

7/13



QUAD OSRE SDP Panel continuation:

Doug Coe: Recap

Caldwell: Why force fitting this insp. into this SDP? Why not treat like we did previous OSREs
Dean answered: Caldwell - Still don't understand - PII objection on table

Mike P. - Arbitrary assignment of "D" as initiating event likelihood - not risk based
Jeopardizing Rx Safety SDP credibility

Don't think it is necessary to use Rx SDP
Doug (somewhat) agrees

Mike: Specifically thinks scenario is still green - use of bumping color up 1 vs 1 order of magnitude is incorrect

Steve Reynolds / Jim Creed - Pointed out safeguards SDP calls issue white (minimum)
Should go there & drop Rx Safety SDP

1st - 5/2 scenario 2 - Green, but treat as white based on safeguards SDP & adversary at large

2nd 5/3 scenario 1

D/SS

● OSRE *illustrated* Significant Problems, but should be considered as for Safeguards reasons - not Rx Safety

▶ 1) Objection noted by Caldwell at beginning

▶ 2) Objection to entry conditions for application Rx Safety SDP
D event likelihood
Arbitrary
arbitrary invention of "rules" & assumptions for bumping things up a color / or as Walt said arbitrarily deciding amount of credit

never happens
with
much easier
targets

▶ 3) Objection to application of a combined Physical Prot / Rx Safety SDP process that is clearly still in a developmental stage & has not been fully aired to with due process in manner we are applying ^{to state holders}



▶ based on 3 panels & 1 1/2 hours here

not understandable - after ≈ 6hr.

↳ not predictable, not scrutible in any way