SENT
RECORDS MGT.	SWEC - DENVER	ORIG					1
FILE IF NONE)	STOUGHTON SWEC- BOSTON			1			I
	SWEC-DENVER	×					i I ·
	FIRE FILE						1
							ł
	}			i 			1
							i _

.

	CALCULA	TION IDENTIFICATION N	UMBER	
J.O. OR W.O. NO. 05996.02	DIVISION & GROUP G(B)	CALCULATION NO. 11-3	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 2
	TAR	I F OF CONTENTS		
Section/Title				Page
TITLE PAGE				1
TABLE OF CONT	ENTS			2
RECORD OF CHA	NGES			3
OBJECTIVE				4
DATA/ASSUMPTI	ONS			4
METHOD				6
ANALYSES				7
DISCUSSION OF H	RESULTS			8
CONCLUSIONS				9
REFERENCES				10
TABLES				11
FIGURE 1				17
			N	o of Pages
ATTACHMENTS A. FIGURE 1 OF RE	LDEN (GT-004 V04L01) Relative Density as	A FUNCTION OF N-VALUE	ES AND
EFFECTIVE STRE	CSS			1
B. FIGURE 7.5 OF L	AMBE AND WHITMAN (1	969). 187)		1
C. FIGURE 13 OF TO	UNAATSU AND SEED (19	(87) 7)		1
E FIGURE 6 OF VU	CETIC AND DOBRY (1991)		1
2				

CALCULATION SHEET

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
J.O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 3
05996.02	G(B)	11-3		

RECORD OF CHANGES

Rev No.	Description of Changes	Pages Revised	Pages Added	Pages Replaced
0	Original Issue	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Respond to NRC RAI No. 1 – Question 2-8			ALL
2	0.53g Design Basis Ground Motion			ALL
3	0.711g Design Basis Ground Motion and incorporate changes to 1996 borings and CTB borings added to SAR Appendix 2A in Sept 1999.			ALL

REASON FOR REV. 1

Rev. 1 was prepared to document the estimated dynamic settlements of the nonplastic silts in the upper layer of soils at the site that were reported in response to Question 2-8 of NRC Request for Additional Information No. 1.

REASON FOR REV. 2

Document the estimated dynamic settlements of the nonplastic silts in the upper layer of soils at the site for the 2,000-yr return period design basis ground motion (0.53g).

REASON FOR REV. 3

- 1. Document the estimated dynamic settlements of the nonplastic silts in the upper layer of soils at the site for the revised 2,000-yr return period design basis ground motion (0.711g).
- 2. Updated Table 2 to incorporate the changes that were made to the logs of the borings that were drilled throughout the site in 1996 (Borings A-1 through A-4, B-1 through B-4, C-1 through C-4, D-1 through D-4, E-3, and E-4) based on Atterberg limits tests that were performed in 1998 and 1999 and reported in Attachments 3 and 5 of Appendix 2A of the SAR.
- 3. Incorporated results of borings that were drilled in the Canister Transfer Building area in 1998.
- 4. Updated dynamic soil properties (shear modulus and unit weights) of the soils within the upper ~30 ft of the profile to agree with the best-estimate dynamic soil properties presented in Table 7 of Geomatrix (2001).

CALCULATION SHEET

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
J.O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 4
05996.02	G(B)	11-3		

OBJECTIVE

Estimate the dynamic settlement of the soils underlying the proposed Private Fuel Storage Facility (PFSF) at the Skull Valley, UT site due to shaking caused by the design earthquake.

DATA/ASSUMPTIONS

Figure 1 presents a generalized subsurface profile, which was developed based on the borings that were drilled in late 1996. The locations of these borings are presented on SAR Figure 2.6-2, and the logs of these borings are included in Attachment 1 of Appendix 2A of the SAR. The groundwater table was not encountered in these borings, the deepest of which were drilled to depths of 100 ft. In addition, seismic refraction surveys indicate that the groundwater table is greater than ~100 ft below grade at the site (see p11 of Geosphere Midwest, 1997). Additional borings were drilled in the vicinity of the Canister Transfer Building in 1998. The locations of these borings are presented on SAR Figures 2.6-18 and 19, and the logs of these borings also are included in Attachment 1 of Appendix 2A of the SAR. An observation well was installed in Boring CTB-5, and the depth to the groundwater measured in that well was found to be ~124.5 ft below grade.

The top \sim 30 ft of the profile consists of silt, silty clay, and clayey silt. As documented in Calculation 05996.02-G(B)-05-2, the median blow count for this material is \sim 14 blows per ft (based on Borings A-1 through D-4), indicating that it is "stiff", it appears to be weakly cemented, and undrained triaxial tests on the clayey silt indicates that it has a cohesion of greater than 2,000 psf. Similar results were obtained for the borings drilled in the Canister Transfer Building area.

A review of the sample descriptions included in the boring logs indicates that only two samples of nonplastic silt are characterized as "loose". These two samples, Samples S-1 in Borings AR-2 and AR-3, were both obtained at the ground surface along the access road. All other nonplastic silt samples for which density is included in the description are characterized as being dense, very dense, or compact.

The following discussion applies to the SPT samples obtained in the upper layer of silt, silty clay, and clayey silt in the areas of the site proposed for the cask storage pads, the canister transfer building, and the security and health physics building. It excludes the samples obtained at the ground surface, which represent soils that will be excavated and replaced by soil cement during construction of the facilities. The soil cement layer, typically less than 5-ft thick, will not be susceptible to dynamic settlement.

The borings drilled at the site indicate that the upper layer (~30 ft) consists mostly of soils with some plasticity, especially in the pad emplacement area. As discussed below under "Method", plastic soils are not susceptible to dynamic settlements as a result of compaction due to soil grain slip. Only cohesionless soils are susceptible to this form of dynamic settlement. However, to be conservative, this analysis assumes that this form of dynamic settlement due to soil grain slip is assumed to not apply for those soils that are characterized as moderately plastic or highly plastic; therefore, the dynamic settlement analysis excludes all SPT samples classified as MH, CL, or CH, since those specimens are assumed to have sufficient cohesion that dynamic compaction due to soil grain slip will not occur for those soils. The dynamic settlement analysis does include, however, those samples where a portion of the sample was classified as ML along with MH, CL, or CH.

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
J.O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 5
05996.02	G(B)	11-3		

Table 1 identifies all of the soil samples that were obtained in the borings drilled in 1996 and 1998 characterized as silts in the upper ~30-ft thick layer of the profile. It includes all samples with USC symbols of ML, SC, SM, or SP. It indicates which are characterized as nonplastic, slightly plastic, moderately plastic, highly plastic, or a combination of these. Table 1 also lists the depths, N-values, USC symbol, and estimated thickness of nonplastic and slightly plastic silts in the upper layer. The plasticity characterization of most of these samples was determined based on the results of the Atterberg limits tests, which are presented in Tables 1 of Attachments 5 through 8 of Appendix 2A of the SAR.

Table 2 identifies summarizes the total thickness of nonplastic and slightly plastic silts in these borings in the upper layer of the profile. These estimates are based on the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) data presented in Table 1. As shown, the average thickness of nonplastic and slightly plastic silts in these borings is \sim 7 ft. Borings A-1 through A-4, B-1, B-3, B-4, C-1 through C-4, D-1 through D-3, E-1, E-2, and CTB-2 through CTB-6 have less than or equal to 10 ft of nonplastic or slightly plastic silts. Boring B-2 in the northern edge of the pad emplacement area, west of the centerline running north-south, has \sim 11 ft of nonplastic to slightly plastic silts. Borings CTB-1, 7, and 8 and Borings D-4 and E-3 encountered 11 to 15 ft of nonplastic to slightly plastic silts in the upper \sim 30-ft thick layer. Boring E-4, located \sim 500 ft south and east of the Canister Transfer Building, encountered \sim 25 ft of nonplastic to slightly plastic silts. Note that these nonplastic silts often include occasional thin layers of clay or plastic silt, which will minimize the potential for dynamically induced settlement. Conservatively assume that the nonplastic silts are \sim 15-ft thick under the entire site in the analyses that follow. This value represents the upper bound of all of the thickness data for all of the borings, except for Boring E-4, which is located south and east of all of the safety-related structures at the site.

A total of 83 SPT samples of nonplastic to slightly plastic silts (ML, SC, SM, and SP) were obtained in the upper layer in these borings (excluding the surface samples). These are identified in Table 1 and a statistics of the blow count data are presented in Table 3. Of these 83 SPT samples, 28 were nonplastic, 18 were slightly plastic, and 37 exhibited plasticity ranging from moderately plastic to highly plastic.

The N-values for the nonplastic and slightly plastic silts in this layer ranged from 10 blows/ft to 60 blows/ft. The average N-value was 25 blows/ft, and the median was 23 blows/ft. At the center of this layer, the effective vertical stress (σ'_v) at the time of the drilling was ~15 ft x 92 pcf, or 1,380 psf. This median N-value at this σ'_v corresponds to a corrected blow count, N₁, of ~28 blows/ft, based on the relationship between penetration resistance and relative density developed by Gibbs and Holtz (1957) for granular soils. See Figure 1 of the RELDEN User's Manual (SWEC, 1979) – copy of this figure is included as Attachment A.

Assuming that these nonplastic silts are cohesionless, they would behave more like fine sands rather than cohesive soils. In this case, Figure 7.5 of Lambe and Whitman (1969) is used to estimate their relative density based on their penetration test blow counts. A copy of this figure is included as Attachment B. This figure presents the relationship between penetration resistance and relative density developed by Gibbs and Holtz (1957) for granular soils. This is very conservative, since a decrease in mean grain size tends to cause a decrease in SPT N-value for the same relative density, and the nonplastic silts at the site have a much smaller mean grain-size than the sand and fine sand used by Gibbs and Holtz to develop this relationship. Using the 10 psi (1.44 ksf) curve in this figure, or slightly below it, which is the appropriate overburden stress for the mid-depth of this layer, fine sands having the median blow count of the nonplastic silts in this layer would be characterized as "very dense", not "loose".

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
J.O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 6
05996.02	G(B)	11-3		

As indicated on the boring logs in PFSF Report 05996.01-G(B)-2-1 (SWEC, 1997), this material is underlain by very dense, fine sands, which have uncorrected blow counts that commonly exceed 100 blows/ft. The underlying soils, which are below the groundwater table, are greater than 100 ft below grade, and the P-wave velocities (5,100 fps to 5,900 ft/sec), reported by Geosphere Midwest (1997), indicate that these soils too are very dense. SPT N-values, obtained as deep as 226.5 ft below grade in Boring CTB-1, confirm that these materials are very dense to hard silts.

METHOD

Dynamic settlements, as reported in the geotechnical literature, are based on two different mechanisms, depending on whether the soils are above the groundwater table or below the groundwater table. Silver and Seed (1971) developed a technique for estimating dynamic settlements of dry cohesionless sands above the groundwater table. For such soils, the dynamic settlement mechanism is compaction due to soil grain slip, and it is a function of the magnitude of the cyclic shear strain developed due to the earthquake, the applied number of cycles of this shear strain, and the relative density of the granular soils.

Dynamic settlements of the clayey silts and silty clays in the upper layer are not expected to occur at the PFSF site due to shaking caused by the design earthquake because the cohesion and plasticity of these materials precludes their compaction due to grain slip. Dynamic settlements of the underlying very dense fine sand are not expected to occur because of their high relative density. The underlying silts, even though they are submerged, they also are very dense or hard and, thus, are not expected to experience dynamically induced settlements due to shaking caused by the design earthquake. Furthermore, these soils are too far removed from the surface to cause problems if they were to experience dynamic settlement. Therefore, dynamic settlements of the soils underlying the proposed PFSF at Skull Valley, UT will be limited to those associated with dynamic compaction due to grain slip of the nonplastic silts within the upper 30 ft of the profile.

The method used to estimate dynamic settlements of the nonplastic silts are based on those presented in Tokimatsu and Seed (1987). As they indicate, for soils above the groundwater table, dynamic settlements are calculated based on procedures originally developed by Silver and Seed (1971), and the effects of multidirectional shaking are estimated based on studies reported by Pyke, Seed, and Chan (1975). For soils below the groundwater table, dynamic settlements are calculated based on procedures developed by Lee and Albaisa (1974); however, all of the nonplastic silts in the upper 30 ft of the profile are well above the groundwater table.

Figure 13 of Tokimatsu and Seed (1987) presents the relationship between volumetric strain due to compaction, cyclic shear strain, and corrected penetration resistance (N_1) of dry sands for 15 equivalent uniform strain cycles. A copy of this figure is included as Attachment C. The cyclic shear strain is estimated based on the average cyclic shear stress due to shaking caused by the design earthquake and the shear modulus of the soil. Figure 13 is used to estimate the volumetric strain due to compaction for 15 equivalent uniform strain cycles. Table 4 of Tokimatsu and Seed (1987 - copy included as Attachment D) is then used to adjust for differences in the number of representative cycles of applied shear stress due to the design earthquake (~12 for Magnitude 7) and the 15 cycles used in Tokimatsu and Seed's studies. The dynamic settlement is calculated as the volumetric strain multiplied by the thickness of the nonplastic silts in the layer. Multidirectional effects of the earthquake are addressed by multiplying this result by 2, based on studies reported by Pyke, Seed, and Chan (1975).

STONE & WEBSTER, INC. CALCULATION SHEET

		CALCULA	TION IDENTIFICATION NU	JMBER		
J.O. OR W.C	D. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 7	
05996.0	2	G(B)	11-3			
ANALYS	ES					
The average	cyclic shear	r stress developed in th	ne field due to earthquake	e shaking is calculated as		
	τ_{avg}	$= 0.65 \bullet a_{\max} \bullet \sigma_v \bullet$	r _d /g			
where:	a _{max}	= 0.711 g for the dec	sign earthquake			
	$\sigma_{\rm v}$	= $\gamma_{\text{total}} \bullet z$ above the groundwater table, = 15' x 92 pcf.				
γ_{total} ~ 92 pcf for the upper 30', based on Table 7 of Geomatrix Calc 05996.02- G(PO18)-2, Rev. 1.					.02-	
	Z	= depth below grade	$e_{1} = 15'$ for mid-depth of	upper 30 ft layer.		
	r_{d}	= 0.95 for z = 15' (st z=30')	tress reduction factor, wh	nich varies from 1.0 at z=	0 to 0.9 at	
Thus,	τ_{avg}	$= 0.65 \bullet 0.711g \bullet 15$	$5 \text{ ft x 92 pcf} \bullet 0.95/\text{g} = 60$	06 psf		
An iterative	technique is	used to determine the	avalia strain in the field	due to the contheusly No.	. For an	

An iterative technique is used to determine the cyclic strain in the field due to the earthquake, γ_{field} . For an assumed value of the cyclic strain, G is calculated as $G_{\text{max}} \bullet G / G_{\text{max}}$, where G / G_{max} for the nonplastic silt is estimated using the curve for PI=0 presented in Figure 6 of Vucetic and Dobry (1991). A copy of this figure is included as Attachment E.

G_{max} equals 2,027 ksf, based on best-estimate value shown in Table 7 of Geomatrix Calc G(PO18)-2-1, "Dynamic Soil Properties for Spring-Dashpot-Mass Model.

The following table presents the results of these iterations.

Iteration No.	γassumed x10 ⁻⁴ in./in.	G / G _{max}	G ksf	γfield x10 ⁻⁴ in./in.	Δγ %
1	10	0.250	507	12.0	16
2	15	0.200	405	14.9	0

Determination of Cyclic Shear Strain Due to the Design Earthquake

The cyclic strain in the field, γ_{field} , is calculated as τ_{avg} / G. Note, it is equal to the assumed cyclic strain for Iteration No. 2; therefore, additional iterations are not required, and γ_{field} is 14.9 x 10⁻⁴ in./in., or 0.149%.

The volumetric strain due to compaction from 15 cycles is estimated as a function of this cyclic shear strain and N₁ of ~28 blows/ft based on Figure 13 of Tokimatsu & Seed (1987). This results in a volumetric strain, $\varepsilon_{c,N=15}$, of 0.093%.

CALCULATION SHEET

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
J.O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 8
05996.02	G(B)	11-3		

The design earthquake is magnitude 7 (SAR Section 2.6.2.3). Table 4 of Tokimatsu & Seed (1987) indicates this corresponds to ~12 cycles of loading and that volumetric strain ratio, $\varepsilon_{c,N=12} / \varepsilon_{c,N=15}$,

should be ~0.9. Therefore, the volumetric strain corresponding to the design earthquake is $\varepsilon_{c,N=12}$, which is 0.9 x 0.093%, or 0.084%.

$$\varepsilon_{c} = \frac{\Delta \rho_{dyn}}{\Delta H} \qquad \text{where } \Delta \rho_{dyn} \text{ is the dynamic settlement of the layer,} \\ \text{and } \Delta H \text{ is the thickness of the layer.}$$

The thickness of the nonplastic silts in the upper layer is conservatively estimated to be 15 ft, based on the discussion presented above. Therefore, for unidirectional shaking,

 $\Delta \rho_{dyn,1} = \Delta H \ge \epsilon_{c,N=12}$ = 15 ft x 12 in./ft x 0.084% / 100% = 0.15 inches.

The dynamic settlement is multiplied by 2 to account for multidirectional shaking due to the earthquake, as recommended by Pyke, Seed, and Chan (1975). This results in an estimated dynamic settlement of the nonplastic silts in the upper layer of 0.30 inches.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

As indicated in SWEC (1998), a considerable amount of calcium carbonate is present in these soils, as evidenced by a vigorous reaction upon application of hydrochloric acid to these soils. Therefore, these soils are believed to be cemented, the result of carbonate cement bonding of the silt and clay-size particles, imparting cohesion to these soils.

The nonplastic silts in the upper 30-ft thick layer of the subsurface profile, as evidenced by the SPT data, are not loose. The dense nature of these soils, which is most likely the result of carbonate cement bonding of the silt particles, minimizes the potential for dynamically induced settlements due to the design earthquake. Ignoring the beneficial effect that cementing of these particles would have toward minimizing dynamically induced settlements, the total dynamic settlement is conservatively estimated to be less than $\frac{1}{2}$ of an inch.

This estimated dynamic settlement was determined based on the thickness of nonplastic silts in areas where the nonplastic silts are thickest, not on an average or median thickness. In addition, it ignores the fact that these nonplastic silts are stratified with layers of clay and clayey silt, which will minimize the potential for dynamically induced settlements. Thus, this estimated dynamic settlement is very conservative.

Dynamic settlements will be much less than this over most of the cask storage pad area, since most of the soils in this area are not nonplastic. Rather, these soils are sufficiently stiff and cohesive that they will not experience dynamic compaction due to the shaking caused by the design earthquake.

CALCULATION SHEET

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
J.O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 9
05996.02	G(B)	11-3		

CONCLUSIONS

Dynamic settlements of the clayey silts and silty clays in the upper 30-ft thick layer of the subsurface profile at the PFSF site are not expected to occur due to the design earthquake because the cohesion and plasticity of these materials precludes their compaction due to grain slip as a result of shaking due to the design earthquake. Dynamic settlements of the underlying very dense fine sand are not expected to occur because of their high relative density. The underlying silts, which are below the groundwater table, also are very dense or hard and, thus, are not expected to experience dynamically induced settlements due to shaking caused by the design earthquake. Furthermore, these soils are too far removed from the surface to cause problems if they were to experience dynamic settlement. Therefore, dynamic settlements of the soils underlying the proposed PFSF at Skull Valley, UT will be limited to those associated with dynamic compaction due to grain slip of the nonplastic silts within the upper 30 ft of the profile.

The total dynamic settlement of these nonplastic silts is estimated to be less than ½ of an inch, even when conservatively assuming that they are not cemented, ignoring the fact that they are stratified with occasional layers of clay and clayey silt, which will minimize the potential for dynamically induced settlements, and that they are calculated using the maximum thickness of nonplastic silts within the upper layer, not an average or median thickness. Dynamic settlements of this magnitude are not expected to adversely affect the performance of the facilities.

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
J.O. OR W.O. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	CALCULATION NO.	OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE 10
05996.02	G(B)	11-3		

REFERENCES

- Geomatrix Calc 05996.02-G(PO18)-2-1, "Soil and Foundation Parameters for Dynamic Soil-Structure Interaction Analyses, 2,000-Year Return Period Design Ground Motions," Prepared for Stone & Webster, 3-21-01.
- Geosphere Midwest (1997), Report No. 0599601-G(PO9)-1, Rev 0, "Seismic Survey of the Private Fuel Storage Facility—Skull Valley, Utah," prepared for Stone & Webster Engineering Corp by Geosphere Midwest, Midland, MI.
- Gibbs, H. J., and W. G. Holtz, 1957, "Research on Determining the Density of Sands by Spoon Penetration Testing," *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering* (London), Vol I, 35-39.
- Lambe, T. W., and R. V. Whitman, 1969, Soil Mechanics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., N.Y., p 78.
- Lee, K. L., and A. Albaisa, 1974, " Earthquake Induced Settlements in Saturated Sands," *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, ASCE, 100(4), 387-406.
- Pyke, R., H. B. Seed, and C. K. Chan, 1975, "Settlement of Sands Under Multidirectional Shaking," *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, ASCE, 101(4), 379-398.
- Silver, M. L., and H. B. Seed, 1971, "Volume Changes in Sands During Cyclic Loading," *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, ASCE, 97(9), 1171-1182.
- SWEC Calc 05996.02-G(B)-05-2, "Document Bases for Geotechnical Parameters Provided in Geotechnical Design Criteria," Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation, Boston, MA, 6-15-00.
- SWEC (1979), "Relative Density from Standard Penetration Tests (RELDEN)", User's Manual, Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation, Boston, MA.
- SWEC (1997), PFSF Report No. 05996.01-G(B)-2 Rev 1, "Geotechnical Data Report," Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation, Boston, MA, 1997 Included as Attachment 2 of SAR Appendix 2A.
- SWEC (1998), PFSF Report No. 0599602-G(B)-2 Rev 2, "Addendum to Geotechnical Data Report Attachment 2 – Geotechnical Laboratory Testing," Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation, Boston, MA – Included as Attachment 3 of SAR Appendix 2A.
- Tokimatsu, A. M., and H. B. Seed, 1987, "Evaluation of Settlements in Sands Due to Earthquake Shaking," *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, ASCE, 113(8), 861-878.
- Vucetic, M., and R. Dobry, 1991, "Effect of Soil Plasticity on Cyclic Response," *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, ASCE, 117(1), 89-107.

