

March 28, 1990

Docket No. 50-424

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File	TReed
NRC & Local PDR	OGC (Info. Only)
PDII-3 Rdg. File	EJordan
SVarga	ACRS (10)
GLainas	GPA/PA
RIngram	Vogtle File

Mr. W. G. Hairston, III
 Senior Vice President
 Nuclear Operations
 Georgia Power Company
 Post Office Box 1295
 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Dear Mr. Hairston:

SUBJECT: VOGTLE UNIT 1 - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT RE: AMENDMENT TO
 FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NPF-68 TO CORRECT ANTITRUST CONDITIONS
 (TAC 74210)

Enclosed is a copy of an "Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact" for your information. This notice relates to your request dated July 31, 1989, for an amendment to Facility Operating License NPF-68 to correct Vogtle Unit 1 Antitrust License Conditions. The amendment adds two phrases originally omitted from the Unit 1 Operating License making the Unit 1 Antitrust Conditions identical to the Unit 2 license.

This notice has been forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By:

Timothy A. Reed, Project Manager
 Project Directorate II-3
 Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
 As stated

cc w/enclosure:
 See next page

CP-1

OFC	:LA:PDII-3	:PM:PDII-3	:D:PDII-3	:OGC	:	:	:
NAME	:RIngram	:TReed	:DMatthews	:JMS Seble	:	:	:
DATE	:3/7/90	:3/7/90	:3/27/90	:3/0/90	:	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY
 Document Name: VOGTLE ENV ASSESSMENT 74210

DFOL '11

9004110025 900328
 PDR ADOCK 05000424
 P PDC

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONGEORGIA POWER COMPANY, ET AL.DOCKET NO. 50-424ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OFNO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License NPF-68 issued to the Georgia Power Company, et al. (the licensee), for operation of the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Unit 1 (Vogtle 1), located on the licensee's site in Burke County, Georgia.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTIdentification of Proposed Action:

The proposed action would revise paragraph (1)(a) of Appendix C to Facility Operating License NPF-68 as follows: insert "owning, operating or proposing to own or operate equipment" in line 3 before the phrase "or facilities within the State..."; and insert "or rate schedule on file with and subject to the regulation" in line 10 before the phrase "of the Public...."

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated July 31, 1989.

The Need for the Proposed Action:

The proposed amendment is needed in order to make the Vogtle Unit 1 Antitrust Conditions consistent with the Vogtle Unit 2 Antitrust Conditions and in accord with the Construction Permit Antitrust Conditions.

9004110026 900328
PDR ADOCK 05000424
F PIC

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:

The proposed amendment does not impact the operation of the Vogtle facility. Specifically, the proposed amendment does not involve features located either within or outside of the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. As a result, it does not affect the potential for or consequences of radiological accidents and does not affect radiological plant effluents in any way. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed amendment does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

Alternative to the Proposed Action:

Because the Commission's staff has concluded that there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed amendment, any alternative to this amendment will have either no significantly different environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested amendment. This would not reduce environmental impacts as a result of plant operations.

Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement related to the operation of the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2" dated March 1985.

Agencies and Persons Consulted:

The Commission's staff reviewed the licensee's request that supports the proposed amendment. The staff did not consult other agencies or persons.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed amendment.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for the amendment dated July 31, 1989, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC and at the Burke County Public Library, 412 Fourth Street, Waynesboro, Georgia 30830.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of March 1990.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



David B. Matthews, Director
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation