7/13

QUAD OSRE SDP Panel continuation:

Doug Coe: Recap

Caldwell: Why force fitting this insp. into this SDP? Why not treat like we did previous OSREs

Dean answered: Caldwell — Still don't understand — PIII objection on table

Mike P. — Arbitrary assignment of "D" as initiating event likelihood — not risk based

Jeopardizing Rx Safety SDP credibility

Don't think it is necessary to use Rx SDP

Doug (somewhat) agrees

Mike: Specifically thinks scenario is still green — use of bumping color up 1 vs 1 order of magnitude is incorrect

Steve Reynolds / Jim Creed — Pointed out safeguards SDP calls issue white (minimum)

Should go there & drop Rx Safety SDP

1st — 5/2 scenario 2 — Green, but treat as White based on Safeguards SDP & adversary at large

2nd 5/3 scenario 1

- OSRE illustrated Significant Problems, but should be considered so for safeguards reasons - not Rx Safety
  - 1) Objection noted by Caldwell at beginning
  - 2) Objection to entry conditions for application Rx Safety SDP
    - D event likelihood
    - arbitrary
    - arbitrary invention of "rules" & assumptions for bumping things up a color / or as Walt said arbitrarily deciding a ~~amount of~~ credit

never happened in US
much easier to get

  - 3) Objection to application of a combined Physical Prot / Rx Safety SDP process that is clearly still in a developmental stage & has not been fully aired to state holders with due process in manner we are applying
    - based on 3 panels & 1½ hours here

not understandable - after ≈ 6 hr.

↳ not predictable, not scrutable in any way