J.O. OR W/O. NO. 05986.02 DIVISION & GROUP (B) CALCULATION NO. 11-3 OPTIONAL TASK CODE 11-3 PAGE 11 Factor 11-3 OPTIONAL TASK CODE 11-3 PAGE 11 Table 1 N-Values of Nonplastic and Slightly Plastic Silts (USC = ML, SC, SM, and SP) in Upper 25 to 30-ft Layer at PFSF Borings Drilled Throughout the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Building in 1998 Boring ID Sample Depth (ft) Rec. (in.) Blowsper 6 in. (in.) SPT N USC Plasticle (the option of the option option option option option option option option opt		CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER								
Table 1 Table 1 N-Values of Nomplastic and Silightly Plastic Silts (USC = ML, SC, SM, and SP) in Under the Canister Transfer Under the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Under the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Under the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Under the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Under	J.O. OR W.O 05996.0	D. NO. 2	DIVISION & GROUI G(B)	P CALCUL	ATION NO. 1-3	OPTIO	NAL TASK CODE	PAGE 11		
N-Values of Nonplastic and Slightly Plastic Silts (USC = ML, SC, SM, and SP) in Upper 25 to 30-ft Layer at PFSF Borings Drilled Throughout the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Building in 1998 Boring ID Sample Depth (ft) Rec. (in.) Blowsper 6 in. N SFT N USC Plasticity An of NP sites Trick Plasticity A-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 6-9-13 22 ML SP 5 A-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 7-9-10 19 ML MP 5 10 A-2 U 2 5.00 7.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 5 10 A-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 14.0 5-56 11 ML MP 5 A-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 7-7.7 14 ML MP 5 A-3 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7.8 15 ML MP 5 A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14.20 34 ML NP <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Table '</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				Table '	1					
Boring ID Sample Depth (ft) Rec. (in.) Blowsper 6 in. SPT N USC Plasticity Plasticity Ah of PP or SP Sitts Trickl Thicks or SP Sitts A-1 S3 10.00 11.50 13.0 6-9-13 22 ML SP 5 A-1 S6 25.00 26.50 12.0 7-15-21 36 ML MP 5 A-2 U.2 5.00 7.00 25.0 FUSH ML MP 5 A-2 S3 10.00 11.50 14.0 5-5-6 11 ML SP 5 A-2 S3 10.00 16.50 13.0 7-7 14 ML MP 5 A-2 S5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP 5 A-3 S4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7-7 13 ML MP 5 10 A-3 S5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-7 13 ML MP 5 10	N-Valu Upper 2	N-Values of Nonplastic and Slightly Plastic Silts (USC = ML, SC, SM, and SP) in Upper 25 to 30-ft Layer at PFSF Borings Drilled Throughout the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Building in 1998								
A-1 S3 10.00 11.50 13.0 6-9-13 22 ML SP 5 A-1 S4 15.00 16.50 15.0 7-9-10 19 ML MP A-1 S6 25.00 26.50 12.0 7-15-21 36 ML MP 5 10 A-2 U2 5.00 7.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 5 10 A-2 S3 10.00 11.50 14.0 5-6 11 ML MP 5 A-2 S5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP 5 A-3 S4 15.00 16.0 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP 5 A-3 S5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP 5 5 A-3 S6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12 20 ML MP 5 10 A-4 S3 10.00 11.50 12.0	Boring ID	Sample	Depth (ft)	Rec. Blowsp (in.) 6 in.	er SPT N	USC	∆h o Plasticity or Sil	Total f NP Thick- SP ness of ts NP & SP Silts		
A-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.07-9-10 19 ML MP A-1 S 6 25.00 26.50 12.07-15-21 36 ML NP 5 10 A-2 U 2 5.00 7.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 5 10 A-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 14.0 5-5-6 11 ML SP 5 A-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 67-77 14 ML MP - A-2 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 5-6-11 17 ML MP - A-2 S 6 25.00 26.50 13.0 67-8 15 ML MP - A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 15.0 16.0 4-5-7 12 </td <td>A-1</td> <td>S 3</td> <td>10.00 11.50</td> <td>13.0 6-9-13</td> <td>22</td> <td>ML</td> <td>SP 5</td> <td></td>	A-1	S 3	10.00 11.50	13.0 6-9-13	22	ML	SP 5			
A-1 S 6 25.00 26.50 12.0 7.15-21 36 ML NP 5 10 A-2 U 2 5.00 7.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP A-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 14.0 5-5-6 11 ML SP 5 A-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 7-7.7 14 ML MP A-2 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 5-6-10 16 ML MP 5 A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-7.8 15 ML MP 5 A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-8-12 20 ML MP 5 10 A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12.14-20 34 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML <	A-1	S 4	15.00 16.50	15.0 7-9-10	19	ML	MP			
A-2 U 2 5.00 7.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP A-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 14.0 5-5-6 11 ML SP 5 A-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 7-7-7 14 ML MP A-2 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 5-6-11 17 ML MP A-2 S 6 25.00 26.50 15.0 5-6-10 16 ML MP 5 A-3 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP A A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7-7-8 15 ML MP B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP 3 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML S	A-1	S 6	25.00 26.50	12.0 7-15-21	36	ML	NP 5	10		
A-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 14.0 5-5-6 11 ML SP 5 A-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 7-7-7 14 ML MP A-2 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 5-6-11 17 ML MP A-2 S 6 25.00 26.50 15.0 5-6-10 16 ML MP 5 A-3 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP 5 A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7-7.8 15 ML MP 5 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP 9 3 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00	A-2	U 2	5.00 7.00	25.0 PUSH		ML	MP			
A-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 7-77 14 ML MP A-2 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 5-6-11 17 ML MP A-2 S 6 25.00 26.50 15.0 5-6-10 16 ML MP 5 A-3 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7.8 15 ML MP 5 A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-8-12 20 ML MP 5 A-3 S 6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12-18 30 ML SP 5 A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML MP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7-7-8 15 ML MP 6 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MPHP 0 6 5 10 16.0 4-57	A-2	S 3	10.00 11.50	14.0 5-5-6	11	ML	SP 5			
A-2 S 5 20.00 21.50 14.0 5-6-11 17 ML MP A-2 S 6 25.00 26.50 15.0 5.6 16 ML MP 5 A-3 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-8-12 20 ML MP A-3 S 6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12 20 ML MP A-3 S 6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12 20 ML MP A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML MP 5 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 <	A-2	S 4	15.00 16.50	13.0 7-7-7	14	ML	MP			
