

February 25, 1989

Docket No. 50-425

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Senior Vice President -
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Dear Mr. Hairston:

SUBJECT: VOGTLE UNIT 2 FULL POWER OPERATING LICENSE

Your estimated schedule of Vogtle Unit 2's readiness for a full power license is March 31, 1989. In our consideration of the proposed Vogtle Unit 2 full power license, we desire to complete the review of four items that are currently addressed by special license conditions in License No. NPF-79 and thereby remove those conditions from the full power license. One of the four items (pressurizer surge line thermal stratification) already has a schedule for submittal of the information necessary for us to complete our review. For the remaining three items, we request additional information (enclosed) along with any other information that you deem pertinent for us to complete our review. In order to maintain our review schedule, we request a response within 7 days from the date of this letter.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than 10 respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Please contact me directly if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jon B. Hopkins, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

<u>Docket File</u>	J. Hopkins
NRC PDR	OGC 15B18
Local PDR	E. Jordan MNBB-3302
PDII-3 R/F	B. Grimes 9A2
G. Lainas 14E4	ACRS (10)
E. Adensam 14H3	Vogtle Plant File
D. Matthews	
M. Rood	

*SEE PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE

[HAIRSTON LTR 2/22]

*PDII-3
MRood
02/22/89

*PDII-3
JHopkins:ls
02/22/89

PDII-3
Matthews
2/25/89

DF01
||

8903010326 890225
PDR ADOCK 05000425
A PDC



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

February 25, 1989

Docket No. 50-425

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Senior Vice President -
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Dear Mr. Hairston:

SUBJECT: VOGTLE UNIT 2 FULL POWER OPERATING LICENSE

Your estimated schedule of Vogtle Unit 2's readiness for a full power license is March 31, 1989. In our consideration of the proposed Vogtle Unit 2 full power license, we desire to complete the review of four items that are currently addressed by special license conditions in License No. NPF-79 and thereby remove those conditions from the full power license. One of the four items (pressurizer surge line thermal stratification) already has a schedule for submittal of the information necessary for us to complete our review. For the remaining three items, we request additional information (enclosed) along with any other information that you deem pertinent for us to complete our review. In order to maintain our review schedule, we request a response within 7 days from the date of this letter.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than 10 respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Please contact me directly if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jon B. Hopkins".

Jon B. Hopkins, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
Georgia Power Company

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant

cc:

Mr. J. P. Kane
Manager, Licensing and Engineering
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 4545
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Resident Inspector
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 572
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Mr. Ruble A. Thomas
Executive Consultant
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Deppish Kirkland, III, Counsel
Office of the Consumers' Utility
Council
Suite 225
32 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30302

Mr. Paul D. Rice
Vice President & Project Director
Georgia Power Company
Post Office Box 282
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

James E. Joiner
Troutman, Sanders, Lockerman,
& Ashmore
1400 Candler Building
127 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Mr. J. A. Bailey
Project Licensing Manager
Southern Company Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Danny Feig
1130 Alta Avenue
Atlanta, Georgia 30307

Ernest L. Blake, Jr.
Bruce W. Churchill, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20037

Carol Stangler
Georgians Against Nuclear Energy
425 Euclid Terrace
Atlanta, Georgia 30307

Mr. G. Bockhold, Jr.
General Manager, Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 1600
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Mr. R. P. McDonald
Executive Vice President -
Nuclear Operations
Georgia Power Company
P.O. Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. J. Leonard Ledbetter, Commissioner
Department of Natural Resources
270 Washington Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Office of the County Commissioner
Burke County Commission
Waynesboro, Georgia 30830

Attorney General
Law Department
132 Judicial Building
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Office of Planning and Budget
Room 615B
270 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

ENCLOSURE

Item 1. Initial Startup Test Program

- a. A commitment to report any changes made to the FSAR Section 14 Initial Test Program in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59 within one month of such change.
- b. The number and type of changes made to the Unit 1 FSAR Section 14 Initial Test Program in accordance with 10 CFR 50.59.

Item 2. Transamerica Delaval, Inc. (TDI) Diesel Generators

- a. Has Attachment 1 to License No. NPF-79 been incorporated into appropriate procedures?
- b. If so, what procedures?
- c. Have there been any problems with implementing Attachment 1 requirements that lead you to conclude a change is necessary?
- d. If so, what problems and changes?

Item 3. NUREG-0737 Item II.F.2

- a. Describe in more detail what calibrations have been and will be performed on the reactor vessel level instrumentation system prior to exceeding 5% power.
- b. Based on Unit 1 calibration data, how much is the dynamic calibration expected to change the instrument calibration?
- c. Based on your estimated schedule and Unit 1 experience, approximately when will the dynamic calibration take place during Unit 2 startup?