EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM:

DUE: 09/11/01

EDO CONTROL: G20010344

DOC DT: 08/13/01

FINAL REPLY:

Rep. Sonny Callahan

TO:

Rathbun, OCA

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 01-0411

Travers, EDO

DESC:

ROUTING:

Comments on Part 35, Medical Regulations

(John T. Renz)

Travers Paperiello

Kane Norry Craig

Burns/Cyr

DATE: 08/17/01

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NMSS

Virgilio

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Template Secy 017

E Rida EDU-01

EDU

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Aug 17, 2001 12:19

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-01-0411

LOGGING DATE: 08/17/2001

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO 🗸

AUTHOR:

REP Sonny Callahan

AFFILIATION:

REP

ADDRESSEE:

Dennis Rathbun

SUBJECT:

Concerns regulations (10 CFR Part 35) related to diagnostic nuclear medicine

ACTION:

Signature of EDO

DISTRIBUTION:

LETTER DATE:

08/13/2001

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

OCA to Ack

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

09/11/2001

DATE SIGNED:

SONNY CALLAHAN
First District, Alabama

OFFICES:

2372 Rayburn Building Washington, DC 20515 (202) 225–4931 Fax: (202) 225–0562

1141 Montlimar Drive Suite 3010 Mobile, AL 36609 (334) 690-2811 or 1-800-288-USA1 Fax: (334) 342-0404

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, **DC** 20515-0101 August 13, 2001 COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Subcommittees:

CHAIRMAN
Energy and Water
Development

Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs

Transportation

ASSISTANT WHIP

Mr. Dennis K. Rathbun Director, Office of Congressional Affairs Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

RE:

John T. Renz, M.D.

P.O. Box 2144 Mobile, AL 36652

Dear Mr. Rathbun:

The attached communication is submitted for your consideration.

If you will advise me of your action in this matter and have the letter returned to me with your reply, I will appreciate it.

With kindest regards, I am

Sincerely

Member of Congress

SC:db

Enclosure

PLEASE RESPOND TO:

CONGRESSMAN SONNY CALLAHAN

1141 MONTLIMAR DRIVE

SUITE 3010

MOBILE, AL 36609

ATTN: DIXIE BUNKLEY

E-mail: dixie.bunkley@mail.house.gov

RADIOLOGIST, P.C.

CHARLES I. LILLY, M.D.
DANIEL E, PEIMER, M.D.
WILLIAM E, DUMAS, M.D.
G. DOUGLAS HUNGERFORD, M.D.
J. RUSSELL CUNNINGHAM, M.D.
LEON V. MCVAY, IIL M.D.

MOBILE INFIRMARY MEDICAL CENTER
P.O. BOX 2144
MCBILE, AL 36652
(251) 435-2476

MARK D. MCCASLIN, M.D.
ROGER P. TART, M.D.
RICHARD C. WILSON, PH.D. M.D.
DAVID A. CROCKER, M.D.
DONNA L. DONATI, M.D.
PETER V. CLAUSSEN, III, M.D.
LANE S. KANNEGIETER, M.D.

August 3, 2001

JOHN T. RENZ, M.D.

09:48am

The Honorable Sonny Callahan, Chairman House Appropriations Energy and Water Development Subcommittee 2372 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairman Callahan:

It has come to my attention that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has edopted new and burdensome regulations (10 CFR Part 35) related to diagnostic nuclear medicine. I am concerned that the new regulations that the NRC is ready to implement will significantly increase the cost of important nuclear medicine procedures, and hinder my ability to treat patients.

I am a physician at the Mobile infirmary Medical Center in Mobile, Alabama, and have been practicing nuclear medicine without incident for over 10 years. As a member of the nuclear medicine community and an Authorized User of byproduct materials, I am very concerned about the NRC's increasing regulation of diagnostic nuclear medicine and intrusion into the practice of nuclear medicine.

Current emendments to 10 CFR Part 35 are before OMB for review. In my opinion, the regulations only add to the cost of health care without improving safety of the patient or public. These regulations will unnecessarily increase the burden on nuclear medicine practitioners such as myself, and increase the costs to millions of patients who benefit from highly cost-effective procedures such as cardiac stress tests, lung and bones scans each year.

The National Academy of Science/Institute of Medicine noted in a 1996 NRC-funded study that the more detailed reporting and enforcement systems required for byproduct materials, as compared to the regulatory systems in place for most other ionizing radiation (such as x-rays) used in medicine, do not seem to reduce risk to providers, patients or members of the public.

The NRC has spent several years revising its regulations governing the use of byproduct materials in medicine. When the process began, the NRC stated its willingness to make the regulations more "risk-informed." This was based on the NRC's decision to decrease oversight of low-risk activities, such as diagnostic nuclear medicine, while retaining oversight of high-risk activities. It was also consistent with the NRC's ongoing recognition of the low risks associated with diagnostic nuclear medicine.

Unfortunately, the NRC has shifted away from this original focus and moved forward with specific regulations that will provide no increased safety to patients of the public but instead inhibit the practice of nuclear medicine.

F-569

The Honoragie Sonny Cellahan Page Two August 3, 2001

Shortfly, the House and Senate will meet to conference the Energy and Water Development FY 2012 Appropriations bill. I am writing to unge you, as Chairman, to support the Senate-passed provision that will block the implementation of Part 35 and force the NRC to adopt a regulatory systems for diagnostic nuclear medicine that reflects the discipline's unparalleled and undeputed sately record.

Thank you for your consideration. If you or your staff have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

John T. Renz, M.D.

Radiologist Chairman of Nuclear Medicine Mobile infirmary Medical Center

GENTL