A-2 S 6 25.00 26.50 15.0 5.0 5.0 ML MP 5 A-3 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP A A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-8-12 20 ML MP A-3 S 6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12-18 30 ML SP 5 A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP 9 9 B-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9<	A-2	S 5	20.00 21.50	14.0 5-6-11	17	ML	MP			
A-3 S 4 15.00 16.50 13.0 6-7-8 15 ML MP A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-8-12 20 ML MP A-3 S 6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12-18 30 ML SP 5 A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP 5 5 B-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7.9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 12.	A-2	S 6	25.00 26.50	15.0 5-6-10	16	ML	MP	5		
A-3 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 6-8-12 20 ML MP A-3 S 6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12-18 30 ML SP 5 A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7-7-8 15 ML MP 5 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 6-6-7 12 ML-MH MP 0 B-2 U1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 10 B-4 J 5.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML	A-3	S 4	15.00 16.50	13.0 6-7-8	15	ML	MP			
A-3 S 6 25.00 26.50 16.0 8-12-18 30 ML SP 5 A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7-7-8 15 ML MP 5 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML <	A-3	S 5	20.00 21.50	13.0 6-8-12	20	ML	MP			
A-3 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 12-14-20 34 ML NP 5 10 A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7-7-8 15 ML MP B-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP 9 B-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.0	A-3	S 6	25.00 26.50	16.0 8-12-18	30	ML	SP 5	an an a si a' mar an an a' mar airte da na sinn airte an		
A-4 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 6-6-7 13 ML NP 5 5 B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7-7-8 15 ML MP 5 5 B-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP 0 B-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 3 3 5 10 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML <td< td=""><td>A-3</td><td>S 7</td><td>30.00 31.50</td><td>14.0 12-14-2</td><td>0 34</td><td>ML</td><td>NP 5</td><td>10</td></td<>	A-3	S 7	30.00 31.50	14.0 12-14-2	0 34	ML	NP 5	10		
B-1 S 3 10.00 11.50 13.0 7.7-8 15 ML MP B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP B-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML MP 5 10 B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 <t< td=""><td>A-4</td><td>S 3</td><td>10.00 11.50</td><td>12.0 6-6-7</td><td>13</td><td>ML</td><td>NP 5</td><td>5</td></t<>	A-4	S 3	10.00 11.50	12.0 6-6-7	13	ML	NP 5	5		
B-1 S 4 15.00 16.0 16.0 6-10-10 20 ML MP B-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7.8 15 ML MP B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5.10-11 21 ML	B-1	S 3	10.00 11.50	13.0 7-7-8	15	ML	MP			
B-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 16.0 4-5-7 12 ML-MH MP-HP 0 B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP 3 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP 5 10 B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP 5.5 5.5	B-1	S 4	15.00 16.50	16.0 6-10-10	20	ML	MP			
B-2 U 1 8.00 10.00 25.0 PUSH ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4-6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3	B-1	S 5	20.00 21.50	16.0 4-5-7	12	ML-MH	MP-HP	0		
B-2 S 3 10.00 11.50 12.0 4.6-7 13 ML SP-MP 3 B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 5 10 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP 3 B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP 3 B-4 S 6 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 <t< td=""><td>B-2</td><td>U 1</td><td>8.00 10.00</td><td>25.0 PUSH</td><td></td><td>ML</td><td>SP-MP 3</td><td></td></t<>	B-2	U 1	8.00 10.00	25.0 PUSH		ML	SP-MP 3			
B-2 S 4 15.00 16.50 14.0 3-7-9 16 ML NP 5 11 B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 5-8-10 18 ML SP 5 B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP 3 B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP 4 4 56 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP 4 57 30.00 31.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML MP 0 4 4 8 ML MP 0	B-2	S 3	10.00 11.50	12.0 4-6-7	13	ML	SP-MP 3	an a		
B-3 S 2 15.00 16.50 14.0 58-10 18 ML SP 5 B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7.8 15 ML MP 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7.8 15 ML MP 3 B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP 4 4 56 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP 4 57 30.00 31.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8 16 ML MP 0	B-2	S 4	15.00 16.50	14.0 3-7-9	16	ML	NP 5	11		
B-3 S 5 30.00 31.50 16.0 8-12-16 28 ML NP 5 10 B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP 3 B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 6 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 6 25.00 26.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP 0 C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP	B-3	S 2	15.00 16.50	14.0 5-8-10	18	ML	SP 5	entra de contracteurs		
B-4 U 3B 11.00 12.00 0.0 ML NP 3 B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 6 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP 7 C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP 0 C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 0	B-3	S 5	30.00 31.50	16.0 8-12-16	28	ML	NP 5	10		
B-4 S 4 15.00 16.50 12.0 8-7-8 15 ML MP B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 6 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP C -1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP 0 C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 0	B-4	U 3B	11.00 12.00	0.0		ML	NP 3			
B-4 S 5 20.00 21.50 13.0 5-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 6 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP	B-4	S 4	15.00 16.50	12.0 8-7-8	15	ML	MP			
B-4 S 6 25.00 26.50 14.0 6-10-11 21 ML MP B-4 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML MP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP 0 C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP	B-4	S 5	20.00 21.50	13.0 5-10-11	21	ML	MP			
B-4 S 7 30.00 31.50 14.0 9-14-20 34 ML NP 2.5 5.5 C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 0	B-4	S 6	25.00 26.50	14.0 6-10-11	21	ML	MP	<u>i</u> nter an		
C-1 U 3 10.00 12.00 24.0 PUSH ML-MH MP-HP C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 0	B-4	S 7	30.00 31.50	14.0 9-14-20	34	ML	NP 2.3	5 5.5		
C-1 S 4 15.00 16.50 15.0 8-8-8 16 ML MP C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP	C-1	U 3	10.00 12.00	24.0 PUSH		ML-MH	MP-HP			
C-1 S 5 20.00 21.50 18.0 4-4-4 8 ML MP 0 C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP 0	C-1	S 4	15.00 16.50	15.0 8-8-8	16	ML	MP			
C-2 U 2 10.00 12.00 25.0 PUSH ML MP	C-1	S 5	20.00 21.50	18.0 4-4-4	8	ML	MP	0		
	C-2	U 2	10.00 12.00	25.0 PUSH		ML	MP			

......

.

	CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER									
J.O. OR W.C	D. NO.	DIVISION & GROUP	>	CALCULAT	FION NO.	OPTIO	NAL TASK CODE] F	PAGE 12	
05996.0	2	G(B)		11-	-3					
				l able 1						
N-Valu	N-Values of Nonplastic and Slightly Plastic Silts (USC = ML, SC, SM, and SP) in									
Upper 2	25 to 30-f	t Layer at PFSF and Under the	- Borii Caniei	ngs Drille tor Trans	ed Throus	ughout 1 ding in 1	the Site Area	i in 1	996	
	and onder the Canister Transfer Building in 1998									
Boring ID	Sample	Depth (ft)	Rec. (in.)	Blowsper 6 in.	spt N	USC	∆h c Plasticity or Si	of NP SP lts	Total Thick- ness of NP & SP Silts	
C-2	S 3	20.00 21.50	18.0	4-5-6	11	ML	MP			
C-2	S 4	25.00 26.50	14.0	7-14-20	34	ML	MP/NP 2	2	28.5	
C-3	S 3	10.00 11.50	14.0	3-3-5	8	ML	MP		0	
C-4	S 3	10.00 11.50	15.0	3-4-7	11	ML	SP 5	5		
C-4	S 4	15.00 16.50	12.0	6-6-8	14	ML	MP			
C-4	S 7	30.00 31.50	13.0	8-10-11	21	SM	NP	3	8	
D-1	S 4	15.00 16.50	16.0	4-6-7	13	ML	MP		0	
D-2	S 2	5.00 6.50	15.0	3-3-3	6	ML	MP			
D-2	S 4	15.00 16.50	16.0	5-7-8	15	ML	MP			
D-2	S 5	20.00 21.50	15.0	6-9-9	18	ML	MP		0	
D-3	S 2	5.00 6.50	11.0	1-5-9	14	ML	MP			
D-3	S 3	10.00 11.50	15.0	3-4-7	11	ML	MP/NP 3	3		
D-3	S 4	15.00 16.50	18.0	4-4-5	9	ML	MP			
D-3	S 5	20.00 21.50	18.0	4-5-6	11	ML	MP			
D-3	S 6	25.00 26.50	16.0	8-14-25	39	ML	NP 5	5	8	
D-4	S 3	10.00 11.50	8.0	5-10-14	24	ML/SM	SP/NP 5	5		
D-4	S 4	15.00 16.50	12.0	10-12-10	22	ML	NP/MP 2.	5		
D-4	<u>\$6</u>	25.00 26.50	14.0	6-7-9	16	CL-ML	SP 5	5	12.5	
E-1	S 4	15.00 16.50	18.0	6-7-8	15	ML	MP			
E-1	S 5	20.00 21.50	18.0	4-4-7	11	ML-MH	MP-HP		0	
E-2	U 1	5.00 7.00	26.0	PUSH		ML	SP-MP 3.	5		
E-2	S 3	15.00 17.00	2.0	9-7-9-12	16	ML	SP-MP 5	5		
<u> </u>	S 5	25.00 27.00	20.0	8-12-18-	30	ML-MH	MP-HP	The off the s	8.5	
E-3	S 4	15.00 16.50	16.0	7-10-12	22	ML	NP 5			
E-3	85	20.00 21.50	16.0	4-7-8	15	ML-CL	SP 5) 1811 (200		
<u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u>	56	25.00 26.50	15.0	5-6-12	18	ML	NP 5) -	15	
E-4	S 2	5.00 6.50	13.0	9-10-16	26	SM	NP 5)		
巴-4 下 4	83		12.0	13-25-27	52	SM	NP 5	•		
巴-4 下 4	54	15.00 16.50	12.0	8-20-20 6 6 10	40 16		NP 5			
匹- +	50	20.00 21.50	17.0	U-0-10	01	WIL	or 5	, 		

......

-

.....

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER									
J.O. OR W.C 05996.0). NO. 2	DIVISION & GROUI G(B)	P	CALCULAT	FION NO. 3	OPTIO	NAL TASK CO	DDE	PAGE 13
	1			Table 1		1			
N-Values of Nonplastic and Slightly Plastic Silts (USC = ML, SC, SM, and SP) in Upper 25 to 30-ft Layer at PFSF Borings Drilled Throughout the Site Area in 1996 and Under the Canister Transfer Building in 1998									
Boring ID	Sample	Depth (ft)	Rec. (in.)	Blowsper 6 in.	SPT N	USC	Plasticity	∆h of NP or SP Silts	Total Thick- ness of NP & SP Silts
E-4	S 6	25.00 26.50	16.0	7-10-14	24	ML	NP	5	25
CTB-1	S 4	9.00 11.00	24.0	5-5-5-7	10	SM	SP	1	
CTB-1	U 5A	11.00 13.00	11.0	PUSH		SC	MP		
CTB-1	U 5B	11.65 11.65	0.0			SM	NP	2	
CTB-1	S 6	15.00 17.00	12.0	10-14-10-	24	ML	SP	4	
CTB-1	S 8	25.00 27.00	15.0	10-10-14-	24	ML	SP	4	11
CTB-2	S 2	5.00 7.00	16.0	6-6-7-9	13	ML	MP		
CTB-2	S 5	11.00 13.00	3.0	5-7-9-11	16	ML	SP	3	
CTB-2	S 7	20.00 22.00	18.0	6-8-10-10	18	ML	MP		
CTB-2	S 8	25.00 27.00	17.0	6-8-12-12	20	ML	MP		3
CTB-3	S 5	11.00 13.00	19.0	6-10-12-	22	ML	MP		
CTB-3	S 6	15.00 17.00	17.0	8-9-9-10	18	SM/ML	SP/MP	2	
CTB-3	S 7	20.00 22.00	19.0	7-10-10-	20	SM	SP	2	4
CTB-4	S 2	2.00 4.00	13.0	3-1-4-5	5	ML	MP		
CTB-4	S 6	10.00 12.00	13.0	5-8-8-8	16	ML	MP		
CTB-4	U 7	12.00 13.50	16.0	PUSH		ML/SP	SP/NP	2	
CTB-4	S 8	14.00 16.00	15.0	8-12-12-	24	ML/SM	SP/NP	2	
CTB-4	U 9	16.00 17.50	19.0	PUSH		ML/SM	NP	2	
CTB-4	U 11	20.00 21.50	20.0	PUSH		ML	MP	 Try provide the second seco	n (n. 1977) er fanklik en sok fan skylester en sen fan
CTB-4	U 13	24.00 25.50	19.5	PUSH		ML	MP		
CTB-4	U 15	28.00 29.50	16.0	PUSH		ML/SM	NP	2	8
CTB-5(OW)	S 2	2.00 4.00	2.0	10-10-11-	21	ML/SM	MP		
CTB-5(OW)	U 6	10.00 12.00	19.0	PUSH		ML	NP	2	
CTB-5(OW)	S 7	12.00 14.00	17.0	9-12-12-	24	SM	NP	2	
CTB-5(OW)	U 8	14.00 16.00	20.0	PUSH		SM	NP	2	
CTB-5(OW)	S 9	16.00 18.00	8.0	12-14-18-	32	ML	NP	2	
CTB-5(OW)	U 10	18.00 20.00	22.0	PUSH		ML	MP	ummer um rei d	
CTB-5(OW)	U 12	22.00 24.00	26.0	PUSH		ML	MP-HP		6
CTB-6	S 4	10.00 12.00	20.0	3-3-4-5	. 7	CH/ML	HP/MP		
CTB-6	S 5	15.00 17.00	14.0	10-20-20-	40	ML/SM	MP/NP	2.5	
CTB-6	S 6	20.00 22.00	19.0	7-10-10-	20	ML	MP	ana an an Angel (1993-1993) Angel (1993-1993)	

CALCULATION SHEET

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER											
J.O. OR W.C). NO.	DIVISION & GROUF	<u>ح</u>	CALCULATIC	ON NO.	OPTIO	VAL TASK CC	DE F	AGE 14		
05996.03	2	G(B)		11-3							
	Table 1										
N-Valu	es of No	nplastic and SI	ighth	/ Plastic Si	ilts (US	SC = ML,	SC, SM, a	and SP) in		
Upper 2	25 to 30-f	t Layer at PFSF	Bor	ings Drille	d Thro	ughoutf	the Site A	rea in 1	996		
	í	and Under the (Canis	ster Transf	er Buil	ding in '	1998				
									Total		
Boring ID	Sample	Depth (ft)	Rec.	Blowsper	SPT	USC	Plasticity	h of NP or SP	Thick- ness of		
	-	• • •	(in.)	6 in.	N		÷	Silts	NP & SP Silts		
CTB-6	S 7	25.00 27.00	24.() 3-5-7-8	12	ML	MP		2.5		
CTB-7	U 3	7.00 9.00	19.0) PUSH		SP	NP	2.5			
CTB-7	S 4	10.00 12.00	12.0) 16-25-35-	60	SM	NP	4			
CTB-7	S 5	15.00 17.00	12.0) 18-18-10-	28	ML	NP/MP	2			
CTB-7	S 7	25.00 27.00	18.0) 10-10-12-	22	ML	SP	5	13.5		
CTB-8	S 3	7.00 9.00	19.0) 5-9-7-8	16	ML	SP	2			
CTB-8	S 4	9.00 11.00	13.0) 18-12-18-	30	SM	NP	2			
CTB-8	S 5	11.00 13.00	12.0) 20-20-30-	50	SM	NP	3			
CTB-8	S 6	15.00 17.00	9.() 18-20-18-	38	SM	NP	5			
CTB-8	S 7	20.00 22.00	20.0	6-8-10-12	18	SM/MH	NP/HP	1.5	13.5		

NOTES: This sheet only addresses Layer 1 silts with USC = ML, SC, SM and SP, excluding AR borings and Borings 1 & 2.

Layer 1 CL, CH, and MH samples are excluded.

indicates NP samples.

5 indicates N < 10.

CALCULATION IDENTIFICATION NUMBER						
J.O. OR W.O. NO. 05996.02	. OR W.O. NO. DIVISION & GROUP CAL 05996.02 G(B)		OPTIONAL TASK CODE	PAGE		
Approximate Th Site Are	Ta ickness of Nonplastic S a in 1996 and Under the	able 2 ilts in PFSF Bo Canister Trans	rings Drilled Throug sfer Building in 1998	hout the		
Boring ID	Thickness of NP & SP Soils Including Surface Samples (fi	Thickness of SP Surface S t) (ft)	of NP & Thickness Samples SP Soils E Surface Sa	of NP & xcluding mples (f		
A-1	12.5	2.5	10.	0		
A-2	7.5	2.5	5.0)		
A-3	12.5	2.5	10.	0		
A-4	7.5	2.5	5.0)		
B-1	2.5	2.5	0.0)		
B-3	12.5	2.5	10.	0		
B-4	8.0	2.5	5.5	5		
C-1	2.5	2.5	0.0)		
C-2	4.5	2.5	2.0)		
C-3	0		0.0)		
C-4	10.5	2.5	8.0)		
D-1	2.5	2.5	0.0)		
D-2	2.5	2.5	0.0)		
D-3	8.0		8.0)		
E-1	0.0		0.0)		
E-2	11.0	2.5	8.5	5		
CTB-2	3.0		3.0)		
CTB-3	4.0		4.0)		
CTB-4	8.0		8.0)		
CTB-5(OW)	8.0		8.0)		
CTB-6	2.5		2.5	•		
B-2	13.5	2.5	11.	0		
CTB-1	11.0		11.4)		
CTB-7	13.5		13.	5		
CTB-8	13.5		13.	5		
D-4	15.0	2.5	12.	5		
E-3	17.5	2.5	15.)		
E-4	27.5	2.5	25.)		
Average	8.6	1.5	7.1			

OR W.O. NO. [W.O. NO. DIVISION & GRC 36.02 G(B)		DUP CALCULATION NO. 11-3		TASK CODE	PAGE 16
		Tabl	e 3			
Summary of N-Val nd SP) in Upper 2 28 NP s	lues of Nor 25 to 30-ft L samples	ayer at PFSF	Slightly Plas F, But Exclu MP & HP samples	stic Silts (U ding Sampl 83	SC = ML, S les from 0 t Total # of ML, SC,	C, SM, o 1.5 ft
10 CD a	esmnlae					
18 SP s	samples				SM, and SP samples	
18 SP s Minimum	samples 10	Minimum	5	Minimum	SM, and SP samples 5	
18 SP s Minimum Average	amples 10 25	Minimum Average	5 15	Minimum Average	SM, and SP samples 5 21	
18 SP s Minimum Average Median	10 25 23	Minimum Average Median	5 15 15	Minimum Average Median	SM, and SP samples 5 21 18	
18 SP s Minimum Average Median Maximum	10 25 23 60	Minimum Average Median Maximum	5 15 15 30	Minimum Average Median Maximum	SM, and SP samples 5 21 18 60	



Revision 0

•

>

•

> 4

>

1

PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION SHEET

STONE & WEBSTER







~...

_

•

PAGE D1
of 1
y Sands
D,
<u></u>
y :

